Original Article

Associations between diet quality scores and cardiometabolic status in young Japanese women: A cross-sectional study

Fumi Oono PhD^{1,2}, Kentaro Murakami Prof, PhD³, Kazuhiro Uenishi Prof, PhD⁴, Aya Fujiwara PhD^{5,6}, Nana Shinozaki PhD³, Satoshi Sasaki Prof, MD, PhD³, Japan Dietetic Students' Study for Nutrition and Biomarkers Group

Background and Objectives: This cross-sectional study aimed to examine associations between diet quality scores and adverse cardiometabolic status in young Japanese women. Methods and Study Design: In total, 1084 female dietetics students aged 18-22 years completed a validated self-administered diet history questionnaire. Diet quality was assessed using the Diet Quality Score for Japanese (DQSJ), Healthy Eating Index-2015 (HEI-2015), Alternate Healthy Eating Index-2010 (AHEI-2010), Alternate Mediterranean Diet score (AMED), Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension score (DASH), and Japanese Food Guide Spinning Top score (JFGST). Adverse cardiometabolic status was defined as the highest quartile of the sum of z scores for waist circumference, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (multiplied by -1), triacylglycerol, glucose, and insulin. Results: After adjustment for potential confounding factors, adverse cardiometabolic status was significantly associated with all the diet quality scores except for AMED, with adjusted odds ratios (95% confidence interval) in the highest quartile compared with the lowest of 0.39 (0.25-0.61) for DQSJ, 0.40 (0.26-0.61) for DASH, 0.44 (0.30–0.66) for AHEI-2010, 0.59 (0.39–0.88) for HEI-2015, 0.67 (0.45–0.99) for JFGST, and 0.80 (0.54-1.18) for AMED. Associations with each of the cardiometabolic risk factors were most prominent for AHEI-2010 (significant associations with all factors except triacylglycerol), followed by DQSJ (significant associations with all factors except triacylglycerol and glucose). Conclusions: This cross-sectional study of young Japanese women showed associations between several diet quality scores and cardiometabolic status. These associations should be confirmed in other populations, prospectively where possible.

Key Words: diet quality indices, dietary pattern, metabolic syndrome, cardiovascular disease risk, Japan

INTRODUCTION

The Japanese diet has long captured global interest, mainly owing to the long average life expectancy of the Japanese population. Dietary intake among the Japanese is characterized by high intakes of seafood, refined grains, and plant food and low intake of added sugar. When assessing the overall diet in specific populations, such as the Japanese, it is essential to take into account the dietary intake in that population. One method to assess the overall diet is the *a posteriori* (data-driven) approach, which is suitable for describing dietary patterns that contribute to dietary variation in a specific population. Several studies have identified a posteriori dietary patterns in

Japan, 10-12 though not all components are important for health outcomes.

In contrast, the *a priori* approach aims to assess the overall diet based on current evidence regarding diet and health outcomes or dietary guidelines.^{6,8} For example, the

Corresponding Author: Dr Kentaro Murakami, Department of Social and Preventive Epidemiology, School of Public Health, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

Tel: +81-3-5841-7872

Email: kenmrkm@m.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Manuscript received 28 September 2023. Initial review completed 06 August 2024. Revision accepted 25 September 2024. doi: 10.6133/apjcn.202502_34(1).0009

¹ Department of Social and Preventive Epidemiology, Division of Health Sciences and Nursing, Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

²Department of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine, Graduate School of Medicine, Gifu University, Gifu, Japan

³Department of Social and Preventive Epidemiology, School of Public Health, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

⁴Laboratory of Physiological Nutrition, Kagawa Nutrition University, Saitama, Japan

⁵Division of Food Safety Information, National Institute of Health Sciences, Kanagawa, Japan

⁶Department of Nutritional Epidemiology and Shokuiku, National Institute of Biomedical Innovation, Health and Nutrition, Osaka, Japan

Japanese Food Guide Spinning Top (JFGST) score, ¹³⁻¹⁵ a widely used score in Japan, was based on a Japanese dishand food-based guideline.16 However, this guideline was not derived from the scientific literature on associations between dietary intake and health outcomes. The JFGST overlooks key aspects of diet quality, such as high sodium intake and low consumption of whole grains, nuts, and seeds-dietary risks identified by the Global Burden of Disease study as major contributors to death in Japan.¹⁷ Until recently, no diet quality score in Japan was based on evidence linking diet to health outcomes. We addressed this by developing the Diet Quality Score for Japanese (DQSJ), considering the available data on dietary intake and health outcomes in Japan. 18 The DQSJ has shown inverse associations with the prevalence of inadequate intake of most nutrients.¹⁸ Nevertheless, its associations with health outcomes remain unknown.

In contrast to the diet quality scores specific to particular populations, several diet quality scores have already been used in various populations. The Healthy Eating Index (HEI), 19,20 Alternate Healthy Eating Index (AHEI),^{21,22} Mediterranean Diet score,^{23,24} and Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension score (DASH)^{25,26} have been shown to have protective associations with noncommunicable diseases in studies mainly from Western countries.²⁷⁻³³ However, the usefulness of these scores in non-Western countries is unclear, as only a few studies have examined associations between them and health outcomes, such as all-cause mortality, incidence of diabetes and cardiovascular disease, and cardiometabolic risk factors.34-45 Particularly in Japan, few studies have examined associations between health outcomes and the Mediterranean diet43-45 and DASH,44,45 and none have been based on the HEI and AHEI. It remains unclear whether these scores effectively measure healthy dietary patterns in the Japanese population. Comparing multiple scores, both from Japan and other countries, could reveal which score best reflects dietary patterns associated with favorable health outcomes.

Here, we examined the associations between six diet quality scores, namely DQSJ, HEI, AHEI, AMED, DASH, and JFGST, and cardiometabolic risk factors using data from young Japanese women. This study population was expected to minimize major confounding factors (e.g., gender, age, alcohol intake, smoking, and educational background) while having sufficient variability in their dietary intake. We assumed that the study population's characteristics would enable detection of associations between dietary variables and cardiometabolic status. This assumption was supported by previous studies, where we found biologically plausible associations between cardiometabolic risk factors and dietary variables like energy density46 and acid load.47 This study represents an initial step toward identifying optimal diet quality scores related to health outcomes in Japan.

METHODS

Study design and participants

This cross-sectional study was conducted from February to March 2006 and from January to March 2007. The details of the survey design and procedure have been described in previous studies. ^{15,47-49} Briefly, the main aim of

the survey was to examine associations between dietary intake and health status, including biomarkers, in healthy young women. Female dietetic students were recruited from 15 higher-education institutions in Japan. The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants and also from a parent for participants aged less than 20 years. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the National Institute of Health and Nutrition (number: 04045 and 6017).

Participants were asked to answer self-administered lifestyle questionnaires, including dietary intake and other lifestyle factors, 1–4 days before the assessment of cardiometabolic risk factors. Research staff checked the responses using a standardized checklist for this survey, and asked participants to provide any missing values or correct any implausible values.

A total of 1176 Japanese women took part (response rate: 56%). For the present study, 1154 women aged 18-22 years were selected because dietetics students outside this age range are rare in Japan, and their cardiometabolic characters may differ from those of students aged 18-22 years. We then excluded those who did not complete the survey questionnaire (n=1); those with previously diagnosed diabetes, hypertension, or CVD (n=1); those without measurement of body height and weight (n=2); those currently receiving dietary counseling from a doctor or dietitian (n=13); those with non-fasting blood samples (n=34); those with missing information on one or more cardiometabolic risk factors (n=19); and those with extremely low or high reported energy intakes (<2512 or >16736 kJ/d, n=3).50 Some women fell into more than one exclusion category, resulting in 1084 women for the final sample. There were no significant differences in diet quality scores, cardiometabolic risk factors, and physical activity level between the final sample and those excluded in the analyses. However, those excluded from analyses had a higher prevalence of current smokers (8.8%) than the final sample (2.3%), albeit that similar results were obtained when only non-smokers were analyzed (data not shown). The sample-size was determined based on feasibility, without a specific sample-size calculation.

Dietary assessment

Dietary habits during the preceding month were assessed using a self-administered diet history questionnaire (DHQ). Details of the DHQ have been reported elsewhere. 51-53 Briefly, DHQ is a 16-page structured questionnaire that asks about the consumption frequency and portion size of selected foods and dietary behaviors. Estimates of the daily intakes of 151 food items, energy, and selected nutrients were calculated using an ad hoc computer algorithm based on the Standard Tables of Food Composition in Japan⁵⁴ and comprehensive composition database of added sugar⁵⁵ and trans fat.⁵⁶ Previous studies have reported sufficient validity for intakes of food groups⁵² and nutrients^{53,55} and HEI-2015⁵⁷ derived from DHQ. For example, the Pearson correlation coefficient between DHQ and a 16-d weighed dietary record was 0.57 for HEI-2015 in Japanese women.⁵⁷ Dietary supplements were not used in the calculation of dietary intake.

Calculation of diet quality scores

We calculated the six diet quality scores, namely the DQSJ,¹⁸ HEI-2015,²⁰ AHEI-2010,²¹ Mediterranean diet score, DASH score, and JFGST score.¹⁵ For the Mediterranean diet score and DASH score, the alternate Mediterranean diet index (AMED)⁵⁸ and Fung's DASH score,⁵⁹ respectively, were chosen due to their wide use in studies.⁶⁰⁻⁶² For all six scores, higher scores indicate a better diet quality.

The DQSJ consisted of 10 components, each giving 0–3 points, for a total possible range of 0–30 points. ¹⁸ Scoring was based on the quartile of intake of each component in the study population (Supplementary Table 1). Highest quartile for seven components (fruits, vegetables, whole grains, dairy, nuts, legumes, and fish) was assigned 3 points, and the lowest quartile for three components (red and processed meat, sugar-sweetened beverages, and sodium) was assigned 3 points.

The details of the scoring criteria of HEI-2015, AHEI-2010, AMED and DASH are shown in Supplementary Table 2. HEI-2015, with a possible score ranging from 0 to 100, was calculated according to a previous study using DHQ.57 HEI-2015 included nine favorable components (total fruits, whole fruits, total vegetables, greens and beans, whole grains, dairy, total protein, seafood and plant protein, and the ratio of the sum of poly-unsaturated fatty acids [PUFA] and mono-unsaturated fatty acids [MUFA] to saturated fatty acids [SFA]) and four unfavorable components (refined grains, sodium, added sugar, and SFA). AHEI-2010, with a possible score ranging from 0 to 110, was calculated according to a previous study in Japan.¹⁸ AHEI-2010 included five favorable components (whole fruits, vegetables, nuts and legumes, long-chain fats, and PUFA), four unfavorable components (red and processed meat, sugar-sweetened beverages [SSBs], sodium, and trans fat), and two components with an optimal intake range (alcohol and whole grains). AMED consisted of nine components, each giving 0 or 1 point, for a total possible range of 0–9 points.⁵⁸ It included seven favorable components (fruits and fruit juice, vegetables except for potatoes, whole grain foods, nuts, legumes, fish, and the ratio of MUFA to SFA), one unfavorable component (red and processed meat), and one component with an optimal intake range (alcohol). Fung's DASH score comprised eight components, each giving 1– 5 points, for a total possible range of 8-40 points.⁵⁹ DASH included five favorable components (fruits and fruit juice, vegetables, whole grain foods, nuts and legumes, and reduced-fat dairy products) and three unfavorable components (red and processed meat, sweetened beverages, and sodium).

The JFGST score assesses adherence to the JFGST, which is a dish- and food-based dietary guideline in Japan. ¹⁶ We calculated the JFGST score using a procedure developed by Nishimura et al. (Supplementary Table 3). ¹⁵ The JFGST score includes six components—grain dishes, vegetable dishes, fish and meat dishes, milk, fruits, and snack/alcoholic beverages—with recommended amounts based on sex, age, and physical activity level. We assumed low physical activity for all participants due to their predominantly sedentary lifestyle. ⁴⁶ The total score of the six components ranged from 0 to 60.

Assessment of cardiometabolic risk factors

Details of cardiometabolic risk factors assessment were described in previous studies. 15,47-49 Waist circumference was measured in the standing position at the level of the umbilicus to the nearest 0.1 cm. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure was measured on the left arm using an automatic device after the participant had sat quietly for more than 3 min, with a second blood pressure measurement taken about 1 min after the first. The mean of two measurements was used, except for one participant, where only one measurement was available. After blood pressure measurement, overnight fast, and peripheral blood samples were collected. Serum HDL cholesterol, triacylglycerol, and glucose were measured using enzymatic assays, while insulin was measured via immunoradiometric assay. Participants who ate after 1 am were considered to have non-fasting samples.

Given the low prevalence of metabolic syndrome, obesity and overweight, high blood pressure, hyperlipidemia, and diabetes in young Japanese women,63 we calculated a continuous summary score of metabolic risk, as described in a previous study.⁶⁴ A cardiometabolic risk score was computed using the sum of z-score standardization of the following six variables: waist circumference, mean value of systolic and diastolic blood pressures, HDL cholesterol, triacylglycerol, glucose, and insulin. Triacylglycerol and insulin were natural-log transformed before calculation of the z-score owing to their skewed distribution as evaluated using histograms. HDL cholesterol was multiplied by -1 so that a higher score indicates a less favorable cardiometabolic profile. Although several methods exist for calculating cardiometabolic risk scores, 65 this summary score was chosen as it includes all key dimensions of metabolic syndrome recommended by Eisenmann. 66 Age-specific standardization was not conducted due to the narrow age range, but age was adjusted for in statistical models. Participants in the highest quartile of the cardiometabolic risk score were classified as having adverse cardiometabolic status.

Assessment of other variables

Based on the reported home address, the residential area was grouped into one of three residential regions (north [Kanto, Hokkaido, and Tohoku], central [Tokai, Hokuriku, and Kinki], or south [Kyushu and Chugoku]) and into one of three municipality levels (city with a population of more than million, city with a population of less than million, or town and village). A self-reported lifestyle questionnaire assessed current smoking (yes or no) and physical activity. For the latter, average metabolic equivalent hours (MET-hours) per day were calculated using the frequency and duration of five different activities over the preceding month (sleeping, high- and moderate-intensity activities, walking, and sedentary activities). 46 When the sum of the duration of the five activities was not 24 h per day, we assigned sedentary activities to the remaining time. Body height and weight were measured with light indoor clothes and without shoes. BMI was calculated as body weight (kg) divided by the square of body height (m).

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using the SAS statistical software (version 9.4, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, Japan), with two-tailed p values < 0.05 considered statistically significant. Participant characteristics according to adverse cardiometabolic status (yes or no) were compared using the chi-square test for categorical variables and the unpaired t-test for continuous variables. Additionally, to describe the associations of each diet quality score with participant characteristics, the participants were divided into quartiles of each score, and characteristics across the quartile were compared using the chisquare test for categorical variables and a linear regression model for continuous variables by assigning the mean values of diet quality scores for each quartile. Associations among diet quality scores were examined using Spearman correlation coefficients. Additionally, we calculated Spearman correlation coefficients between the diet quality scores and intakes of food groups and nutrients.

Crude and multivariable-adjusted odds ratios and 95% confident intervals for adverse cardiometabolic status were calculated using logistic regression analysis, with the lowest quartile of diet quality score used as the reference. We tested for linear trends of odds ratios across the

quartiles of diet quality scores by assigning mean values for each quartile. Further, univariate and multivariable linear regression analyses were used to examine the associations between the diet quality scores and a cardiometabolic risk score and its components. Right-skewed data (i.e., triacylglycerol and insulin) were analyzed after natural-log transformation. We calculated regression coefficients indicating a difference in cardiometabolic risk factors per 1 standard deviation (SD) difference in each diet quality score. For multivariable analyses, potential confounding factors, determined based on previous literature and a directed acyclic graph approach (Supplementary Figure 1), included age, survey year, residential regions, municipality levels, current smoking, energy intake, and physical activity. Alcohol intake was not considered a covariate because of low alcohol intake (mean, 1.5 g/d) and the fact that several of the diet quality scores used alcohol intake as a component.

RESULTS

Table 1 shows participant characteristics by adverse cardiometabolic status. Adverse cardiometabolic status was associated with residential area but not with survey year, municipality level, smoking status, age, energy intake, or physical activity. Compared to participants without an

Table 1. Characteristics of Japanese women aged 18–22 years according to adverse cardiometabolic status

				Adverse cardiometabolic status [†]					
	All (r	1=1084)	No (n=81	3; 75.0%)	Yes (n=27	71; 25.0%)	p^{\ddagger}		
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	-		
Age (years)	19.6	1.1	19.6	1.1	19.7	1.1	0.24		
Energy intake (kJ/day)	7364	1869	7361	1851	7373	1924	0.92		
Physical activity	33.9	3.1	34.0	3.0	33.7	3.5	0.16		
(total metabolic equivalents-h/d)									
BMI (kg/m^2)	21.4	2.7	20.7	2.1	23.2	3.5	< 0.001		
Survey year, n (%)							0.11		
2006	447	(41.2)	324	(39.8)	123	(45.4)			
2007	637	(58.8)	489	(60.2)	148	(54.6)			
Residential regions, n (%)							0.004		
North (Kanto, Hokkaido, and Tohoku)	625	(57.7)	487	(60.0)	138	(50.9)			
Central (Tokai, Hokuriku, and Kinki)	250	(23.1)	187	(23.0)	63	(23.3)			
South (Kyushu and Chugoku)	209	(19.3)	139	(17.1)	70	(25.8)			
Municipality level, n (%)							0.78		
City with population ≥1 million	178	(16.4)	137	(16.8)	41	(15.1)			
City with population <1 million	842	(77.7)	629	(77.4)	213	(78.6)			
Town and village	64	(5.9)	47	(5.8)	17	(6.3)			
Smoking status, n (%)							0.91		
Non-current smokers	1059	(97.7)	794	(97.7)	265	(97.8)			
Current smokers	25	(2.3)	19	(2.3)	6	(2.2)			
Metabolic risk factors									
Waist circumference (cm)	72.9	7.1	71.1	5.6	78.4	8.1	< 0.001		
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	106	10.5	104	9.1	114	11.1	< 0.001		
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	69.3	8.1	67.5	6.9	74.9	8.8	< 0.001		
HDL cholesterol (mmol/L)	1.8	0.32	1.9	0.3	1.6	0.3	< 0.001		
Triacylglycerol (mmol/L)	0.69	0.33	0.61	0.22	0.93	0.45	< 0.001		
Glucose (mmol/L)	4.7	0.36	4.6	0.3	4.9	0.3	< 0.001		
Insulin (mU/L)	8.4	4.7	7.1	3.3	12.4	6.0	< 0.001		
Cardiometabolic risk score§	0.0	3.3	-1.4	2.2	4.2	2.2	< 0.001		

BMI, body mass index; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; Q, quartile; SD, standard deviation.

Adverse cardiometabolic status was defined as the highest quartile of a cardiometabolic risk score (>1.89).

^{*}p values for differences between participants according to adverse cardiometabolic status (yes or no) using the chi-square test for categorical variables and the independent t test for continuous variables.

[§]A cardiometabolic risk score was computed from the sum of z-score standardization of the following six variables: waist circumference, mean value of systolic and diastolic blood pressures, HLD cholesterol (multiplied by -1), triacylglycerol (natural-log transformed), glucose, and insulin (natural-log transformed).

adverse cardiometabolic status, those with this status had higher BMI, waist circumference, systolic and diastolic blood pressure, triacylglycerol, glucose, and insulin, and lower HDL cholesterol.

The mean (SD) values of diet quality score were 13.5 (4.0) for DQSJ, 50.8 (7.8) for HEI-2015, 57.7 (7.1) for AHEI-2010, 3.8 (1.6) for AMED, 13.4 (4.0) for DASH, and 35.2 (7.0) for JFGST. Significant positive correlations were found between all scores (Supplementary Table 4). DQSJ, HEI-2015, AHEI-2010, AMED, and DASH were moderately to strongly correlated with each other (r = 0.45-0.75). The highest correlation was found between DQSJ and DASH (r = 0.75). JFGST was weakly to moderately correlated with the other five scores (r = 0.22-0.45).

Age, survey year, residential area, municipality level, smoking status, and physical activity were not associated with any the diet quality scores, except the associations of age with AHEI-2010, survey year with DQSJ, and smoking status with DQSJ (Supplementary Table 5). Associations with energy intake were not consistent among the six diet quality scores; participants with higher categories of DQSJ, HEI-2015, and AMED had higher mean energy intake, whereas those with higher categories of AHEI-2010 and JFGST had lower mean energy intake. Participants with higher categories of DQSJ (p=0.099) and HEI-2015 (p=0.036) tend to have lower mean BMI.

Table 2 shows mean and SD values of intakes of food groups and nutrients and Spearman correlation coefficients between diet quality scores and intake of food groups and nutrients. All scores were positively correlated with intakes of fruits, vegetables, whole grain (except JFGST), dairy (except AMED), legumes, fish, protein, total fiber, vitamin C, potassium, calcium, magnesium, and iron, and negatively with refined grains (except JFGST), SSBs (except AMED), and saturated fat (except DQSJ). On the contrary to the other scores, JFGST were positively correlated with refined grain intake.

The odds ratios for adverse cardiometabolic status according to quartile of diet quality score are shown in Table 3. After adjustment for potential confounding factors, higher DQSJ, HEI-2015, AHEI-2010, and DASH were associated with a lower risk of adverse cardiometabolic status, whereas AMED and JFGST were not significantly associated (*p* for trend = 0.23 for AMED and 0.0502 for JFGST). The adjusted odds ratio (95% confidence intervals) for adverse cardiometabolic risk in the highest compared with the lowest quartile of diet quality score was 0.39 (0.25–0.61) for DQSJ, 0.40 (0.26–0.61) for DASH, 0.44 (0.30–0.66) for AHEI-2010, 0.59 (0.39–0.88) for HEI-2015, 0.67 (0.45–0.99) for JFGST, and 0.80 (0.54–1.18) for AMED.

Table 4 shows associations between diet quality scores and the cardiometabolic risk score and its components.

Table 2. Values of mean and standard deviation of intakes of food groups and nutrients and Spearman correlation coefficients between diet quality scores and intakes of food groups and nutrients in Japanese women aged 18–22 years (n 1084)

				Spe	arman correlation	on coefficier	nt.	
	Mean	SD	DQSJ	HEI-2015	AHEI-2010	AMED	DASH	JFGST
Food groups (g/4184 kJ)								
Fruits [†]	31.3	30.8	0.52^{*}	0.55^{*}	0.33^{*}	0.41^{*}	0.44^{*}	0.39^{*}
Vegetables [†]	114	68	0.46^{*}	0.53^{*}	0.45^{*}	0.50^{*}	0.39^{*}	0.35^{*}
Refined grain	212	73	-0.35*	-0.22*	-0.18*	-0.37*	-0.19^*	0.24^{*}
Whole grains [†]	18.5	48.2	0.30^{*}	0.22^{*}	0.33^{*}	0.24^{*}	0.34^{*}	0.05
Dairy [†]	82.1	72.5	0.42^{*}	0.16^{*}	0.08^{*}	-0.02	0.24^{*}	0.22^{*}
Low fat dairy	17.4	44.7	0.14^{*}	0.15^{*}	0.09^{*}	0.05	0.40^{*}	0.02
Nuts [†]	0.7	1.1	0.36^{*}	0.10^{*}	0.11^{*}	0.40^{*}	0.06	0.02
Legumes [†]	18.9	14.4	0.52^{*}	0.41^{*}	0.50^{*}	0.49^{*}	0.49^{*}	0.16^{*}
Fish [†]	18.6	12.2	0.45^{*}	0.43^{*}	0.22^{*}	0.47^{*}	0.17^{*}	0.14^{*}
Red and processed meat [†]	24.1	13.1	-0.20*	0.08^{*}	-0.15*	-0.01	-0.35*	0.01
Sugar-sweetened beverages [†]	30.9	45.8	-0.41*	-0.19^*	-0.52*	-0.06	-0.48*	-0.18^*
Alcoholic beverages [†]	10.1	25.3	-0.03	0.00	0.21^{*}	0.04	0.02	-0.11*
Nutrients								
Protein (% energy)	13.5	1.9	0.46^{*}	0.44^{*}	0.23^{*}	0.37^{*}	0.23^{*}	0.11^{*}
Fat (% energy)	29.2	5.2	-0.01	-0.05	-0.18*	0.12^{*}	-0.26*	-0.30*
Saturated fat (% energy)	8.5	2.0	0.06	-0.24*	-0.19*	-0.08*	-0.20*	-0.34*
n-3 fatty acids (g/4184 kJ)	1.2	0.4	0.19^{*}	0.39^{*}	0.15^{*}	0.45^{*}	-0.01	0.01
Carbohydrate (% energy)	55.7	6.0	-0.07*	-0.05	0.06	-0.18*	0.21^{*}	0.27^{*}
Added sugar (% energy)	7.9	2.9	-0.08*	-0.22*	-0.24*	0.05^{*}	-0.13*	-0.39*
Total fiber (g/4184 kJ)	6.9	2.0	0.56^{*}	0.55^{*}	0.55^{*}	0.53^{*}	0.55^{*}	0.32^{*}
Vitamin C (mg/4184 kJ)	47.7	21.9	0.34^{*}	0.52^{*}	0.32^{*}	0.45^{*}	0.35^{*}	0.32^{*}
Sodium (mg/4184 kJ)	2059	498	0.02	0.10^{*}	-0.02	0.23^{*}	-0.06	0.12^{*}
Potassium (mg/4184 kJ)	1112	263	0.61^{*}	0.62^{*}	0.44^{*}	0.49^{*}	0.49^{*}	0.28^{*}
Calcium (mg/4184 kJ)	283	98	0.57^{*}	0.30^{*}	0.27^{*}	0.20^{*}	0.42^{*}	0.15^{*}
Magnesium (mg/4184 kJ)	121	27	0.65^{*}	0.59^{*}	0.55^{*}	0.53^{*}	0.57^{*}	0.26^{*}
Iron (mg/4184 kJ)	3.7	0.8	0.46^{*}	0.49*	0.43*	0.48^{*}	0.40^{*}	0.19^{*}

DQSJ, Diet Quality Score for Japanese; HEI-2015, Healthy Eating Index-2015; AHEI-2010, Alternate Healthy Eating Index-2010; AMED; Alternate Mediterranean Diet; DASH, Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension; JFGST, Japanese Food Guide Spinning Top; SD, standard deviation.

[†]These food groups were defined in the same way as the components of the DQSJ, as described in Supplementary Table 1. $^*p < 0.05$

Table 3. Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for adverse cardiometabolic status according to the quartile of diet quality scores in Japanese women aged 18–22 years (n 1084)

	Score range	Adverse card			Crude model		Adjusted model [‡]			
		stat	us [†]							
		n (yes/no)	% of yes	OR	95% CI	p trend \S	OR	95% CI	p trend§	
DQSJ										
Q1	2–10	86/170	33.6	1.00	Reference	< 0.001	1.00	Reference	< 0.001	
Q2	11–13	84/205	29.1	0.81	0.56, 1.16		0.80	0.55, 1.15		
Q3	14–16	59/240	19.7	0.49	0.33, 0.71		0.46	0.31, 0.68		
Q4	17–26	42/198	17.5	0.42	0.28, 0.64		0.39	0.25, 0.61		
HEI-2015										
Q1	22.2-46.0	76/195	28.0	1.00	Reference	0.009	1.00	Reference	0.008	
Q2	46.1 - 50.5	76/195	28.0	1.00	0.69, 1.46		1.01	0.69, 1.47		
Q3	50.6-55.0	68/203	25.1	0.86	0.59, 1.26		0.86	0.58, 1.26		
Q4	55.1-86.6	51/220	18.8	0.60	0.40, 0.89		0.59	0.39, 0.88		
AHEI-2010										
Q1	39.2-53.2	91/180	33.6	1.00	Reference	< 0.001	1.00	Reference	< 0.001	
Q2	53.3-57.0	67/204	24.7	0.65	0.45, 0.94		0.61	0.42, 0.90		
Q3	57.1-62.7	60/211	22.1	0.56	0.38, 0.82		0.53	0.36, 0.79		
Q4	62.8-86.5	53/218	19.6	0.48	0.33, 0.71		0.44	0.30, 0.66		
AMED										
Q1	0–2	69/188	26.9	1.00	Reference	0.31	1.00	Reference	0.23	
Q2	3	59/169	25.9	0.95	0.63, 1.43		0.95	0.63, 1.43		
Q3	4	56/172	24.6	0.89	0.59, 1.34		0.88	0.58, 1.34		
Q4	5–8	87/284	23.5	0.84	0.58, 1.20		0.80	0.54, 1.18		
DASH										
Q1	3-10	89/168	34.6	1.00	Reference	< 0.001	1.00	Reference	< 0.001	
Q2	11–13	79/229	25.7	0.65	0.45, 0.94		0.64	0.45, 0.93		
Q3	14–16	60/219	21.5	0.52	0.35, 0.76		0.49	0.33, 0.73		
Q4	17–26	43/197	17.9	0.41	0.27, 0.63		0.40	0.26, 0.61		
JFGST										
Q1	6.2-30.3	79/191	29.5	1.00	Reference	0.06	1.00	Reference	0.0502	
Q2	30.4-35.2	65/206	24.0	0.75	0.51, 1.10		0.75	0.51, 1.11		
Q3	35.3-39.8	67/205	24.4	0.77	0.53, 1.13		0.75	0.51, 1.10		
Q4	39.9-53.1	60/211	22.1	0.68	0.46, 1.00		0.67	0.45, 0.99		

Q, quartile.

After adjustment for potential confounding factors, β coefficients (95% confidence intervals) of the cardiometabolic risk score for 1 SD increase in diet quality scores were -0.53 (-0.72, -0.33) for AHEI-2010, -0.49 (-0.69, -0.30) for DQSJ, -0.47 (-0.66, -0.28) for DASH, -0.32 (-0.51, -0.13) for HEI-2015, -0.19 (-0.39, 0.02) for AMED, and 0.03 (-0.17, 0.22) for JFGST. Regarding the components of the cardiometabolic risk score, AHEI-2010 showed significant associations with all factors except triacylglycerol, and DQSJ showed significant associations with all factors except for triacylglycerol and glucose. DASH also showed significant associations with all factors except waist circumference, HDL cholesterol, and triacylglycerol. A higher HEI-2015 was associated with lower waist circumference, diastolic blood pressure, and insulin. A higher AMED was associated with lower waist circumference only. A higher JFGST was associated with lower waist circumference but higher systolic blood pressure.

DISCUSSION

This study of young Japanese women found that DQSJ, HEI-2015, AHEI-2010, and DASH were associated with a lower cardiometabolic risk score, indicating favorable cardiometabolic status, whereas AMED and JFGST showed no consistent association. Additionally, DQSJ, AHEI-2010, and DASH were associated with most components of the cardiometabolic risk score, such as lower systolic and diastolic blood pressures and lower insulin. To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the association of cardiometabolic health with both diet quality scores developed in Western countries (i.e., HEI-2015, AHEI-2010, Mediterranean diet, and DASH) and those developed based on dietary intake in non-Western countries and evidence about diet-health relationships (i.e., DQSJ).

The associations observed between diet quality scores and cardiometabolic risk factors may be reasonable given their correlations with a range of intakes of food groups and nutrients. The associations between lower cardiometabolic risk scores and the four diet quality scores (DQSJ,

[†]Adverse cardiometabolic status was defined as the highest quartile of a cardiometabolic risk score (>1.89). A cardiometabolic risk score was computed from the sum of z-score standardization of the following six variables: waist circumference, mean value of systolic and diastolic blood pressures, HLD cholesterol (multiplied by -1), triacylglycerol (natural-log transformed), glucose, and insulin (natural-log transformed).

[‡]Adjusted for age (years, continuous), survey year (2006 or 2007), residential regions (north, central, or south), municipality level (city with population ≥1 million, city with population <1 million, or town and village), current smoking (yes or no), energy intake (kJ, continuous), and physical activity (total metabolic equivalents-h/d, continuous).

p for trend was computed using logistic regression models assigning mean values of diet quality scores as the independent variable.

Table 4. Associations between diet quality scores and a cardiometabolic risk score and its components in Japanese women aged 18–22 years (n 1084)

	DQSJ				HEI-2015		AHEI-2010			
	β	(95% CI)	р	β	(95% CI)	р	β	(95% CI)	р	
Cardiometabolic risk score†				•		-	•			
Crude	-0.47	(-0.66, -0.27)	< 0.001	-0.31	(-0.51, -0.12)	0.002	-0.48	(-0.68, -0.29)	< 0.001	
Adjusted [‡]	-0.49	(-0.56, -0.22)	< 0.001	-0.32	(-0.35, -0.02)	0.001	-0.53	(-0.62, -0.29)	< 0.001	
Waist circumference (cm)										
Crude	-0.43	(-0.85, -0.01)	0.047	-0.80	(-1.22, -0.38)	< 0.001	-0.54	(-0.96, -0.12)	0.01	
Adjusted [‡]	-0.62	(-1.05, -0.19)	0.004	-0.83	(-1.25, -0.42)	< 0.001	-0.54	(-0.96, -0.12)	0.01	
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)										
Crude	-0.98	(-1.60, -0.35)	0.002	-0.02	(-0.65, 0.60)	0.94	-0.66	(-1.29, -0.04)	0.04	
Adjusted [‡]	-0.87	(-1.47, -0.28)	0.004	-0.04	(-0.62, 0.54)	0.89	-0.88	(-1.46, -0.29)	0.004	
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)										
Crude	-0.95	(-1.43, -0.47)	< 0.001	-0.45	(-0.94, 0.03)	0.07	-0.60	(-1.08, -0.12)	0.02	
Adjusted [‡]	-0.87	(-1.34, -0.40)	< 0.001	-0.46	(-0.92, 0.00)	0.048	-0.75	(-1.22, -0.29)	0.001	
HDL cholesterol (mmol/L)										
Crude	0.028	(0.01, 0.05)	0.006	0.005	(-0.01, 0.02)	0.60	0.027	(0.01, 0.05)	0.008	
Adjusted [‡]	0.026	(0.01, 0.05)	0.01	0.005	(-0.01, 0.02)	0.61	0.029	(0.01, 0.05)	0.004	
Log-triacylglycerol (mmol/L) §										
Crude	-0.020	(-0.04, 0.00)	0.10	-0.023	(-0.05, 0.00)	0.06	-0.015	(-0.04, 0.01)	0.21	
Adjusted [‡]	-0.018	(-0.04, 0.01)	0.15	-0.022	(-0.05, 0.00)	0.07	-0.018	(-0.04, 0.01)	0.15	
Glucose (mmol/L)										
Crude	-0.02	(-0.04, 0.00)	0.053	-0.01	(-0.04, 0.01)	0.18	-0.04	(-0.06, -0.02)	0.001	
Adjusted [‡]	-0.02	(-0.04, 0.00)	0.08	-0.01	(-0.03, 0.01)	0.22	-0.04	(-0.06, -0.02)	0.001	
Log-insulin (mU/L) §										
Crude	-0.06	(-0.09, -0.02)	0.001	-0.03	(-0.07, 0.00)	0.051	-0.06	(-0.10, -0.03)	< 0.001	
Adjusted [‡]	-0.07	(-0.11, -0.04)	< 0.001	-0.04	(-0.07, 0.00)	0.03	-0.07	(-0.10, -0.03)	< 0.001	

DQSJ, Diet Quality Score for Japanese; HEI-2015, Healthy Eating Index-2015; AHEI-2010, Alternate Healthy Eating Index-2010; AMED; Alternate Mediterranean Diet; DASH, Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension; JFGST, Japanese Food Guide Spinning Top; CI, confidence interval; BMI, body mass index; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; SE standard error. β indicates differences in a cardiometabolic risk factors per 1 SD increase in diet quality score.

[†]Adjusted for age (years, continuous), survey year (2006 or 2007), residential regions (north, central, or south), municipality level (city with population ≥1 million, city with population <1 million, or town and village), current smoking (yes or no), energy intake (kJ, continuous), and physical activity (total metabolic equivalents-h/d, continuous).

[‡]A cardiometabolic risk score was computed from the sum of z-score standardization of the following six variables: waist circumference, mean value of systolic and diastolic blood pressures, HLD cholesterol (multiplied by -1), triacylglycerol (natural-log transformed), glucose, and insulin (natural-log transformed).

[§]Triacylglycerol and insulin were natural log-transformed due to right skewness.

Table 4. Associations between diet quality scores and a cardiometabolic risk score and its components in Japanese women aged 18–22 years (n 1084) (cont.)

		AMED			DASH		JFGST			
	β	(95% CI)	р	β	(95% CI)	р	β	(95% CI)	р	
Cardiometabolic risk score [‡]	•		-			<u>-</u>				
Crude	-0.17	(-0.37, 0.03)	0.09	-0.45	(-0.64, -0.26)	< 0.001	0.03	(-0.17, 0.22)	0.78	
Adjusted [‡]	-0.19	(-0.28, 0.07)	0.07	-0.47	(-0.59, -0.26)	< 0.001	0.03	(-0.09, 0.24)	0.79	
Waist circumference (cm)										
Crude	-0.40	(-0.82, 0.02)	0.06	-0.37	(-0.79, 0.06)	0.09	-0.61	(-1.03, -0.18)	0.005	
Adjusted [‡]	-0.59	(-1.03, -0.16)	0.008	-0.41	(-0.83, 0.00)	0.052	-0.59	(-1.02, -0.17)	0.006	
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)										
Crude	-0.31	(-0.94, 0.31)	0.33	-1.19	(-1.81, -0.57)	< 0.001	0.45	(-0.17, 1.08)	0.16	
Adjusted [‡]	-0.42	(-1.04, 0.19)	0.17	-1.12	(-1.71, -0.54)	< 0.001	0.60	(0.01, 1.18)	0.048	
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)										
Crude	-0.31	(-0.79, 0.17)	0.21	-0.99	(-1.47, -0.51)	< 0.001	0.050	(-0.43, 0.53)	0.84	
Adjusted [‡]	-0.33	(-0.82, 0.15)	0.18	-0.96	(-1.42, -0.50)	< 0.001	0.13	(-0.34, 0.59)	0.60	
HDL cholesterol (mmol/L)										
Crude	0.008	(-0.01, 0.03)	0.44	0.017	(0.00, 0.04)	0.10	-0.013	(-0.03, 0.01)	0.20	
Adjusted [‡]	0.000	(-0.02, 0.02)	0.97	0.018	(0.00, 0.04)	0.07	-0.010	(-0.03, 0.01)	0.35	
Log-triacylglycerol (mmol/L) §										
Crude	-0.009	(-0.03, 0.02)	0.48	-0.014	(-0.04, 0.01)	0.27	0.013	(-0.01, 0.04)	0.30	
Adjusted [‡]	-0.004	(-0.03, 0.02)	0.77	-0.015	(-0.04, 0.01)	0.21	0.010	(-0.01, 0.03)	0.43	
Glucose (mmol/L)										
Crude	0.00	(-0.02, 0.02)	0.79	-0.03	(-0.05, -0.01)	0.006	0.00	(-0.02, 0.03)	0.71	
Adjusted [‡]	0.00	(-0.02, 0.02)	0.87	-0.03	(-0.05, -0.01)	0.006	0.00	(-0.02, 0.03)	0.70	
Log-insulin (mU/L) §										
Crude	-0.01	(-0.05, 0.02)	0.43	-0.06	(-0.09, -0.03)	0.001	0.00	(-0.03, 0.04)	0.94	
Adjusted [‡]	-0.03	(-0.06, 0.01)	0.16	-0.06	(-0.10, -0.03)	< 0.001	0.00	(-0.03, 0.04)	0.90	

DQSJ, Diet Quality Score for Japanese; HEI-2015, Healthy Eating Index-2016; AHEI-2010, Alternate Healthy Eating Index-2010; AMED; Alternate Mediterranean Diet; DASH, Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension; JFGST, Japanese Food Guide Spinning Top; CI, confidence interval; BMI, body mass index; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; SE standard error. β indicates differences in a cardiometabolic risk factors per 1 SD increase in diet quality score.

[†]Adjusted for age (years, continuous), survey year (2006 or 2007), residential regions (north, central, or south), municipality level (city with population ≥1 million, city with population <1 million, or town and village), current smoking (yes or no), energy intake (kJ, continuous), and physical activity (total metabolic equivalents-h/d, continuous).

[‡]A cardiometabolic risk score was computed from the sum of z-score standardization of the following six variables: waist circumference, mean value of systolic and diastolic blood pressures, HLD cholesterol (multiplied by -1), triacylglycerol (natural-log transformed), glucose, and insulin (natural-log transformed).

[§]Triacylglycerol and insulin were natural log-transformed due to right skewness.

HEI-2015, AHEI-2010, and DASH) may be particularly due to their positive correlations with whole grain intake and negative correlations with intakes of refined grain and sugar-sweetened beverages. Previous studies suggest that higher whole grain intake and lower intakes of refined grain and sugar-sweetened beverages were associated with favorable status for several cardiometabolic risk factors, such as waist circumference, LDL cholesterol, and fasting glucose. 67-69 For example, whole grain intake may improve cardiometabolic status through increased satiety and its anti-inflammatory effects.⁷⁰ Conversely, the lack of association between AMED and the cardiometabolic risk score may stem from its null correlation with sugar-sweetened beverage intake and positive correlation with sodium intake, which is linked to hypertension risk.71 Additionally, JFGST showed a positive correlation with refined grain intake and no correlation with whole grain intake, which may explain its inconsistent association with the cardiometabolic risk score.

Results of the present study were generally aligned with those of previous studies in non-Western countries. The DASH and AHEI have also been consistently reported to show associations with cardiometabolic factors, whereas findings based on AMED are inconsistent. A lower odds ratio of metabolic syndrome was associated with DASH but not with Mediterranean diet score in Iran ³⁸ and Southwest China. ⁴⁰ Another study in Singapore showed that DASH and AHEI-2010 were associated with a greater number of cardiometabolic risk factors than AMED.³⁶ Also, a Japanese study showed that DASH was associated with a greater number of cardiometabolic risk factors than Mediterranean diet score.44 In Southwest China, DASH had a stronger association with blood pressure than AMED,41 while both were associated with a few lipid profile biomarkers.42 Overall, our findings and previous studies suggest that DASH may have a stronger association with cardiometabolic risk factors than the Mediterranean diet score in non-Western countries. However, due to the limited number of studies and diet quality scores examined in each region, the question of which score best reflects dietary patterns linked to favorable cardiometabolic status and other health outcomes remains unresolved. Future research comparing multiple diet quality scores is needed to identify the optimal scores for Japan and other populations.

Although JFGST measures the adherence to Japanese food- and dish-based guidelines, ¹⁶ the JFGST used in previous studies may not be in line with the guidelines because of modifications made to scoring. ^{13,44,72} For example, Kurotani and colleagues added the ratio of white and red meat, ¹³ and several studies omitted the upper limit of some components, such as vegetable dishes and fruits. ^{13,44,72} Additionally, associations between JFGST and cardiometabolic health were inconsistent in previous studies. ^{13,14,44,72} In this study, we scored the JFGST using the upper limits from the original guidelines and found no consistent association with cardiovascular risk factors. Both this and previous studies suggest that the JFGST, as per the original guidelines, may need refinement as a measure of diet quality for the Japanese population.

It is important to distinguish between statistical significance and clinical relevance. In this study, we observed

statistically significant differences in cardiometabolic risk factors per 1 SD increase in diet quality score, but these differences appeared relatively small. For instance, we noted reductions of -0.5 to -0.8 cm in waist circumference for each 1 SD improvement in diet quality. However, it is worth noting that a previous meta-analysis estimated that every centimeter reduction in waist circumference is associated with a 2% lower risk of cardiovascular diseases.⁷³ However, since this estimate was based on studies primarily conducted in Western countries, research is needed to examine whether observed differences are clinically meaningful in the Japanese population. Additionally, given that we observed associations between various diet quality scores and cardiometabolic risk factors in a population with relatively favorable cardiometabolic profiles, we hypothesize that stronger associations may be evident in populations at higher cardiometabolic risk, which warrants further investigation.

Several limitations of this study should be acknowledged. First, the participants were a highly selected group of dietetic students, likely exhibiting healthier lifestyles and greater nutritional knowledge than the general population. Additionally, the study had only a moderate response rate (56%).74 Although they had similar characteristics to women aged 20-29 years in a Japanese national survey in 2006, such as with regard to intakes of energy (mean, 7196 kJ/day), sodium (3700 mg/day), and fiber (13.0 g/day), as well as BMI (20.7 kg/m²), systolic blood pressures (109 mmHg), diastolic blood pressure (68 mmHg), and HDL cholesterol (1.82 mmol/L),⁶³ the findings may not be generalizable to the general Japanese population. Second, self-reported dietary assessment methods are susceptible to substantial measurement error. Although DHQ showed acceptable validity for HEI-2015 and intakes of most food groups and nutrients against 16day dietary records, it showed relatively low correlation coefficients for some components of diet quality scores, including nuts (Spearman correlation coefficient = 0.20)⁵² and sodium (Pearson correlation coefficient = 0.31).⁵³ Furthermore, overweight individuals have been reported to selectively under-report their intake of fatty or sugary foods, 75, 76 which could lead to an overestimation of diet quality. This overestimation may bias the observed association between diet quality and cardiometabolic risk factors, particularly waist circumference, by attenuating the strength of the relationship. Nevertheless, self-reported data remains essential for assessing overall diet quality, as no objective marker has been established.⁷⁷ Third, higher diet quality appears to reflect an overall healthier lifestyle, which may not have been accurately captured and controlled in our analysis. Although adjustments were made for various confounding factors, including smoking status and physical activity, and these adjustments had a negligible impact on the observed associations, residual confounding cannot be ruled out. Residual confounding related to healthy lifestyle behaviors may introduce a bias that overestimates the association between diet quality and cardiometabolic risk factors. Fourth, there is no consensus on an optimal cardiometabolic risk score. 65,66 The cardiometabolic risk score we employed was selected a priori but arbitrarily. Moreover, the cutoff value was based on quartiles rather than any established disease risk threshold, leaving the clinical significance of the findings uncertain. Future research is needed to determine the optimal cardiometabolic risk score for predicting noncommunicable diseases. Fifth, the survey data were collected over 15 years ago. However, cardiometabolic risk factors have not changed significantly during this period, 78 and the associations between diet quality scores and cardiometabolic risk factors are unlikely to have shifted substantially. Sixth, as we did not adjust for multiple testing, some associations may have occurred by chance. Additionally, the cross-sectional design of the study limits our ability to establish causal relationships. Given the possibility that participants who became aware of an unfavorable cardiometabolic status may have altered their diets in a healthier direction, the associations observed may have been attenuated by these dietary changes. Future studies, preferably using prospective designs, are needed to confirm the relationship between diet quality scores and cardiometabolic risk factors.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this cross-sectional study of young Japanese women showed that a higher score in DQSJ, HEI-2015, AHEI-2010, and DASH was associated with a favorable cardiometabolic status, whereas AMED and JFGST had no consistent association. Associations were most prominent for AHEI-2010 (significant associations with all factors except triacylglycerol), followed by the DQSJ (significant associations with all factors except triacylglycerol and glucose) and DASH (significant associations with all factors except waist circumference, HDL cholesterol, and triacylglycerol). These results suggest the potential variations in the associations with cardiometabolic status across diet quality scores. Future research should confirm these associations in other populations, preferably using a prospective study design, as well as using other health outcomes.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

All supplementary tables and figures are available upon request.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The members of the Japan Dietetic Students' Study for Nutrition and Biomarkers Group (in addition to the authors) are as follows (affiliation at the time of data collection in parentheses): Mitsuyo Yamasaki, Yuko Hisatomi, Junko Soezima and Kazumi Takedomi (Nishikyushu University); Toshiyuki Kohri and Naoko Kaba (Kinki University); Etsuko Uneoka (Otemae College of Nutrition); Hitomi Hayabuchi and Yoko Umeki (Fukuoka Women's University); Keiko Baba and Maiko Suzuki (Mie Chukyo University Junior College); Reiko Watanabe and Kanako Muramatsu (Niigata Women's College); Kazuko Ohki, Seigo Shiga, Hidemichi Ebisawa and Masako Fuwa (Showa Women's University); Tomoko Watanabe, Ayuho Suzuki and Fumiyo Kudo (Chiba College of Health Science); Katsumi Shibata, Tsutomu Fukuwatari and Junko Hirose (University of Shiga Prefecture); Toru Takahashi and Masako Kato (Mimasaka University); Toshinao Goda and Yoko Ichikawa (University of Shizuoka); Junko Suzuki, Yoko Niida, Satomi Morohashi, Chiaki Shimizu and Naomi Takeuchi (Hokkaido Bunkyo University); Jun Oka and Tomoko Ide (Tokyo Kasei University); Yoshiko Sugiyama and Mika Furuki (Minamikyushu University).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND FUNDING DISCLOSURES

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This study was supported in part by a grant from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (to FO, JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 21J22440) and in part by grants from the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan (to SS, grant no. 200400526A, 200500555A, 200624013A and 200624013B). The Japan Society for the Promotion of Science and the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan had no role in the design, analysis or writing of this article.

REFERENCES

- Sasaki S. The value of the National Health and Nutrition Survey in Japan. Lancet. 2011;378:1205-6. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(11)61220-8
- Tsugane S. Why has Japan become the world's most long-lived country: insights from a food and nutrition perspective. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2021;75:921-8. doi:10.1038/s41430-020-0677-5
- Murakami K, Livingstone MBE, Fujiwara A, Sasaki S. Application of the Healthy Eating Index-2015 and the Nutrient-Rich Food Index 9.3 for assessing overall diet quality in the Japanese context: Different nutritional concerns from the US. PLoS One. 2020;15:e0228318. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0228318
- Micha R, Khatibzadeh S, Shi P, Andrews KG, Engell RE, Mozaffarian D, Global Burden of Diseases Nutrition and Chronic Diseases Expert Group (NutriCoDE). Global, regional and national consumption of major food groups in 1990 and 2010: a systematic analysis including 266 countryspecific nutrition surveys worldwide. BMJ Open. Sep 24 2015;5:e008705. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2015-008705
- 5. Frankenfeld CL. Population-Specific Diet Quality Scores in Nutritional Epidemiology. J Nutr. 2023;doi:10.1016/j.tjnut.2023.01.004
- Waijers PM, Feskens EJ, Ocke MC. A critical review of predefined diet quality scores. Br J Nutr. 2007;97:219-31. doi:10.1017/S0007114507250421
- Chan R, Chan D, Woo J. The association of a priori and a posterior dietary patterns with the risk of incident stroke in Chinese older people in Hong Kong. J Nutr Health Aging. 2013;17:866-74. doi:10.1007/s12603-013-0334-y
- 8. Hu FB. Dietary pattern analysis: a new direction in nutritional epidemiology. Curr Opin Lipidol. 2002;13:3-9. doi:10.1097/00041433-200202000-00002
- Newby PK, Tucker KL. Empirically derived eating patterns using factor or cluster analysis: a review. Nutr Rev. May 2004;62:177-203. doi:10.1301/nr.2004.may.177-203
- Shimazu T, Kuriyama S, Hozawa A, Ohmori K, Sato Y, Nakaya N, Nishino Y, Tsubono Y, Tsuji I. Dietary patterns and cardiovascular disease mortality in Japan: a prospective cohort study. Int J Epidemiol. 2007;36:600-9. doi:10.1093/ije/dym005
- 11. Maruyama K, Iso H, Date C, Kikuchi S, Watanabe Y, Wada Y, Inaba Y, Tamakoshi A, JACC Study Group. Dietary patterns and risk of cardiovascular deaths among middle-aged Japanese: JACC Study. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2013;23:519-27. doi:10.1016/j.numecd.2011.10.007
- 12. Nanri A, Mizoue T, Shimazu T, Ishihara J, Takachi R, Noda M, Iso H, Sasazuki S, Sawada N, Tsugane S, and Japan Public Health Center-Based Prospective Study Group. Dietary patterns and all-cause, cancer, and cardiovascular disease mortality in Japanese men and women: The Japan public health center-based prospective study. PLoS One. 2017;12:e0174848. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0174848

- 13. Kurotani K, Akter S, Kashino I, Goto A, Mizoue T, Noda M, Sasazuki S, Sawada N, Tsugane S, and Japan Public Health Center-Based Prospective Study Group. Quality of diet and mortality among Japanese men and women: Japan Public Health Center based prospective study. BMJ. 2016;352:i1209. doi:10.1136/bmj.i1209
- 14. Oba S, Nagata C, Nakamura K, Fujii K, Kawachi T, Takatsuka N, Shimizu H. Diet based on the Japanese Food Guide Spinning Top and subsequent mortality among men and women in a general Japanese population. J Am Diet Assoc. 2009;109:1540-7. doi:10.1016/j.jada.2009.06.367
- 15. Nishimura T, Murakami K, Livingstone MB, Sasaki S, Uenishi K, Japan Dietetic Students' Study for Nutrition and Biomarkers Group. Adherence to the food-based Japanese dietary guidelines in relation to metabolic risk factors in young Japanese women. Br J Nutr. 2015;114(4):645-53. doi:10.1017/S0007114515002214
- Yoshiike N, Hayashi F, Takemi Y, Mizoguchi K, Seino F. A New Food Guide in Japan: The Japanese Food Guide Spinning Top. Nutrition Reviews. 2007;65:149-54. doi:10.1301/nr.2007.apr.149-154
- 17. GBD Collaborators. Health effects of dietary risks in 195 countries, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. Lancet. 2019;393:1958-72. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(19)30041-8
- 18. Oono F, Murakami K, Fujiwara A, Shinozaki N, Adachi R, Asakura K, Masayasu S, Sasaki S. Development of a Diet Quality Score for Japanese and Comparison With Existing Diet Quality Scores Regarding Inadequacy of Nutrient Intake. J Nutr. 2023;153:798-810. doi:10.1016/j.tjnut.2022.11.022
- 19. Kennedy ET, Ohls J, Carlson S, Fleming K. The Healthy Eating Index: design and applications. J Am Diet Assoc. 1995;95:1103-8. doi:10.1016/S0002-8223(95)00300-2
- 20. Krebs-Smith SM, Pannucci TE, Subar AF, Kirkpatrick SI, Lerman JL, Tooze JA, Wilson MM, Reedy J. Update of the Healthy Eating Index: HEI-2015. J Acad Nutr Diet. 2018;118:1591-602. doi:10.1016/j.jand.2018.05.021
- Chiuve SE, Fung TT, Rimm EB, Hu FB, McCullough ML, Wang M, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC. Alternative dietary indices both strongly predict risk of chronic disease. J Nutr. 2012;142:1009-18. doi:10.3945/jn.111.157222
- 22. McCullough ML, Feskanich D, Giovannucci EL, Rimm EB, Hu FB, Spiegelman D, Hunter DJ, Colditz GA, Willett WC. Diet quality and major chronic disease risk in men and women: moving toward improved dietary guidance. Am J Clin Nutr. Dec 2002;76:1261-71. doi:10.1093/ajcn/76.6.1261
- 23. Keys A, Menotti A, Karvonen MJ, Aravanis C, Blackburn H, Buzina R, Djordjevic BS., Dontas AS, Fidanza F, Keys MH. The diet and 15-year death rate in the seven countries study. Am J Epidemiol. Dec 1986;124:903-15. doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a114480
- Nestle M. Mediterranean diets: historical and research overview. Am J Clin Nutr. Jun 1995;61:1313S-20S. doi:10.1093/ajcn/61.6.1313S
- 25. Appel LJ, Moore TJ, Obarzanek E, Vollmer WM, Svetkey LP, Sacks FM et al. A clinical trial of the effects of dietary patterns on blood pressure. DASH Collaborative Research Group. N Engl J Med. Apr 17 1997;336:1117-24. doi:10.1056/NEJM199704173361601
- 26. Sacks FM, Svetkey LP, Vollmer WM, Appel LJ, Bray GA, Harsha D, et al. Effects on blood pressure of reduced dietary sodium and the Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) diet. DASH-Sodium Collaborative Research Group. N Engl J Med. 2001;344:3-10. doi:10.1056/NEJM200101043440101

- 27. Brlek A, Gregoric M. Diet quality indices and their associations with all-cause mortality, CVD and type 2 diabetes mellitus: an umbrella review. Br J Nutr. 2022:1-10. doi:10.1017/S0007114522003701
- 28. Morze J, Danielewicz A, Hoffmann G, Schwingshackl L. Diet Quality as Assessed by the Healthy Eating Index, Alternate Healthy Eating Index, Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension Score, and Health Outcomes: A Second Update of a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Cohort Studies. J Acad Nutr Diet. 2020;120:1998-2031 e15. doi:10.1016/j.jand.2020.08.076
- 29. Sofi F, Abbate R, Gensini GF, Casini A. Accruing evidence on benefits of adherence to the Mediterranean diet on health: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Clin Nutr. 2010;92:1189-96. doi:10.3945/ajcn.2010.29673
- Rosato V, Temple NJ, La Vecchia C, Castellan G, Tavani A, Guercio V. Mediterranean diet and cardiovascular disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. Eur J Nutr. 2019;58:173-91. doi:10.1007/s00394-017-1582-0
- 31. Dinu M, Pagliai G, Angelino D, Rosi A, Dall'Asta M, Bresciani L, et al. Effects of Popular Diets on Anthropometric and Cardiometabolic Parameters: An Umbrella Review of Meta-Analyses of Randomized Controlled Trials. Adv Nutr. 2020;11:815-33. doi:10.1093/advances/nmaa006
- 32. Kastorini CM, Milionis HJ, Esposito K, Giugliano D, Goudevenos JA, Panagiotakos DB. The effect of Mediterranean diet on metabolic syndrome and its components: a meta-analysis of 50 studies and 534,906 individuals. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2011;57:1299-313. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2010.09.073
- 33. Schwingshackl L, Chaimani A, Schwedhelm C, Toledo E, Punsch M, Hoffmann G, Boeing H. Comparative effects of different dietary approaches on blood pressure in hypertensive and pre-hypertensive patients: A systematic review and network meta-analysis. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 2019;59:2674-87. doi:10.1080/10408398.2018.1463967
- 34. Hashemian M, Farvid MS, Poustchi H, Murphy G, Etemadi A, Hekmatdoost A, et al. The application of six dietary scores to a Middle Eastern population: a comparative analysis of mortality in a prospective study. Eur J Epidemiol. Apr 2019;34:371-82. doi:10.1007/s10654-019-00508-3
- 35. Neelakantan N, Koh WP, Yuan JM, van Dam RM. Diet-Quality Indexes Are Associated with a Lower Risk of Cardiovascular, Respiratory, and All-Cause Mortality among Chinese Adults. J Nutr. 2018;148:1323-32. doi:10.1093/jn/nxy094
- 36. Whitton C, Rebello SA, Lee J, Tai ES, van Dam RM. A Healthy Asian A Posteriori Dietary Pattern Correlates with A Priori Dietary Patterns and Is Associated with Cardiovascular Disease Risk Factors in a Multiethnic Asian Population. J Nutr. 2018;148:616-23. doi:10.1093/jn/nxy016
- 37. Chen GC, Koh WP, Neelakantan N, Yuan JM, Qin LQ, van Dam RM. Diet Quality Indices and Risk of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: The Singapore Chinese Health Study. Am J Epidemiol. 2018;187:2651-61. doi:10.1093/aje/kwy183
- 38. Hassani Zadeh S, Salehi-Abargouei A, Mirzaei M, Nadjarzadeh A, Hosseinzadeh M. The association between dietary approaches to stop hypertension diet and mediterranean diet with metabolic syndrome in a large sample of Iranian adults: YaHS and TAMYZ Studies. Food Sci Nutr. 2021;9:3932-41. doi:10.1002/fsn3.2387
- 39. Chan RSM, Yu BWM, Leung J, Lee JSW, Auyeung TW, Kwok T, Woo J. How Dietary Patterns are Related to Inflammaging and Mortality in Community-Dwelling Older

- Chinese Adults in Hong Kong A Prospective Analysis. J Nutr Health Aging. 2019;23:181-94. doi:10.1007/s12603-018-1143-0
- 40. Xiao X, Qin Z, Lv X, Dai Y, Ciren Z, Yangla Y, et al. Dietary patterns and cardiometabolic risks in diverse less-developed ethnic minority regions: results from the China Multi-Ethnic Cohort (CMEC) Study. Lancet Reg Health West Pac. 2021;15:100252. doi:10.1016/j.lanwpc.2021.100252
- 41. Dai S, Xiao X, Xu C, Jiao Y, Qin Z, Meng J, et al. Association of Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension diet and Mediterranean diet with blood pressure in lessdeveloped ethnic minority regions. Public Health Nutr. 2022;25:1-29. doi:10.1017/S1368980022000106
- 42. Zhang N, Xiao X, Xu J, Zeng Q, Li J, Xie Y, et al. Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension (DASH) diet, Mediterranean diet and blood lipid profiles in lessdeveloped ethnic minority regions. Br J Nutr. 2022;128:1137-46. doi:10.1017/S0007114521004013
- 43. Kanauchi M, Kanauchi K. Development of a Mediterranean diet score adapted to Japan and its relation to obesity risk. Food Nutr Res. 2016;60:32172. doi:10.3402/fnr.v60.32172
- 44. Murakami K, Livingstone MBE, Sasaki S. Diet quality scores in relation to metabolic risk factors in Japanese adults: a cross-sectional analysis from the 2012 National Health and Nutrition Survey, Japan. Eur J Nutr. 2019;58:2037-50. doi:10.1007/s00394-018-1762-6
- 45. Kanauchi M, Kanauchi K. Diet quality and adherence to a healthy diet in Japanese male workers with untreated hypertension. BMJ Open. 2015;5:e008404. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2015-008404
- 46. Murakami K, Sasaki S, Takahashi Y, Uenishi K, Japan Dietetic Students' Study for Nutrition and Biomarkers Group. Dietary energy density is associated with body mass index and waist circumference, but not with other metabolic risk factors, in free-living young Japanese women. Nutrition. 2007;23:798-806. doi:10.1016/j.nut.2007.08.014
- 47. Murakami K, Sasaki S, Takahashi Y, Uenishi K, Japan Dietetic Students' Study for Nutrition and Biomarkers Group. Association between dietary acid-base load and cardiometabolic risk factors in young Japanese women. Br J Nutr. 2008;100:642-51. doi:10.1017/S0007114508901288
- 48. Murakami K, Sasaki S, Takahashi Y, Uenishi K, Japan Dietetic Students' Study for Nutrition and Biomarkers Group. Monetary cost of dietary energy is negatively associated with BMI and waist circumference, but not with other metabolic risk factors, in young Japanese women. Public Health Nutr. 2009;12:1092-8. doi:10.1017/S1368980008004266
- 49. Murakami K, Sasaki S, Takahashi Y, Uenishi K, Japan Dietetic Students' Study for Nutrition and Biomarkers Group. Neighborhood socioeconomic status in relation to dietary intake and insulin resistance syndrome in female Japanese dietetic students. Nutrition. 2010;26:508-14. doi:10.1016/j.nut.2009.08.025
- 50. Murakami K, Sasaki S, Takahashi Y, Okubo H, Hosoi Y, Horiguchi H, Oguma E, Kayama F. Dietary glycemic index and load in relation to metabolic risk factors in Japanese female farmers with traditional dietary habits. Am J Clin Nutr. 2006;83:1161-9. doi:10.1093/ajcn/83.5.1161
- 51. Sasaki S, Yanagibori R, Amano K. Validity of a self-administered diet history questionnaire for assessment of sodium and potassium: comparison with single 24-hour urinary excretion. Jpn Circ J. 1998;62:431-5. doi:10.1253/jcj.62.431
- Kobayashi S, Murakami K, Sasaki S, Okubo H, Hirota N, Notsu A, Fukui M, Date C. Comparison of relative validity

- of food group intakes estimated by comprehensive and brieftype self-administered diet history questionnaires against 16 d dietary records in Japanese adults. Public Health Nutr. 2011;14:1200-11. doi:10.1017/S1368980011000504
- 53. Kobayashi S, Honda S, Murakami K, Sasaki S, Okubo H, Hirota N, Notsu A, Fukui M, Date C. Both comprehensive and brief self-administered diet history questionnaires satisfactorily rank nutrient intakes in Japanese adults. J Epidemiol. 2012;22:151-9. doi:10.2188/jea.je20110075
- 54. Ministry of Education Culture, Sports, Science and Technology Japan. Standard Tables of Food Composition in Japan, Fifth Revised and Enlarged Edition; Japan: Council for Science and Technology; Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan. Tokyo, 2005. . (In Japanese)
- 55. Fujiwara A, Murakami K, Sasaki S. Relative Validity of Starch and Sugar Intake in Japanese Adults as Estimated With Comprehensive and Brief Self-Administered Diet History Questionnaires. J Epidemiol. 2020;30:315-25. doi:10.2188/jea.JE20190026
- 56. Yamada M, Sasaki S, Murakami K, Takahashi Y, Okubo H, Hirota N, Notsu A, Todoriki H, Miura A, Fukui M, Date C. Estimation of trans fatty acid intake in Japanese adults using 16-day diet records based on a food composition database developed for the Japanese population. J Epidemiol. 2010;20:119-27. doi:10.2188/jea.je20090080
- 57. Murakami K, Livingstone MBE, Fujiwara A, Sasaki S. Reproducibility and Relative Validity of the Healthy Eating Index-2015 and Nutrient-Rich Food Index 9.3 Estimated by Comprehensive and Brief Diet History Questionnaires in Japanese Adults. Nutrients. 2019;11. doi:10.3390/nu11102540
- 58. Fung TT, McCullough ML, Newby PK, Manson JE, Meigs JB, Rifai N, Willett WC, Hu FB. Diet-quality scores and plasma concentrations of markers of inflammation and endothelial dysfunction. Am J Clin Nutr. 2005;82:163-73. doi:10.1093/ajcn.82.1.163
- 59. Fung TT, Chiuve SE, McCullough ML, Rexrode KM, Logroscino G, Hu FB. Adherence to a DASH-style diet and risk of coronary heart disease and stroke in women. Arch Intern Med. 2008;168:713-20. doi:10.1001/archinte.168.7.713
- 60. Abdelhamid A, Jennings A, Hayhoe RPG, Awuzudike VE, Welch AA. High variability of food and nutrient intake exists across the Mediterranean Dietary Pattern-A systematic review. Food Sci Nutr. 2020;8:4907-4918. doi:10.1002/fsn3.1784
- 61. Hutchins-Wiese HL, Bales CW, Porter Starr KN. Mediterranean diet scoring systems: understanding the evolution and applications for Mediterranean and non-Mediterranean countries. Br J Nutr. 2022;128:1371-92. doi:10.1017/S0007114521002476
- 62. Soltani S, Arablou T, Jayedi A, Salehi-Abargouei A. Adherence to the dietary approaches to stop hypertension (DASH) diet in relation to all-cause and cause-specific mortality: a systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. Nutr J. 2020;19:37. doi:10.1186/s12937-020-00554-8
- 63. Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare Japan. The report of the National Health and Nutrition Survey in Japan, 2006, (accessed 26th Aug 2024). Available from: https://www.mhlw.go.jp/bunya/kenkou/eiyou08/01.html.
- 64. Ekelund U, Griffin SJ, Wareham NJ. Physical activity and metabolic risk in individuals with a family history of type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care. 2007;30:337-42. doi:10.2337/dc06-1883

- 65. Kamel M, Smith BT, Wahi G, Carsley S, Birken CS, Anderson LN. Continuous cardiometabolic risk score definitions in early childhood: a scoping review. Obes Rev. 2018;19:1688-99. doi:10.1111/obr.12748
- 66. Eisenmann JC. On the use of a continuous metabolic syndrome score in pediatric research. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2008;7:17. doi:10.1186/1475-2840-7-17
- 67. Schwingshackl L, Hoffmann G, Iqbal K, Schwedhelm C, Boeing H. Food groups and intermediate disease markers: a systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomized trials. Am J Clin Nutr. 2018;108:576-86. doi:10.1093/ajcn/nqy151
- 68. Malik VS, Hu FB. Sugar-Sweetened Beverages and Cardiometabolic Health: An Update of the Evidence. Nutrients. 2019;11. doi:10.3390/nu11081840
- 69. Nikniaz L, Abbasalizad-Farhangi M, Vajdi M, Nikniaz Z. The association between Sugars Sweetened Beverages (SSBs) and lipid profile among children and youth: A systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of cross-sectional studies. Pediatr Obes. 2021;16:e12782. doi:10.1111/ijpo.12782
- 70. Jonnalagadda SS, Harnack L, Liu RH, Vinceti M. Putting the whole grain puzzle together: health benefits associated with whole grains--summary of American Society for Nutrition 2010 Satellite Symposium. J Nutr. 2011;141:1011S-22S. doi:10.3945/jn.110.132944
- Filippini T, Malavolti M, Whelton PK, Vinceti M. Sodium Intake and Risk of Hypertension: A Systematic Review and Dose-Response Meta-analysis of Observational Cohort

- Studies. Curr Hypertens Rep. 2022;24:133-44. doi:10.1007/s11906-022-01182-9
- 72. Okuda M, Fujiwara A, Sasaki S. Adherence to the Japanese Food Guide: The Association between Three Scoring Systems and Cardiometabolic Risks in Japanese Adolescents. Nutrients. 2021;14. doi:10.3390/nu14010043
- 73. de Koning L, Merchant AT, Pogue J, Anand SS. Waist circumference and waist-to-hip ratio as predictors of cardiovascular events: meta-regression analysis of prospective studies. Eur Heart J. 2007;28:850-6. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehm026
- Fincham JE. Response rates and responsiveness for surveys, standards, and the Journal. Am J Pharm Educ. 2008;72:43. doi:10.5688/aj720243
- Heitmann BL, Lissner L. Dietary underreporting by obese individuals--is it specific or non-specific? BMJ. 1995;311:986-9. doi:10.1136/bmj.311.7011.986
- 76. Heitmann BL, Lissner L, Osler M. Do we eat less fat, or just report so? Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord. 2000;24:435-42. doi:10.1038/sj.ijo.0801176
- 77. Liang S, Nasir RF, Bell-Anderson KS, Toniutti CA, O'Leary FM, Skilton MR. Biomarkers of dietary patterns: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Nutr Rev. 2022;80:1856-1895. doi:10.1093/nutrit/nuac009
- 78. Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare Japan. The report of National Health and Nutrition Survey in Japan, 2019, (accessed 26th Aug 2024). Available from: https://www.mhlw.go.jp/stf/seisakunitsuite/bunya/kenkou_ir you/kenkou/eiyou/r1-houkoku_00002.html.