



Nutritional Factors in Carcinogenesis

Mark L. Wahlqvist, M.D.

Abstract

There have been varying estimates of the role of nutritional as opposed to other contributors to carcinogenesis. Several considerations probably account for the different estimates:

1. genetic overestimates because of fetal and early life rearing practices and the nutritional modulation of genetic expression
 2. errors in food intake methodology
 3. the limitations of nutrient-carcinogenesis hypotheses ie. models which are too naive and do not allow for non-nutrients in food, food patterns and the overall "package" which is food culture.
 4. indirect pathways connecting nutrition and cancer such as that via immunosurveillance
- Examples of cancers where rapid change in nutritional thinking is underway are breast, prostatic, colorectal and pancreatic.

With breast cancer, weakly oestrogenic compounds from food may be comparable to tamoxifen. Changing food culture away from that rich in phyto-oestrogens may increase the risk of prostatic cancer in men as well. Colorectal cancer incidence has continued at high rates in urbanized society despite an awareness of dietary contribution comparable to the knowledge of diet and coronary heart disease - is the analysis sufficiently stratified for large bowel site or nutritionally sophisticated enough to allow for aggregate food pattern effects? Pancreatic cancer on the rise presents questions about unidentified changes continuing in the diets of industrialized societies, possibly from an early age, and even during infant feeding.

Nutritional surveillance with mathematical modelling of food intake at a more sophisticated level will be required to understand present food-cancer relationships, and those which may emerge with newer food technologies, especially those related to designer foods. (*Thai Cancer Journal* 1993; 19:67-74).

Energy Intake

The role of energy intake in carcinogenesis is a vexed one^{1,2}. There has been a popularised view, derivative of mainly rodent experiments,

which has argued that energy restriction may decrease the cancer risk and increase longevity²⁻⁴. Most of these studies are flawed insofar as extrapolation to humans are concerned because either they are conducted from early life with excessive early mortality, or they do not account for energy expenditure and therefore energy balance, reflected in body fatness and/or its distri-

Department of Medicine, Monash University, Monash Medical Centre, Clayton, Melbourne, Victoria 3168, Australia
Presented at the 11th Asia Pacific Cancer Conference, Bangkok, Thailand, November 16-19, 1993

bution⁵. Where the full energy equation is available, increased energy throughput (eg. higher energy intakes with no increase in body fatness) has been associated with decreased cancer risk and/or increased life expectancy^{6,7}. Increased energy intake (and possibly its frequency, according to Potter⁸⁻¹⁰ has in its own right been associated with increased cancer risk at several sites^{1,11}. Again, as will be discussed elsewhere in this paper, the quality of the extra food intake seems important^{5,12}.

Macronutrient

Much of the focus of nutrition and cancer since the late 1970s, when industrialized nations began to develop Dietary Guidelines, to reduce the burden of chronic non-communicable disease, has been the macronutrients in food. Increasing incidences of colorectal cancer and breast cancer, in particular, were associated with relatively high fat intakes and low dietary fibre intakes¹³. Whether these relationships were causal or not was another issue, but the overall dietary and cancer patterns were impressively associated in *trans-national* and *immigration studies*. Even some of the variance of the principal cause of death from cancer in men, lung cancer, was found to be explained for a given level of cigarette smoking by plant food intake¹³. Increased plant food intake, expressed in terms of dietary fibre, was predictive of reduced cancer mortality in the Zutphen (Netherlands) part of the 7 countries study, originally designed to examine the dietary contributions to coronary heart disease⁵.

A number of cross-cultural studies examined each of the macronutrients

- protein, its source and quality
 - carbohydrate, its refinement and monomeric components (glucose, fructose, galatose)
 - fat, its quality
 - dietary fibre, its sources and chemistry
 - alcohol and the type of beverage from which it comes
- and, later, resistant starch, to consider how

macronutrients might contribute to carcinogenesis. Both amount and percentage contribution to energy intake were considered. The general consensus which emerged is shown in Table 1.

Long-term observational studies to examine the macronutrient-cancer hypotheses have still been few, and then with a particular food culture, so that the full range of the human diet and its possible macronutrient contribution to carcinogenesis has not been easily appreciated. For example a major US nutrition observational study has not confirmed a role for dietary fat intake on breast cancer in US women, but fat intakes as a percentage of energy intake have only been studied close to 32 per cent¹⁴⁻¹⁹. Observation in mainland China suggest that it may be necessary to have this energy percentage less than 20 (or 25) per cent to see the effect of dietary fat²⁰.

For colorectal cancer, the Australian Poly Prevention Project Study has shown no detectable effect on adenoma incidence at 2 years with fat intake <25 per cent, with or without wheat bran supplementation (25 g/day) or beta-carotene supplementation (20 mg/day)²¹. Other studies are awaited. This study will have had more of a likelihood of detecting effects on promotion than on initiation.

Further studies of macronutrient intervention are awaited.

There is also interest in whether genetically disposed individuals are more susceptible to a particular nutrient intake and this may apply in almost any cancer - colorectal, breast or whatever. Of particular interest has been the possibility that some women have a mild form of galactosaemia which puts them at risk of ovarian cancer with regular milk ingestion^{22,23}.

Experimental animal work has allowed some of the macronutrient - carcinogenesis hypotheses to be further evaluated and validated. The nutritional metabolism basis of cancer has thus been better understood. For example, omega-6 fatty acids have been found detrimental whilst omega-3 fatty acids and monounsaturated fatty

Table 1 Nutritional risk factors for breast, colorectal, prostate and ovarian cancers.

	Protective	Detrimental
Nutritional risk factors for breast cancer		
1. Energy balance	+	
Body fat		
(Total fat and distribution)		
- Premenopausal		
- Post-menopausal		+
Physical activity	+	
2. Fat intake (> 20% energy)		+
3. Fat quality (↑ Omega-6)		+
4. Alcohol (> 5 g/day)		+
5. Soya products	+	
(increase traditional products)		
?Phytoestrogens		
6. Meat intake		+
7. Reproductive life span	?	?
Its nutritional determinants		
8. Vitamin A from food	+	
Nutritional risk factors for colorectal cancer		
Fruit and vegetable	++	
Wheat bran/ Cereal fibre	+ (high fat diet)	+ (low fat diet)
Diary components		
Ca	+	
Vitamin D	+	
Whey proteins	+	
Alcohol		+
Fat		+
Nutritional risk factors for prostate cancer		
Vegetables	+	
- Green leafy and yellow	+	
- Soya	?	
Fat intake		?+
Body mass		+
? Muscle mass		+
? Physical activity		+
Cadmium		?+
Nutritional risk factors for ovarian cancer		
Galactose		+
"More common in women who drink milk every day"		
-? genetic predisposition through galactosaemia		
? Obesity		?+
Fruits and vegetables	+	
Fat intake		+

acids from olive oil protective in experimental models of mammary and colonic tumors²⁴. It has been difficult to reach this level of analysis with human studies so far. Animal versus plant protein has likewise been examined with mixed results (see below).

The detailed metabolic analysis of single (or even general) macronutrient experiments sometimes "misses the wood for the trees". In a total diet macronutrients may simply serve as surrogates for other dietary factors, or food or meal patterns. Potter and colleagues^{9,10,25,26} have provided evidence in cross-cultural studies that quantity and frequency of food ingestion (even of cereal fibre) may be of increased risk for colonic cancer²⁶ - although whether this applies with all dietary patterns and at different phases of physical activity (or energy throughput) need further clarification. In the case of coronary heart disease (CHD) risk factors, low fat snacking seems favourable²⁷ and needs reconciliation with large bowel cancer studies (although the two industrialized society disease profiles can operate independently of each other, Table 2).

Micronutrient

There has been a long-standing interest in the potential for micronutrient deficiency to allow the development of certain cancers, and for the rectification of such deficiencies to be preventive (Table 3)²⁸. A separate consideration has

been whether pharmacological doses of certain vitamins, like those with antioxidant properties might be protective²⁹ - if they were, then some would argue for a revision of the present Recommended Dietary Allowances (RDAs) (or RDIs, Recommended Dietary Intakes). This is a vexed point, but as yet there is no clear evidence that vitamin or element intakes beyond those with in reach of the human diet may have any special role. The one exception might be the water soluble B-vitamin folacin for dysplastic conditions of cervix or large bowel³⁰⁻³⁵ or of large bowel adenomata³⁶.

It is, of course, possible that as yet undetermined or presently emerging mechanisms of micronutrient action might provide a more rational basis for recommendations. For example, the role of Vitamin B-6, Vitamin D, and selenium in immune function may be of significance in tumour formation found in states of immunodeficiency (aging, in HIV positive individuals, and in immunosuppressed transplant patients).

Intervention studies are now providing more confidence in the micronutrient-cancer field (Table 4). The most notably relevant study in this area in recent times is the *Linxian*, Henan Province, China study of oesophageal and gastric cardia cancer, and of oesophageal dysplasia³⁷. A combination of beta-carotene, Vitamin E and selenium, in this deficient area, reduced risk,

Table 2 Diet-cancer patterns

Diet & lifestyle	Oriental	Mediterranean	Other occidental
Cancer	Gastric Primary Hepatic Oral Naso-pharyngeal Oesophagus	Gastric	Breast Colorectal Pancreas Endometrium Ovary Prostate
Non-cancer chronic Non-communicable disease	CVD	Obesity Diabetes	Obesity CHD CVD Diabetes

whilst this was almost achieved for a combination of riboflavin and niacin as well. Doses, over 5-1/4 years, were 1-2 fold the RDAs - dose-response data are not available.

The *Australian Poly Prevention Project* on the other hand, a 2 year (and then further 2 years)

Table 3 Nutrition and cancer micronutrients pathogenesis (protection)

	Cancer site
Vitamins-water soluble	
B-2	Oesophageal
Folicin	Cervical dysplasia Colorectal - dysplasia - adenoma
Vitamin C	? Various
Vitamin-fat soluble	
Vitamin A (<i>preferred</i>)	Skin Breast Lung
Beta-carotene	Oesophageal Gastric cardia ? Colorectal Lung
Vitamin D	Cell differentiation
Vitamin E (and tocopherol)	Oral Pharyngeal Oesophageal Gastric cardia
Elements	
Major	
Calcium	Colonic
Minor	
Selenium	Oesophageal Gastric cardia
Zinc	? Oesophageal

2x2x2 factorial designed study of beta-carotene (20 mg/day) versus placebo in conjunction with low fat and/or increased wheat bran, provided no evidence for protection by beta-carotene against the incidence of recurrent adenomas^{38,39}. Further analysis of background carotenoid intakes and their effects is underway.

Non-nutrients in food

Food chemistry has been oversimplified for the purposes of consideration of nutrition-chronic disease pathogenesis. There are hundred of compounds, other than macro- and micronutrients, with potential biological effects in food, such as those that provide food colour, aroma and taste, as well as its keeping properties (eg. antioxidants). Some of these that may provide protection against cancer at different stages are shown in Table 5.

Phytoestrogen

Tamoxifen is an effective management and possibly protection agent against breast cancer in oestrogen sensitive tumours, because of its anti-oestrogenicity at this site. But it is weakly oestrogenic at other sites like vagina⁴⁰ and bone⁴¹. It follows that phytoestrogens from foods like soya products may be protective against breast cancer, as appears to the case in studies of Singaporean Chinese women by Lee et al⁴².

Salicylate

Salicylate, possibly even more so than acetylsalicylic acid (aspirin), through effects on membrane properties may affect cancer expres-

Table 4 Intervention trials

	Intervention	Study
Breast cancer	Tamoxifen	
Prostatic cancer	Under consideration	Kolonel and Nomura
Colorectal cancer		
- Pre cancerous	Fat Fibre (<i>micronutrient, fruit and vegetable</i>)	APPP NCI
Oesophageal/Gastric cardia	Micronutrients	Lin Xiang

Table 5 Food non-nutrients of putative significance in cancer prevention

Component	Relevant cancer
Salicylates	Gut tumours - Oesophagus - Gastric - Colorectal
Phytoestrogen	Breast ?Prostate
Glutathione/whey proteins	Colon
Non pro-vitamin A carotenoids	Various
Flavonoids	Various
Tannins	Skin Lung
Curcumin (in tumeric)	Various
Enzyme-inducers (eg. in broccoli)	Colon
Resistant starch	Colon

sion. Aspirin itself has been shown to be associated with significantly less GI (gastrointestinal) cancer at several sites⁴³. Salicylates are present principally on fruits⁴⁴ and may partly explain the protection of these foods against certain types of cancer.

The antioxidant effects of non-vitamin A precursor carotenoids (eg. lycopene, biotin, cryptoxanthin, zeaxanthin) and flavonoids (eg. quercetin) may be cancer protective⁴⁵.

Food pattern

Comment has already been made on the relative merits of snacking in relation to neoplasia and macrovascular disease²⁵.

Breakfast as a time of day to achieve a significant fraction of the day's nutrient needs is receiving more attention⁴⁶. It has also been targeted by breakfast cereal manufacturers as a "cancer-protective meal" or episode of eating.

Better ways of describing the human diet mathematically are required if preferred eating patterns in respect of neoplastic disease and all-cause mortality are to be accorded confidence.

Moreover, what is often left unsaid or studied in food pattern-health relationships is the

social role of food. Food can be a social facilitator and, in term, social activity a predictor of health⁴⁷. Certainly, social activity can encourage the consumption of food variety and a correspondingly healthful dietary pattern⁴⁸. How these considerations affect the nutrition - cancer relationship is worthy of investigation.

Food variety

Until recently, the advocacy for food variety has been something of a nutritional cliché, although espoused in all Dietary Guidelines. It ensures essential nutrient adequacy if wide enough, and discourages ingestion of excessive quantities of endurable food components⁴⁹.

There is now evidence that food variety, expressed as a Food Diversity score in the NHANES I⁵⁰ study is powerfully predictive of all-cause mortality, more so far men than women¹². It will therefore be of value in future cancer studies as one way to achieve a mathematical descriptor of the human diet⁴⁹.

Table 6a Immunomodulators in food and cancer

A. Macronutrient

- eg. Alcohol
- Fat (n-3/n-6)
- Amino acids (glutamine)

B. Micronutrient

- eg. B-6
- Vitamin D
- Zinc
- Selenium

C. Non-nutrient

- eg. Glutathione
- Flavonoids

Table 6b Immunosuppressed people at risk of cancer and where nutritionally reversible components may be in evidence

1. The aged
2. HIV positive individual
3. Transplant patients

Cancer, immunodeficiency and nutrition

Neoplastic disease is more common in association with immunodeficiency in the following settings:

- (1) Aging
- (2) HIV positivity
- (3) Transplantation with immunosuppression

There are prospects for the nutritional immunomodulation of these situations (Tables 6a and 6b)⁵¹.

Designer or functional foods

Food technology proceeds apace and views with interest the newer developments in nutrition and cancer science. Novel and analogue foods will undoubtedly emerge which incorporate this new science. They will require recognition in the market-place, distinguished from "*traditional foods*" and, where health claims are made, designated as "*medical foods*". There will be risks and their long term evaluation will require a new "food toxicology"⁵².

REFERENCES

1. Albanes D. Energy intake and cancer. In: *Macronutrients: investigating their role in cancer*. In: Marc SM, Thomas EM, eds. Marcel Dekker Inc, New York: 1992:205-229.
2. Pariza MW, Simopoulos AP. Calories and energy expenditure in carcinogenesis. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1986; 45(suppl):149-272.
3. Paffenbarger RS, Hyde RT, Wing AL. Physical activity and incidence of cancer in diverse populations: a preliminary report. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1987; 45:311-317.
4. Paffenbarger RS, Hyde RT, Wing AL, Msieh CC. Physical activity, all-cause mortality and longevity of college alumni. *N Engl J Med* 1986; 314:605-613.
5. Kromhout D, Bosschieter EB, de Lezenne Coulanders C. The inverse relation between fish consumption and 20-year mortality from coronary heart disease, cancer and all causes. The Zutphen Study. *Lancet* 1982; 2:518-521.
6. Garabrant DH, Peters JM, Mack TM, Bernstein L. Job activity and colon cancer risk. *Am J Epidemiol* 1984; 119:1005-1014.
7. Lapidus L, Helgesson, Merck C, Bjorntop P. Adipose tissue distribution and female carcinomas. A 12-year follow-up of participants in the population study of women in Gothenburg, Sweden. *Int J Obesity* 1988; 12:361-368.
8. Oishi K, Okada K, Yoshida O, et al. A case-controlled study of prostatic cancer with reference to dietary habits. *Prostate* 1988; 12:179-190.
9. Potter JD. The epidemiology and prevention of pancreas cancer. In: Zatonski W, et al, eds. *Cancer Prevention Vital Statistics to Intervention*. Warsaw PA: Interpress, 1990.
10. Potter D, Graves KL. Diet and Cancer: evidence and mechanisms-an adaptation argument. In: Rowland I, ed. *Nutrition Toxicity and Cancer*. CRC Press, 1991.
11. Albanes D, Blair A, Taylor PR. Physical activity and risk of cancer in the NHANES I population. *Am J Public Health* 1989; 79:744.
12. Block G, Patterson B, Subar A. Fruit, vegetables, and cancer prevention: a review of the epidemiological evidence. *Nutrition and Cancer* 1992; 18(1):1-29.
13. Hirayama T. Relationship of soybean paste soup intake to gastric cancer risk. *Nutrition and Cancer* 1982; 3(4):223-233.
14. Willett W. The search for the causes of breast and colon cancer. *Nature* 1989; 338:389-394.
15. Willett WC, Browne ML, Bain C, et al. Relative weight and risk of breast cancer among premenopausal women. *Am J Epidemiol* 1985; 122:731-740.
16. Willett WC, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, et al. Dietary fat and the risk of breast cancer. *N Engl J Med* 1987; 316:22-28.
17. Willett WC, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, et al. Moderate alcohol consumption and the risk of breast cancer. *N Engl J Med* 1987b; 316:1174-1180.
18. Willett WC, Stampfer MJ. Total energy intake: implications for epidemiologic analyses. *Am J Epidemiol* 1986; 124:17-27.
19. Willett WC, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Rosner BA, Speizer FE. Relation of meat, fat, and fiber intake to the risk of colon cancer in a prospective study among women. *N Engl J Med* 1990; 323:1664-1672.
20. Xu DD. A nutrition-epidemiological approach on breast and colon cancer in Shanghai. *Proceedings of the 5th Asian Congress of Nutrition, Osaka, Japan, October 26-29, 1987:378-380*.
21. MacLennan R, Ward M, Macrae F, et al. Dietary prevention of large bowel neoplasia. *Proceedings of 15th International Congress of Nutrition, Adelaide, 26 September-1 October 1993*.
22. Cowen LD, O'Connell DL, Criqui MH, Barrett-Connor E, Bush TL, Wallace RB. Cancer mortality and lipid and lipoprotein levels. *Am J Epidemiol* 1990; 131:468-482.
23. Shu XO, Gao YT, Yuan JM, Ziegler RG, Brinton LA.

- Dietary factors and epithelial ovarian cancer. *Br J Cancer* 1988; 59:92.
24. Shaw P, Tardy S, Benito E, Obrador A, Costa J. Occurrence of K1-Ras and P53 mutations in primary colorectal tumors. *Oncogene* 1991; 6(11):2121-2128.
 25. Potter JD. Epidemiology of diet and cancer: evidence of human maladaptation. In: Marc SM, Thomas EM, eds. *Macronutrients: investigating their role in cancer*. New York: Marcel Dekker Inc, 1992:55-84.
 26. Potter D, McMichael AJ. Diet and cancer of the colon and rectum: A case-control study. *JNCI* 1986; 76:557-569.
 27. Jenkins DJA, Wolever TMS, Vuksan V, et al. Nibbling versus gorging: metabolic advantages of increased meal frequency. *N Engl J Med* 1989; 321:929-934.
 28. Benner SE, Winn RJ, Lippman SM, et al. Regression of oral leukoplakia with α -tocopherol: A community clinical oncology program chemoprevention study. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1993; 85:44-47.
 29. Wahlqvist ML, Huang SS, Worsley A. Use and abuse of vitamins. Sun Books The MacMillan Company of Australia, Melbourne, second ed. 1988.
 30. Ballard-Barbash R, Schatzkin A, Albanes D, et al. Physical activity and risk of large bowel cancer in the Framingham study. *Cancer Res* 1990; 50:3610-3613.
 31. Barone J, Taioli E, Herbert JR, et al. Vitamin supplement use and risk for oral and esophageal cancer. *Nutr Cancer* 1992; 18:31-41.
 32. Butterworth CE Jr, Hatch KD, Macaluso M, et al. Folate deficiency and cervical dysplasia. *JAMA* 1992; 267(4):528-533.
 33. Butterworth CE Jr, Hatch KD, Soong SJ, et al. Oral folic acid supplementation for cervical dysplasia: a clinical intervention trial. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1992; 166(3): 803-809.
 34. Freudenheim JL, Graham S, Marshall JR, Haughey BP, Cholewinski S, Wilkinson G. Folate intake and carcinogenesis of the colon and rectum. *Int J Epidemiol* 1991; 20(2):368-374.
 35. Lashner BA. Recommendations for colorectal cancer screening in ulcerative colitis: a review of research from a single university-based surveillance program. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1992; 87(2):168-175.
 36. Giovannucci E, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA, Rimm EB, Trichopoulos D, Rosner BA, Speizer FE, Willett WC. Folate, methionine, and alcohol intake and risk of colorectal adenoma. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1993; 85(11): 875-884.
 37. Li JY, Taylor PR, Li B, et al. Nutrition intervention trials in Linxian, China: multiple vitamin/mineral supplementation cancer incidence, and disease-specific mortality among adults with esophageal dysplasia. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1993; 85:1492-1498.
 38. Regester GO. Whey protein based functional foods. National Food Authority Workshop, 5-6 October 1993, Canberra.
 39. van Poppel G, KMok FJ, Hermus RJ. Beta-carotene supplementation in smokers reduces the frequency of micronuclei in sputum. *Br J Cancer* 1992; 66:1164-1168.
 40. Wilcox G, Wahlqvist ML, Burger HG, Medley G. Oestrogenic effects of plant-derived foods in postmenopausal women. *Br Med J* 1990; 301:905-906.
 41. Love RR, Mazess RB, Barden HS, et al. Effects of tamoxifen on bone mineral density in postmenopausal women with breast cancer. *N Engl J Med* 1992; 326: 852-856.
 42. Lee HP, Gouley L, Duffy SW, Esteve J, Lee J, Day NE. Dietary effects on breast-cancer risk in Singapore. *The Lancet* 1991; 337:1197-1200.
 43. Weissmann G. Aspirin. *Scientific American*, January 1991:58-64.
 44. Swain A, Dutton S, Truswell AS. Salicylates in Australian foods. *Proc. Nutr Soc Aust* 1982; 7:163.
 45. Thurnham DI, Zheng SF, Munoz N, et al. Comparison of riboflavin, vitamin A, and zinc status of Chinese populations at high and low risk for esophageal cancer. *Nutr Cancer* 1985; 7:131-143.
 46. Howden JA, Chong YH, Leung SF, et al. Breakfast practices in the Asian region. *Asia Pacific Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1993; 2(2):77-84.
 47. Welin L, Tibblin G, Svardsudd K, Tibblin B, Ander-Peciva S, Larsson B, Wilhelmsen L. Prospective study of social influences on mortality. *Lancet* 1985; 1:915-918.
 48. Horwarth CC. A random population study of the dietary habits of elderly people. PhD thesis. University of Adelaide 1987.
 49. Hodgson JM, Hsu-Hage BH-H, Wahlqvist ML. Food variety as a quantitative descriptor of food intake. *Ecology of Food and Nutrition* (in press).
 50. Kant AK, Schatzkin A, Harris TB, Ziegler RG, Block G. Dietary diversity and subsequent mortality in the First National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey Epidemiologic Follow-up Study. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1993; 57:434-440.
 51. Chandra RK. Effect of vitamin and trace-element supplementation on immune responses and infection in elderly subjects. *Lancet* 1992; 340:1124-1127.
 52. Wahlqvist ML. Non-nutrients in foods, implications for the food industry. *Food Australia* 1992; 44(12):558-560.