This author's PDF version corresponds to the article as it appeared upon acceptance. Fully formatted PDF versions will be made available soon.

# **Effects of water-soluble vitamin supplementations on glycemic control and insulin resistance in adult type 2 diabetes: an umbrella review of meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials**

doi: 10.6133/apjcn.202408/PP.0009 Published online: August 2024

## **Running title:**

Yi Chai MSc, Chengyu Chen BSc, Xueru Yin BSc, Xinru Wang BSc, Wenyan Yu BSc, Haochen Pan BSc, Ruiying Qin BSc, Xiyue Yang BSc, Qiuzhen Wang PhD

School of Public Health, Qingdao University, Qingdao, China

#### **Authors' email addresses and contributions:**

Yi Chai and Qiuzhen Wang: contributed to study concept and protocol development; Haochen, Ruiying Qin and Wenyan Yu: were responsible for study selection; Xueru Yin and Xinru Wang were responsible for data extraction and quality appraisal of the literature; Chengyu Chen: resolved conflicts with study selection, data extraction, and quality appraisal, and was responsible for GRADE assessments together with Yi Chai; Yi Chai: led data synthesis and drafting of the manuscript; Yi Chai and Qiuzhen Wang: revised the manuscript; Qiuzhen Wang: was responsible for study oversight and approval of the final content; and all authors: read and approved the final manuscript.

**Corresponding Author:** Prof. Qiuzhen Wang, Department of School of Public Health, Qingdao University, No. 308 Ningxia, Qingdao, 266071, China. Tel:. Email: [qdwangqiuzhen@126.com](mailto:qdwangqiuzhen@126.com)

#### **ABSTRACT**

**Background and Objectives:** Growing evidence has explored the effects of water-soluble vitamins supplementation on glycemic control and insulin resistance in diabetic patients; however, the results of previous meta-analyses are inconsistent. In this regard, we performed an umbrella review to synthesize evidence on the effects of water-soluble vitamin supplementation on glycemic control and insulin resistance. **Methods and Study Design:** A systematic literature search in Web of science, PubMed, and Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews was performed from 2012 to November 2022. Quality assessment of the meta-analyses was performed using AMSTAR-2 and GRADE. **Results:** Fourteen systematic reviews and meta-analyses were eligible, which studied the effects of five water-soluble vitamins (vitamin B-1, vitamin B-3, biotin, vitamin B-9, and vitamin C supplementation) supplements on glycemic control and insulin resistance. Results of the review suggest that vitamin C supplementations can improve glycemic control in type 2 diabetes indicated by reduced FBG and HbA1c, and having more significant effects with durations >30days on FBG. **Conclusions:** Insulin resistance is improved by folic acid supplementations. More welldesigned individual randomized controlled trials are needed in the future, as well as metaanalysis of higher quality.

## **Key Words: water-soluble vitamin, type 2 diabetes, glycemic control, insulin resistance, umbrella review, meta-analysis**

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Diabetes mellitus, one of the leading causes of death and disability worldwide, is a significant public health issue.<sup>1</sup> Until 2021, the number of adults with diabetes has reached 5.1 billion worldwide, with a prevalence rate of 10.5%; it is estimated that by 2045, the number will reach 6.4 billion worldwide, with a prevalence rate of  $12.2\%$ . In addition, diabetes is related to 6.7 million deaths and an expenditure of at least \$966 billion on healthcare in 2021.2 Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), the most common type of diabetes, accounted for more than 96% of diabetes cases globally in  $2021$ .<sup>1</sup> The mechanism of T2DM is mainly associated with impaired insulin sensitivity, namely insulin resistance, as well as pancreatic β-cell dysfunction.3, 4 Increased oxidative stress, endothelial cell dysfunction, and inflammation generation may contribute to the progression of  $T2DM<sup>5, 6</sup>$  For instance, MAPK signaling pathway, a key regulator for insulin signaling, has reported to be activated under oxidative stress, resulting in insulin resistance.<sup>7</sup>

Mounting evidence suggests that glycemic control, the core target of treatment in diabetes, affects the development of its complications to a large extent.<sup>8-11</sup> It is widely accepted that glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) is the most important indicator to reflect the long-term glycemic control status of diabetic patients, while fasting blood glucose (FBG) indicates a relatively short-term glycemic control status.<sup>12</sup>What's more, the variants of FBG and HbAc1 were strongly associated with the risk of developing retinopathy, nephropathy and all-cause mortality in diabetic patients.<sup>13, 14</sup> Therefore, it is essential to maintain good glycemic management, especially for the purpose of decreasing the risk of various complications of diabetes mellitus.<sup>15</sup> To find effective ways for glycemic control in diabetic patients has already become a central public health issue.

There are several recommended approaches in the existing diabetes guidelines to deal with the development of diabetes and its complications,  $16, 17$  such as exercise interventions,  $18, 19$ improvement of dietary pattern,  $20$ ,  $21$  as well as pharmacological control. In recent years, dietary supplements such as probiotics,<sup>22</sup> soluble fiber,<sup>23</sup> resveratrol,<sup>24</sup> vitamins and minerals25 have aroused intensive interests in scientific field and been reported to exert good effects on diabetes control. Water-soluble vitamins, including B vitamins and vitamin C, mainly act as coenzymes or coenzymes component molecules involved in the body's metabolism, playing an important role in vital activities of the body including energy metabolism, antioxidation, etc.<sup>26, 27</sup> We searched for all meta-analyses of water-soluble vitamin supplementation and assessed the quality of the meta-analyses and the randomized controlled trials (RCTs) they included, as well as counting the number of identical RCTs from different meta-analyses and calculating corrected coverage area (CCA) of RCTs vital activities of the body including energy metabolism, antioxidation, etc. It has been reported that water-soluble vitamins, such as vitamin C, folate, thiamine and biotin, had a significant impact on diabetes and its complications.<sup>28</sup> The possible underlying mechanisms were related to improve oxidative stress, inflammation, and insulin resistance.<sup>29</sup> For instance, ascorbic acid (AA) has been reported to scavenge reactive oxygen and nitrogen species *in vitro* and *in vivo*, 30, 31 enhancing insulin sensitivity in skeletal muscle through ameliorating the oxidative stress; $^{32}$  folic acid supplementation has been shown to reduce c-Jun N-terminal protein kinase (JNK) activation and TNF gene expression, thereby reducing glucose uptake and inhibiting inflammatory processes;  $33, 34$  thiamine can activate glucose metabolism and insulin synthesis,<sup>35</sup> thus plays a role in blocking pathways that are responsible for hyperglycemia induced damage;<sup>36</sup> and biotin may compensate for low-concentration insulin exposure by inhibiting FOXO1 levels, increasing insulin expression and secretion.<sup>37, 38</sup>

There are several systematic reviews and meta-analyses (SRMAs) of RCTs summarizing the effects of water-soluble vitamin supplementations on insulin resistance and glycemic control; however, previous evidence of the pooled analysis shows inconsistent results. For example, two pooled studies showed that folic acid supplementation reduced FBG concentrations,  $39,40$  but one study showed no such effect.<sup>41</sup> Three studies showed that vitamin C supplementation reduced HbAc1,  $25, 42, 43$  while two studies did not.  $44, 45$  As to the two niacin supplementation trials,  $46, 47$  no statistically significant effects on blood glucose were found neither. As for the effects of thiamine and biotin supplementations,  $37, 48$  there is only one SRMA for both, and no statistically significant effect was found on FBG. Umbrella review is primarily an analysis of the evidence given for different interventions for the same problem or disease condition, or evidence from multiple studies that synthesize studies that have investigated the same interventions and disease conditions but have addressed and reported different outcomes, providing a summary of the synthesis of existing studies related to a given topic or problem, rather than a re-synthesis.<sup>49</sup> There have been some umbrella reviews that describe the effects of probiotics, minerals, and individual vitamins such as vitamin C and vitamin D on glycemic control and insulin resistance.<sup>50</sup> However, umbrella review that specifically summarizes the effects of water-soluble vitamin supplementations on glycemic control and insulin resistance is still not available till now.

The purpose of this umbrella review is to re-evaluate SRMAs of the role of water-soluble vitamin supplementations in glycemic management in T2DM patients. The quality of the SRMAs was assessed by using the methodological quality assessment tool AMSTAR-2 and the quality of evidence evaluation tool GRADE to analyze the differences and associations of various water-soluble vitamins under different outcome indicators and to more comprehensively summarize the impact of water-soluble vitamin supplementation on glycemic control. Our study may provide important scientific evidence for proposing the nutritional recommendations targeting patients with type 2 diabetes.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### *Search strategy*

We performed an extensive search of the SRMAs using three databases, Web of science, PubMed, and Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, including only English-language articles, with data search dates ending in November 2022. The search strategy is presented in Supplementary Table 1.

#### *Study selection*

Two researchers (Yin and Wang) independently completed the review of studies based on criteria for inclusion and exclusion. Firstly, relevant studies were selected based on the title and abstract of the studies. Secondly, selected studies were further screened by reading the full content of the included studies. Finally, disagreements were resolved by the judgment of the third author (Chen). We selected SRMAs by the appropriate inclusion criteria: (1) systematic reviews and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials in adults aged 18 years or older; (2) reported supplementation with water-soluble vitamin as intervention, and compared with a control group; (3) reported weighted or standardized mean differences (MDs) and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) in glycemic control as the outcome of interest, the measured indices consisted of FBG, HbA1c, insulin, and HOMA-IR.

The criterion for exclusion includes: (1) the primary study was experimental in animals, *in vivo*, *in vitro* or *ex vivo*; (2) no summary effect size was reported in the systematic review and meta-analysis (e.g., systematic review without meta-analysis).

#### *Quality assessment*

We assessed the methodological quality of the SRMAs using AMSTAR  $2<sup>51</sup>$  which is mainly used to assess systematic reviews that randomized or non-randomized studies of healthcare interventions, or both, and consists of 16 scored items, of which 7 are the critical items. AMSTAR 2 is concerned with the presence or absence of methodological flaws in critical items and rates the overall confidence in the results of the systematic reviews accordingly. Additionally, we used GRADE to assess the quality of evidence for the meta-analysis.<sup>52, 53</sup> There are five main components that influence the downgrading of GRADE evaluations: (1) Risk of bias; (2) Imprecision; (3) Inconsistency; (4) Indirectness; (5) Publication bias. When a risk factor is present in the evidence, the certainty needs to be downgraded by one or two levels (e.g., from high to moderate).

#### *Data extraction*

Two investigators (Yin and Wang) independently extracted studies information for the metaanalysis that was eligible for inclusion. Information collected included the first author's name, years of publication, sample sizes (including the number of RCTs in the meta-analysis and the total number of participants in the intervention and control groups), type of study, vitamin species, doses and durations of interventions, study locations, and conflict of interest, etc. Besides, the pooled effect sizes and 95%CI for outcome indicators such as FBG, HbA1c,

insulin, and HOMA-IR as well as the heterogeneity of the studies, p-values for heterogeneity and publication bias (p-values determined by Egger's test and Funnel plot) were extracted.

#### **RESULTS**

We searched a total of 2829 studies from three databases, and a total of 14 SRMAs of RCTs were included in our umbrella review (one of which was a network meta-analysis) after reading not only the titles and abstracts of the studies but also the full text according to the previously established exclusion criteria for inclusion in the studies (see Figure 1). The intervention trials in the SRMAs included the following 5 individual water-soluble vitamins: vitamin B-1 (N=1), vitamin B-3 (N=2), biotin (N=1), vitamin B-9 (N=4), and vitamin C  $(N=6)$ .

#### *Characteristics of the included systematic reviews and meta-analyses*

The 14 included SRMAs were published between 2014 and 2022, the characteristics of which were summarized in Table 1. In this study, T2DM patients were the target population, also, persons with other metabolic disorders including obesity, polycystic ovary syndrome, metabolic syndrome, etc. were also included with the purpose to compare the effects. One systematic review reported thiamine intervention (dose:  $100 \sim 900$  mg/day) for durations ranged from 1 to 3 months. Two systematic reviews reported niacin interventions for durations ranged from 8 to 64 weeks (dose:  $150 \sim 4500$  mg/day). One systematic review reported biotin interventions with durations ranged from 4 weeks to 3 months (dose: 1.5-15 mg/day). Primary studies of the 4 systematic reviews that examined the effect of folic acid interventions for longer durations of 2weeks to 7.3years (dose: 0.5-15 mg/day). It worth noting that the duration of vitamin C interventions varied greatly between the primary studies, with durations ranged from 14 days to 9 years (dose: 72-6000 mg/day). All systematic reviews used random effects models for pooled estimation. Most of the primary RCTs used placebo controls, and a small proportion used blank controls.

There were 162 primary RCTs in the 14 included systematic reviews, and after excluding duplicate studies, there were totally 88 primary RCTs implemented in 89 regions, of which 4, 8, 5, 34, and 37 primary RCTs conducted vitamin B-1, vitamin B-3, biotin, vitamin B-9, and vitamin C supplementations, respectively (Supplementary Table 2). In addition, 17 RCTs were conducted in Iran, 11 of which had vitamin B-9 interventions, and 13 studies were conducted in the United States, with vitamin B-3 or vitamin C interventions in 5 studies each (Figure 2). We noticed that the quality of the primary RCTs was closely related to the

economic status of the places where the studies were conducted, which were significantly higher in countries with better economic status.

Estimating the degree of overlap or corrected coverage area (CCA) for the included SRMAs, high CCAs were found in the supplementation trials of vitamin B-3 (CCA=62.50%), vitamin B-9 (CCA=24.51%) and vitamin C (CCA=18.54%). If the meta-analysis were grouped according to the study outcomes, the degree of overlap or CCA) was calculated again, and the results showed that the CCAs remained high. (Table 2)

The corresponding authors of the systematic reviews were mainly from Iran (5/14), Australia (2/14), China (4/14), UK (1/14), Korea (1/14), and Thailand (1/14). The source of funding for the systematic reviews was mainly national foundation (3/14), and 64% of the systematic reviews did not report a source of funding. Most of the systematic reviews reported no conflict of interest.

#### *Risk of bias and quality assessment of included meta-analyses*

The assessment results of AMSTAR-2 for the studies are presented in Figure 3. One study was a network meta-analysis and AMSTAR-2 was not applicable.<sup>43</sup> The remaining thirteen systematic reviews and meta-analyses were rated as high, moderate, and low at rates of 2 (3/13), 2 (2/13) and 8 (8/13), respectively. The most common critical flaw in the included studies was the failure to consider the risk of bias in the included studies when the investigator interpreted the results of each study (9/13). According to the assessment details of AMSTAR-2 and GRADE, most of the included SRMAs were low-quality articles with about 61.5% of the articles assessed as low by AMSTAR-2, mainly because the SRMAs did not consider quality assessment when interpreting the results; and about 31.6% and 26.3% of the articles assessed as low and very low by GRADE, mainly due to high heterogeneity among primary RCTs and publication bias also existed in meta-analysis studies.

The quality of evidence was assessed for 38 outcome indicators extracted from the included studies, resulting in three of high-quality evidence, thirteen of moderate quality evidence, twelve of low-quality evidence, and ten of very low-quality evidence. Inconsistency was the main factor affecting the downgrading, followed by risk of bias, indirectness, imprecision and publication bias (Figure 4, Supplementary Table 3). Also, Figure 4 shows the effects of water-soluble vitamin interventions on glycemic control and insulin resistance as reported in the included systematic reviews. In this review, we found that conclusions with significant differences were often derived from low-quality evidence. The inclusion of low and very low-quality evidence impacts the reliability and stability of the final results,

rendering the conclusions of the review potentially uncertain and insufficient to provide robust support for clinical practice. This underscores the need for further high-quality research to validate these findings.

We assessed the quality of the RCTs extracted from each meta-analysis with three quality assessment methods, namely JBI evidence-based center's quality assessment tool  $(N=1)$ , Jadad scale (N=5), and Cochrane collaboration' s tool for assessing risk of bias (N=8), and seven meta-analyses of vitamin B-3, folic acid and vitamin C having more than 50% of the primary RCTs of moderate and low quality (Figure 5).

#### *The effect of water-soluble vitamin supplementation on FBG*

Twelve systematic reviews explored the effects of the supplementation of five water-soluble vitamins including vitamin B-1, vitamin B-3, biotin, vitamin B-9, and vitamin C on FBG (Table 3, Figure 6).

There was only one meta-analysis targeting type 2 diabetic patients claiming that folic acid supplementation could reduce FBG,39 with pooled effect sizes -2.17 (95% CI: -3.69, -0.65). In agreement, another pooled analysis in metabolism-related diseases including T2DM, metabolic syndrome, overweight and obese, polycystic ovary syndrome, coronary artery disease also found folic acid supplementation could reduce FBG with pooled effect sizes ranging from -2.17 (95% CI: -3.69, -0.65) to -0.15 (95% CI: -0.29, -0.01).<sup>39, 40</sup> However, no statistically significant effects of folic acid on FBG were found by Maryam et al in the population with the same metabolism-related diseases aforementioned.<sup>41</sup> There was consistent evidence that vitamin C supplementation could reduce FBG with pooled effect sizes ranging from -20.59 (95% CI: -40.77, -0.4) to -0.44 (95% CI: -0.81, -0.07):<sup>25, 42, 44, 45</sup> and further subgroup analysis found that durations >30 days had a statistically more significant positive effect on FBG with pooled effect sizes ranging from  $-0.53$  (95% CI:  $-0.97$ ,  $-0.10$ ).<sup>44</sup>

There was consistent evidence that thiamine and biotin supplementation had no statistically significant effect on FBG.<sup>37, 48</sup> As to the two niacin supplementation trials, no statistically significant effects on blood glucose were found neither; however, subgroup analysis found that high doses or >20 weeks' supplementation of niacin were significantly effective for FBG. 46, 47

Totally, as to the influence of water-soluble vitamin on FBG, there were two SAMAs with high quality, three with intermediate quality, three with low quality, and four with very low quality (Figure 4).

#### *The effect of water-soluble vitamin supplementation on HbA1c*

Twelve meta-analyses explored the effect of the supplementation of five water-soluble vitamins including vitamin B-1, vitamin B-3, biotin, vitamin B-9, and vitamin C on HbA1c (Table 3, Figure 7). Two (50%) of the four meta-analyses found that vitamin C supplementation could reduce HbA1c with pooled effect sizes ranging from -0.54 (95% CI: - 0.9, -0.17) to -0.37 (95% CI: -0.57, -0.17).<sup>25, 42</sup> There was consistent evidence that thiamine, niacin and folic acid supplementation had no statistically significant effects on  $HbA1c$ ;<sup>39, 54</sup> however, subgroup analysis found that high-doses niacin intervention had a statistically significant positive effect on HbA1c with pooled effect sizes 0.90 (95% CI: 0.21, 2.41).<sup>47</sup> As to the one biotin supplementation trial, no statistically significant effect on HbA1c was found.<sup>37</sup> Overall, among the ten pooled studies, one SAMA provided evidence on HbA1c with high quality, four with moderate, two with low and three with very low quality. (See in Figure 4)

## *The effect of water-soluble vitamin supplementation on insulin resistance*

Seven meta-analyses explored the effect of the supplementation of three water-soluble vitamins including biotin, folic acid, and vitamin C on fasting serum insulin (Table 3, Figure 8).

There was only one meta-analysis targeting type 2 diabetic patients claiming that folic acid supplementation could reduce insulin, with pooled effect sizes ranging from -1.63 (95% CI: - 2.53, -0.73).<sup>39</sup> In agreement, another pooled analysis in the previously mentioned metabolismrelated diseases also found folic acid supplementation could reduce insulin, with pooled effect sizes ranging from -1.94 (95% CI: -3.28, -0.61) to -1.28 (95% CI: -1.99, -0.56).<sup>39-41</sup> As to the one biotin supplementation trials, no statistically significant effects on insulin were found.<sup>37</sup> For the two vitamin C supplementation trials, no statistically significant effects on insulin were found neither.<sup>42, 44</sup> In conclusion, two SAMAs with moderate quality of evidence, three with low quality and one with very low quality (Figure 4).

We also analyzed the effects of these vitamins on HOMA-IR. Seven meta-analyses explored the effects of two water-soluble vitamins including folic acid and vitamin C on HOMA-IR (Table 3, Figure 9).

There was only one meta-analysis reporting that folic acid supplementation could reduce HOMA-IR, with pooled effect sizes  $-0.40$  (95% CI:  $-0.70$ ,  $-0.09$ ).<sup>39</sup> In agreement, another pooled analysis in the metabolism-related diseases also found folic acid supplementation could reduce HOMA-IR, with pooled effect sizes ranging from -1.07 (95% CI: -1.80, -0.33)

to  $-0.40$  (95% CI:  $-0.70$ ,  $-0.09$ ).<sup>39-41</sup> As to the three vitamin C supplementation trials, no statistically significant effects on insulin were found.<sup>25, 42, 43</sup> In brief, as to insulin resistance, two SAMAs with moderate quality of evidence, four with low quality, and one with very low quality (Figure 4).

#### **DISCUSSION**

This umbrella review summarizes the effects of water-soluble vitamins on glycemic management in T2DM. We included a total of 14 manuscripts of systematic reviews and meta-analyses containing 92 primary RCTs of the effects of five water-soluble vitamin supplementations (vitamin B-1, vitamin B-3, biotin, folic acid, and vitamin C) on glycemic control and insulin resistance. We found that folic acid improved insulin concentrations and HOMA-IR and vitamin C supplementation improved FBG and HbA1c in T2DM.

Folic acid (vitamin B-9) significantly improved insulin resistance indicated by reduced serum/plasma insulin concentrations and HOMA-IR. Vitamin B-9 acts as a key one-carbon donor in the body that plays an essential role in cellular metabolism. Low concentrations of vitamin B-9 lead to hyperhomocysteinemia, which has been reported to be associated with the development of insulin resistance.<sup>55-57</sup> The supplementation of folic acid could reduce serum homocysteine concentrations and improve glucose-induced oxidative stress and inflammation in T2DM.<sup>58, 59</sup> This is consistent with our findings. As to FBG, there was one study implemented specifically in type 2 diabetes and found a statistically significant effect, while in the population of metabolism-related diseases including T2DM, metabolic syndrome, overweight and obese, polycystic ovary syndrome, coronary artery disease, there exists discrepancies in the pooled studies, two SAMAs showed that folic acid supplementation could reduce  $FBG<sub>39</sub>, <sup>40</sup>$  while one SAMA did not find the same effect; however, when sensitivity analysis was performed, the supplementation was found to decreased FBG again.<sup>41</sup> Therefore, there may exist major confounding in the study. Besides, it did not show a significant effect of folic acid supplementation on HbA1c, probably because HbA1c tends to reflect an estimation of long-term glycemic control, which cannot be significantly modified in the case of a relatively short intervention period (duration <12 weeks) in the included studies.<sup>60</sup> Also, the number of RCTs investigating the possible role of folic acid on HbA1c in the SRMAs was relatively small. $40,54$ 

In the present umbrella review, vitamin C supplementation was discovered to have a significant effect on glycemic control indicated by FBG and HbA1c. Oxidative stress, predisposing to insulin resistance, beta-cell dysfunction, impaired glucose tolerance, as well

as mitochondrial dysfunction, is a major pathophysiological mechanism for diabetes and its complications.<sup>61</sup> Ascorbic acid (AA), the most potent water-soluble antioxidants in the body, has been reported to scavenge reactive oxygen and nitrogen species *in vitro* and *in vivo*, 30, 31 resulting in ameliorated oxidative stress.<sup>62</sup> Therefore, the role of VC on glycemic control in our study mainly attributes to its potent antioxidant function in the body. For FBG, the results of the included meta-analysis were consistent. However, the discrepancy of the effects on HbA1c concentrations were found. The possible reason is that high concentrations of glucose in the blood lead to intracellular VC deficiency, in addition, VC bioavailability is affected by transport proteins, which is impaired in T2DM.<sup>45</sup> Besides, this may be also due to the small sample size and relatively early publication in some studies.<sup>45</sup>

Ascorbic acid supplementation did not show significant effects on insulin resistance in the present study. The possible reason is the high risk of bias in some studies as reported by Kim et al.<sup>25</sup> In addition, the small number of included studies, high heterogeneity (I > 50%) among the studies and the high overlaps of the primary RCTs included in the three SRMAs may also contribute.

Mitochondria are the site of production of important metabolites that regulate insulin secretion, and ATP/ADP ratio is significantly associated with insulin secretion.<sup>63, 64</sup> Also, in subjects with T2DM, impaired secretory response to glucose in pancreatic beta cells was associated with significant alterations in mitochondrial function and morphology.65 As we all know, thiamine participates the process of energy production within mitochondria, affecting intracellular glucose metabolism.<sup>66, 67</sup> In addition, it was reported to regulate insulin secretion, when thiamine deficiency, insulin secretion is impaired by reduced glucose oxidation, leading to beta-cell dysfunction and impaired glucose tolerance.<sup>68-70</sup> Niacin, mainly present in the body as coenzyme 1 (NAD) and coenzyme 2 (NADP), also is an important substance involved in the process of mitochondrial ATP production. At present, although studies did not find that thiamine (vitamin B-1) and niacin (vitamin B-3) supplementations improve blood glucose control, in the context of hyperglycemia, thiamine and niacin supplementations were revealed to prevent diabetic complications.71-73 The possible reason is the small number of included RCTs and populations and may be related to the early publication of the primary RCTs, the very low quality of the studies, and the very high degree of overlap between studies. Besides, one study even found that excess thiamine and niacin caused oxidative stress and insulin resistance in rats.<sup>74</sup> More rigorous studies are warranted in the future to investigate the effects of thiamine and niacin on glycemic control.

Also, we did not find a significant effect of biotin supplementation on glycemic management or insulin resistance. Unlikely, Zhang et al found that hyperglycemia and decreased insulin secretion and sensitivity was associated with biotin deficiency,<sup>75</sup> and biotin supplementation was able to increase insulin secretion and increase the proportion of beta cells by expanding the size of the islets in rats.<sup>76</sup> Considering the reason of the discrepancy, we found only one SRMA investigated the effects of biotin supplementation on glycemic control and insulin concentrations, and that study included only five RCTs and the pooled sample size of the RCTs was relatively small. In addition, by AMSTAR-2 and GRADE we found a low quality of the meta-analysis mainly due to not reporting publication bias. Therefore, more high-quality studies are needed in the future.

#### *Strengths and limitations*

Our study is the first umbrella review to systematically summarize the extensive evidence on the effects of water-soluble vitamin supplementation on glycemic control and insulin resistance. We searched for the effects of all water-soluble vitamin supplementation on glycemic control and insulin resistance and finally found 5 vitamins (vitamin B-1, vitamin B-3, biotin, vitamin B-9, and vitamin C supplementation). In our umbrella review, after categorizing the primary RCTs according to interventions and outcome indicators, we analyzed the quality and the overlap rate of included SRMAs, which is beneficial to the exploration of the reasons for inconsistencies among SRMAs. In addition, we mapped the locations where the primary RCTs were conducted, which may facilitate further studies to explore the potential impact of the region where the study was conducted on outcomes.

Nevertheless, there are still some shortcomings in our umbrella review. First, the degree of overlap or CCA in these included studies was very high and that the interventions in most of the primary RCTs were folic acid and vitamin C. Second, the quality assessment showed that the authors of these SRMAs did not consider the risk of bias in the included RCTs when interpreting the results; and the high heterogeneity of the SRMAs was one of the main factors influencing the downgrading of the quality of the GRADE evidence. Third, in our review, the interventions of RCTs included in the SRMAs were all supplementing single water-soluble vitamin, and thus future studies are needed to investigate the role and effects of multivitamin supplementation or vitamin supplementation in combination with other nutrients on glycemic control and insulin resistance. For instance, combined supplementation of vitamin C and vitamin E can improve glucose metabolism and oxidative stress in T2DM.77 Fourth, we only collected relevant information from the primary RCTs without subjecting them to a new

meta-analysis, and also only summarized the results of the included SRMAs and their quality assessment. Therefore, future studies should adopt a rigorous study design to improve the quality of the studies. Finally, we only visualized the study sites and did not consider or measure the regional differences when discussing and analyzing the results of each study. However, most of the primary RCTs were conducted in countries with unbalanced development, for which economic conditions and social factors had potential impacts on the studies.

#### *Conclusion*

Vitamin C supplementations can improve glycemic control in type 2 diabetes mellitus by reduced FBG and HbA1c, and folic acid supplementations improve insulin resistance. More well-designed individual RCTs were needed in the future. More well-designed individual randomized controlled trials are needed in the future, as well as meta-analysis of higher quality.

### **SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS**

All supplementary tables and figures are available upon request.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We thank the participants and their families who made this study possible.

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND FUNDING DISCLOSURE**

The all authors certify that they have no affiliations with or involvement in any organization or entity with any financial interest or non-financial interest in the subject matter or materials discussed in this manuscript.

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

#### **REFERENCES**

1. 1. Collaborators GBDD. Global, regional, and national burden of diabetes from 1990 to 2021, with projections of prevalence to 2050: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2021. Lancet. 2023;402:203-34. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(23)01301-6.

- 2. Magliano DJ, Boyko EJ, IDF Diabetes Atlas 10th edition scientific committee. IDF DIABETES ATLAS [Internet]. 10th ed. Brussels: International Diabetes Federation; 2021 [cited 2023 Jun 27]. (IDF Diabetes Atlas); Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK581934/.>
- 3. Scheen AJ. Pathophysiology of type 2 diabetes. Acta Clin Belg. 2003;58:335-41. doi:10.1179/acb.2003.58.6.001.
- 4. Gerich JE. Contributions of insulin-resistance and insulin-secretory defects to the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Mayo Clin Proc. 2003;78:447-56. doi:10.4065/78.4.447.
- 5. Mishra M, Ndisang JF. A critical and comprehensive insight on heme oxygenase and related products including carbon monoxide, bilirubin, biliverdin and ferritin in type-1 and type-2 diabetes. Curr Pharm Des. 2014;20:1370-91. doi:10.2174/13816128113199990559.
- 6. Ndisang JF, Vannacci A, Rastogi S. Insulin Resistance, Type 1 and Type 2 Diabetes, and Related Complications 2017. J Diabetes Res. 2017;2017:1478294. doi:10.1155/2017/1478294.
- 7. Henriksen EJ, Diamond-Stanic MK, Marchionne EM. Oxidative stress and the etiology of insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes. Free Radic Biol Med. 2011;51:993-9. doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2010.12.005.
- 8. Stratton IM, Adler AI, Neil HA, Matthews DR, Manley SE, Cull CA, Hadden D, Turner RC, Holman RR. Association of glycaemia with macrovascular and microvascular complications of type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 35): prospective observational study. BMJ. 2000;321:405-12. doi:10.1136/bmj.321.7258.405.
- 9. Diabetes C, Complications Trial Research G, Nathan DM, Genuth S, Lachin J, Cleary P, Crofford O, Davis M, Rand L, Siebert C. The effect of intensive treatment of diabetes on the development and progression of long-term complications in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. The New England Journal of Medicine. 1993;329:977-86.
- 10. Kent PA, Curley MA. Challenges in nursing: infants with congenital diaphragmatic hernia. Heart Lung. 1992;21:381-9.
- 11. Lu J, He J, Li M, Tang X, Hu R, Shi L, Su Q, Peng K, Xu M, Xu Y, Chen Y, Yu X, Yan L, Wang T, Zhao Z, Qin G, Wan Q, Chen G, Dai M, Zhang D, Gao Z, Wang G, Shen F, Luo Z, Qin Y, Chen L, Huo Y, Li Q, Ye Z, Zhang Y, Du R, Cheng D, Liu C, Wang Y, Wu S, Yang T, Deng H, Li D, Lai S, Bloomgarden ZT, Chen L, Zhao J, Mu Y, Ning G, Wang W, Bi Y, Group CS. Predictive Value of Fasting Glucose, Postload Glucose, and Hemoglobin A(1c) on Risk of Diabetes and Complications in Chinese Adults. Diabetes Care. 2019;42:1539-48. doi:10.2337/dc18-1390.
- 12. Society CD. Guideline for the prevention and treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus in China (2020 edition). Chinese Journal of Endocrinology and Metabolism [Internet]. 2021 Apr 25 [cited 2023 Nov 23];37(04):311–98; Available from:<https://rs.yiigle.com/CN2021/1315505.htm>
- 13. Zhao Q, Zhou F, Zhang Y, Zhou X, Ying C. Fasting plasma glucose variability levels and risk of adverse outcomes among patients with type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice. 2019;148:23-31. doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2018.12.010.
- 14. Lin CC, Chen CC, Chen FN, Li CI, Liu CS, Lin WY, Yang SY, Lee CC, Li TC. Risks of diabetic nephropathy with variation in hemoglobin A1c and fasting plasma glucose. Am J Med. 2013;126:1017 e1-10. doi:10.1016/j.amjmed.2013.04.015.
- 15. Anioke IC, Ezedigboh AN, Dozie-Nwakile OC, Chukwu IJ, Kalu PN. Predictors of poor glycemic control in adult with type 2 diabetes in South-Eastern Nigeria. Afr Health Sci. 2019;19:2819-28. doi:10.4314/ahs.v19i4.3.
- 16. ElSayed NA, Aleppo G, Aroda VR, Bannuru RR, Brown FM, Bruemmer D, Collins BS, Cusi K, Das SR, Gibbons CH, Giurini JM, Hilliard ME, Isaacs D, Johnson EL, Kahan S, Khunti K, Kosiborod M, Leon J, Lyons SK, Murdock L, Perry ML, Prahalad P, Pratley RE, Seley JJ, Stanton RC, Sun JK, Woodward CC, Young-Hyman D, Gabbay RA, on behalf of the American Diabetes A. Introduction and Methodology: Standards of Care in Diabetes-2023. Diabetes Care. 2023;46:S1-S4. doi:10.2337/dc23-Sint.
- 17. Wu J, Lu AD, Zhang LP, Zuo YX, Jia YP. [Study of clinical outcome and prognosis in pediatric core binding factor-acute myeloid leukemia]. Zhonghua Xue Ye Xue Za Zhi. 2019;40:52-57. doi:10.3760/cma.j.issn.0253-2727.2019.01.010.
- 18. Boule NG, Haddad E, Kenny GP, Wells GA, Sigal RJ. Effects of exercise on glycemic control and body mass in type 2 diabetes mellitus: a meta-analysis of controlled clinical trials. JAMA. 2001;286:1218-27. doi:10.1001/jama.286.10.1218.
- 19. Sampath Kumar A, Maiya AG, Shastry BA, Vaishali K, Ravishankar N, Hazari A, Gundmi S, Jadhav R. Exercise and insulin resistance in type 2 diabetes mellitus: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann Phys Rehabil Med. 2019;62:98-103. doi:10.1016/j.rehab.2018.11.001.
- 20. Papamichou D, Panagiotakos DB, Itsiopoulos C. Dietary patterns and management of type 2 diabetes: A systematic review of randomised clinical trials. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2019;29:531-43. doi:10.1016/j.numecd.2019.02.004.
- 21. Reynolds AN, Akerman AP, Mann J. Dietary fibre and whole grains in diabetes management: Systematic review and meta-analyses. PLoS Med. 2020:17:e1003053. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1003053.
- 22. Saez-Lara MJ, Robles-Sanchez C, Ruiz-Ojeda FJ, Plaza-Diaz J, Gil A. Effects of Probiotics and Synbiotics on Obesity, Insulin Resistance Syndrome, Type 2 Diabetes and Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: A Review of Human Clinical Trials. Int J Mol Sci. 2016;17:928. doi:10.3390/ijms17060928.
- 23. Xie Y, Gou L, Peng M, Zheng J, Chen L. Effects of soluble fiber supplementation on glycemic control in adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Clin Nutr. 2021;40:1800-10. doi:10.1016/j.clnu.2020.10.032.
- 24. Delpino FM, Figueiredo LM. Resveratrol supplementation and type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 2022;62:4465-80. doi:10.1080/10408398.2021.1875980.
- 25. Kim Y, Oh YK, Lee J, Kim E. Could nutrient supplements provide additional glycemic control in diabetes management? A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials of as an

add-on nutritional supplementation therapy. Archives of Pharmacal Research. 2022;45:185-204. doi:10.1007/s12272-022-01374-6.

- 26. Peterson CT, Rodionov DA, Osterman AL, Peterson SN. B Vitamins and Their Role in Immune Regulation and Cancer. Nutrients. 2020;12:3380. doi: 10.3390/nu12113380.
- 27. Berretta M, Quagliariello V, Maurea N, Di Francia R, Sharifi S, Facchini G, Rinaldi L, Piezzo M, Manuela C, Nunnari G, Montopoli M. Multiple Effects of Ascorbic Acid against Chronic Diseases: Updated Evidence from Preclinical and Clinical Studies. Antioxidants (Basel). 2020;9:1182. doi:10.3390/antiox9121182.
- 28. Deshmukh SV, Prabhakar B, Kulkarni YA. Water Soluble Vitamins and their Role in Diabetes and its Complications. Current Diabetes Reviews. 2020;16:649-56. doi: 10.2174/1573399815666190916114040.
- 29. Wu Y, Li S, Wang W, Zhang D. Associations of dietary vitamin B1, vitamin B2, niacin, vitamin B6, vitamin B12 and folate equivalent intakes with metabolic syndrome. Int J Food Sci Nutr. 2020;71:738- 49. doi:10.1080/09637486.2020.1719390.
- 30. Tamari Y, Nawata H, Inoue E, Yoshimura A, Yoshii H, Kashino G, Seki M, Enomoto T, Watanabe M, Tano K. Protective roles of ascorbic acid in oxidative stress induced by depletion of superoxide dismutase in vertebrate cells. Free Radic Res. 2013;47:1-7. doi:10.3109/10715762.2012.734916.
- 31. Ozturk IC, Ozturk F, Gul M, Ates B, Cetin A. Protective effects of ascorbic acid on hepatotoxicity and oxidative stress caused by carbon tetrachloride in the liver of Wistar rats. Cell Biochem Funct. 2009;27:309-15. doi:10.1002/cbf.1575.
- 32. Mason SA, Della Gatta PA, Snow RJ, Russell AP, Wadley GD. Ascorbic acid supplementation improves skeletal muscle oxidative stress and insulin sensitivity in people with type 2 diabetes: Findings of a randomized controlled study. Free Radic Biol Med. 2016;93:227-38. doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2016.01.006.
- 33. Shah A, Mehta N, Reilly MP. Adipose inflammation, insulin resistance, and cardiovascular disease. JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr. 2008;32:638-44. doi:10.1177/0148607108325251.
- 34. Bagherieh M, Kheirollahi A, Zamani-Garmsiri F, Emamgholipour S, Meshkani R. Folic acid ameliorates palmitate-induced inflammation through decreasing homocysteine and inhibiting NF-κB pathway in HepG2 cells. Archives of Physiology and Biochemistry. 2023;129:893-900. doi:10.1080/13813455.2021.1878539.
- 35. Debski B, Kuryl T, Gralak MA, Pierzynowska J, Drywien M. Effect of inulin and oligofructose enrichment of the diet on rats suffering thiamine deficiency. J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr (Berl). 2011;95:335-42. doi:10.1111/j.1439-0396.2010.01059.x.
- 36. Oh SH, Witek RP, Bae SH, Darwiche H, Jung Y, Pi L, Brown A, Petersen BE. Detection of transketolase in bone marrow-derived insulin-producing cells: benfotiamine enhances insulin synthesis and glucose metabolism. Stem Cells Dev. 2009;18:37-46. doi:10.1089/scd.2007.0255.
- 37. Zhang Y, Ding Y, Fan Y, Xu Y, Lu Y, Zhai L, Wang L. Influence of biotin intervention on glycemic control and lipid profile in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: A systematic review and metaanalysis. Front Nutr. 2022;9:1046800. doi:10.3389/fnut.2022.1046800.
- 38. Furukawa Y. [Enhancement of glucose-induced insulin secretion and modification of glucose metabolism by biotin]. Nihon Rinsho. 1999;57:2261-9.
- 39. Asbaghi O, Ashtary-Larky D, Bagheri R, Moosavian SP, Olyaei HP, Nazarian B, Rezaei Kelishadi M, Wong A, Candow DG, Dutheil F, Suzuki K, Alavi Naeini A. Folic Acid Supplementation Improves Glycemic Control for Diabetes Prevention and Management: A Systematic Review and Dose-Response Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. Nutrients. 2021;13:2355. doi:10.3390/nu13072355.
- 40. Zhao JV, Schooling CM, Zhao JX. The effects of folate supplementation on glucose metabolism and risk of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Ann Epidemiol. 2018;28:249-57 e1. doi:10.1016/j.annepidem.2018.02.001.
- 41. Akbari M, Tabrizi R, Lankarani KB, Heydari ST, Karamali M, Keneshlou F, Niknam K, Kolahdooz F, Asemi Z. The Effects of Folate Supplementation on Diabetes Biomarkers Among Patients with Metabolic Diseases: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. Horm Metab Res. 2018;50:93-105. doi:10.1055/s-0043-125148.
- 42. Mason SA, Keske MA, Wadley GD. Effects of Vitamin C Supplementation on Glycemic Control and Cardiovascular Risk Factors in People With Type 2 Diabetes: A GRADE-Assessed Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. Diabetes Care. 2021;44:618-30. doi:10.2337/dc20-1893.
- 43. Kazemi A, Ryul Shim S, Jamali N, Hassanzadeh-Rostami Z, Soltani S, Sasani N, Mohsenpour MA, Firoozi D, Basirat R, Hosseini R, Clark CCT, Babajafari S, Soltanmohammadi M. Comparison of nutritional supplements for glycemic control in type 2 diabetes: A systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomized trials. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2022;191:110037. doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2022.110037.
- 44. Ashor AW, Werner AD, Lara J, Willis ND, Mathers JC, Siervo M. Effects of vitamin C supplementation on glycaemic control: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2017;71:1371-80. doi:10.1038/ejcn.2017.24.
- 45. Tabatabaei-Malazy O, Nikfar S, Larijani B, Abdollahi M. Influence of ascorbic acid supplementation on type 2 diabetes mellitus in observational and randomized controlled trials; a systematic review with meta-analysis. J Pharm Pharm Sci. 2014;17:554-82. doi:10.18433/j3zg6r.
- 46. Ding Y, Li Y, Wen A. Effect of niacin on lipids and glucose in patients with type 2 diabetes: A metaanalysis of randomized, controlled clinical trials. Clin Nutr. 2015;34:838-44. doi:10.1016/j.clnu.2014.09.019.
- 47. Xiang D, Zhang Q, Wang YT. Effectiveness of niacin supplementation for patients with type 2 diabetes: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Medicine (Baltimore). 2020;99:e21235. doi:10.1097/MD.0000000000021235.
- 48. Muley A, Fernandez R, Green H, Muley P. Effect of thiamine supplementation on glycaemic outcomes in adults with type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open. 2022;12:e059834. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2021-059834.
- 49. Aromataris E, Fernandez R, Godfrey C, Holly C, Khalil H, Tungpunkom P. Umbrella Reviews (2020). Aromataris E, Lockwood C, Porritt K, Pilla B, Jordan Z, editors. JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis. JBI; 2024 [cited 2024 Aug 16]; Available from: <https://synthesismanual.jbi.global.> <https://doi.org/10.46658/JBIMES-24-08.>
- 50. Fong C, Alesi S, Mousa A, Moran LJ, Deed G, Grant S, Tapia K, Ee C. Efficacy and Safety of Nutrient Supplements for Glycaemic Control and Insulin Resistance in Type 2 Diabetes: An Umbrella Review and Hierarchical Evidence Synthesis. Nutrients. 2022;14:2295. doi:10.3390/nu14112295.
- 51. Shea BJ, Reeves BC, Wells G, Thuku M, Hamel C, Moran J, Moher D, Tugwell P, Welch V, Kristjansson E, Henry DA. AMSTAR 2: a critical appraisal tool for systematic reviews that include randomised or non-randomised studies of healthcare interventions, or both. BMJ (Clinical research ed). 2017;358:j4008. doi:10.1136/bmj.j4008.
- 52. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, Kunz R, Falck-Ytter Y, Alonso-Coello P, Schunemann HJ, Group GW. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. BMJ. 2008;336:924-6. doi:10.1136/bmj.39489.470347.AD.
- 53. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Schunemann HJ, Tugwell P, Knottnerus A. GRADE guidelines: a new series of articles in the Journal of Clinical Epidemiology. J Clin Epidemiol. 2011;64:380-2. doi:10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.09.011.
- 54. Sudchada P, Saokaew S, Sridetch S, Incampa S, Jaiyen S, Khaithong W. Effect of folic acid supplementation on plasma total homocysteine levels and glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2012;98:151-8. doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2012.05.027.
- 55. Meigs JB, Jacques PF, Selhub J, Singer DE, Nathan DM, Rifai N, D'Agostino RB, Sr., Wilson PW, Framingham Offspring S. Fasting plasma homocysteine levels in the insulin resistance syndrome: the Framingham offspring study. Diabetes Care. 2001;24:1403-10. doi:10.2337/diacare.24.8.1403.
- 56. Ji C, Kaplowitz N. Hyperhomocysteinemia, endoplasmic reticulum stress, and alcoholic liver injury. World J Gastroenterol. 2004;10:1699-708. doi:10.3748/wjg.v10.i12.1699.
- 57. Ashok T, Puttam H, Tarnate VCA, Jhaveri S, Avanthika C, Trejo Trevino AG, Sl S, Ahmed NT. Role of Vitamin B12 and Folate in Metabolic Syndrome. Cureus. 2021;13:e18521. doi:10.7759/cureus.18521.
- 58. Yang X, Hu R, Wang Z, Hou Y, Song G. Associations Between Serum Folate Level and HOMA-IR in Chinese Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity: Targets and Therapy. 2023;16:1481-91. doi:10.2147/DMSO.S409291.
- 59. El-Khodary NM, Dabees H, Werida RH. Folic acid effect on homocysteine, sortilin levels and glycemic control in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients. Nutr Diabetes. 2022;12:33. doi:10.1038/s41387-022- 00210-6.
- 60. Nitin S. HbA1c and factors other than diabetes mellitus affecting it. Singapore Medical Journal. 2010;51:616-22.
- 61. Rains JL, Jain SK. Oxidative stress, insulin signaling, and diabetes. Free Radic Biol Med. 2011;50:567- 75. doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2010.12.006.
- 62. Victor VM, Rocha M, Herance R, Hernandez-Mijares A. Oxidative stress and mitochondrial dysfunction in type 2 diabetes. Curr Pharm Des. 2011;17:3947-58. doi:10.2174/138161211798764915.
- 63. Maechler P. Mitochondria as the conductor of metabolic signals for insulin exocytosis in pancreatic beta-cells. Cellular and molecular life sciences: CMLS. 2002;59:1803-18. doi: 10.1007/pl00012507.
- 64. Detimary P, Dejonghe S, Ling Z, Pipeleers D, Schuit F, Henquin JC. The changes in adenine nucleotides measured in glucose-stimulated rodent islets occur in beta cells but not in alpha cells and are also observed in human islets. The Journal of Biological Chemistry. 1998;273:33905-08. doi:10.1074/jbc.273.51.33905.
- 65. Anello M, Lupi R, Spampinato D, Piro S, Masini M, Boggi U, Del Prato S, Rabuazzo AM, Purrello F, Marchetti P. Functional and morphological alterations of mitochondria in pancreatic beta cells from type 2 diabetic patients. Diabetologia. 2005;48:282-9. doi:10.1007/s00125-004-1627-9.
- 66. Beltramo E, Berrone E, Tarallo S, Porta M. Effects of thiamine and benfotiamine on intracellular glucose metabolism and relevance in the prevention of diabetic complications. Acta Diabetol. 2008;45:131-41. doi:10.1007/s00592-008-0042-y.
- 67. Polegato BF, Pereira AG, Azevedo PS, Costa NA, Zornoff LAM, Paiva SAR, Minicucci MF. Role of Thiamin in Health and Disease. Nutr Clin Pract. 2019;34:558-64. doi:10.1002/ncp.10234.
- 68. Gralak MA, Debski B, Drywien M. Thiamine deficiency affects glucose transport and beta-oxidation in rats. J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr (Berl). 2019;103:1629-35. doi:10.1111/jpn.13146.
- 69. Rathanaswami P, Pourany A, Sundaresan R. Effects of thiamine deficiency on the secretion of insulin and the metabolism of glucose in isolated rat pancreatic islets. Biochem Int. 1991;25:577-83.
- 70. Thornalley PJ. The potential role of thiamine (vitamin B1) in diabetic complications. Curr Diabetes Rev. 2005;1:287-98. doi:10.2174/157339905774574383.
- 71. Arora S, Lidor A, Abularrage CJ, Weiswasser JM, Nylen E, Kellicut D, Sidawy AN. Thiamine (vitamin B1) improves endothelium-dependent vasodilatation in the presence of hyperglycemia. Ann Vasc Surg. 2006;20:653-8. doi:10.1007/s10016-006-9055-6.
- 72. Sazonov V, Maccubbin D, Sisk CM, Canner PL. Effects of niacin on the incidence of new onset diabetes and cardiovascular events in patients with normoglycaemia and impaired fasting glucose. Int J Clin Pract. 2013;67:297-302. doi:10.1111/ijcp.12089.
- 73. Goldberg RB, Jacobson TA. Effects of niacin on glucose control in patients with dyslipidemia. Mayo Clin Proc. 2008;83:470-8. doi:10.4065/83.4.470.
- 74. Sun W, Zhai M, Zhou Q, Qian C, Jiang C. Effects of B Vitamins Overload on Plasma Insulin Level and Hydrogen Peroxide Generation in Rats. Chin J Physiol. 2017;60:207-14. doi:10.4077/CJP.2017.BAF469.
- 75. Larrieta E, Vega-Monroy ML, Vital P, Aguilera A, German MS, Hafidi ME, Fernandez-Mejia C. Effects of biotin deficiency on pancreatic islet morphology, insulin sensitivity and glucose homeostasis. J Nutr Biochem. 2012;23:392-9. doi:10.1016/j.jnutbio.2011.01.003.
- 76. Lazo de la Vega-Monroy ML, Larrieta E, German MS, Baez-Saldana A, Fernandez-Mejia C. Effects of biotin supplementation in the diet on insulin secretion, islet gene expression, glucose homeostasis and beta-cell proportion. J Nutr Biochem. 2013;24:169-77. doi:10.1016/j.jnutbio.2012.03.020.
- 77. Lai MH. Antioxidant effects and insulin resistance improvement of chromium combined with vitamin C and e supplementation for type 2 diabetes mellitus. J Clin Biochem Nutr. 2008;43:191-8. doi:10.3164/jcbn.2008064.
- 78. Khodaeian M, Tabatabaei-Malazy O, Qorbani M, Farzadfar F, Amini P, Larijani B. Effect of vitamins C and E on insulin resistance in diabetes: a meta-analysis study. Eur J Clin Invest. 2015;45:1161-74. doi:10.1111/eci.12534..

<b>Table 1.</b> Table 1 Characteristics of included systematic reviews and meta-analysis										
SR Author and year	Primary studies,	Population				Age (years)	Intervention			
	n						Vitamin species	Dose $(mg/day)$		Duration
Arti Muley, 202248	6	T <sub>2</sub> DM				mean 52-65.3	$B-1$	100-900		1-3 months
Yi Ding, 2015 <sup>46</sup>	$\overline{7}$	T <sub>2</sub> DM				59-67	$B-3$	150-4500		8-64 weeks
Maryam Akbari, 2018 <sup>41</sup>	16	T2DM/metabolic syndrome/Overweight and obese				<b>NR</b>	$B-9$	$1 - 10$		2-12 weeks
Zhao JV, 2018 <sup>40</sup>	18	people/polycystic ovary syndrome T2DM / Other metabolic diseases				24.6-67.3	$B-9$	$0.15 - 10$		2weeks-7.3years
Patcharaporn Sudchada, 2012 <sup>54</sup>	$\overline{4}$	T <sub>2</sub> DM				mean 55-66	$B-9$	5		4 weeks-6 months
Omid Asbaghi, 2021 <sup>39</sup>	24	T2DM / metabolic syndrome/Overweight and obese				$24 - 65$	$B-9$	$0.8 - 15$		$3-234$ weeks
		people/polycystic ovary syndrome/ hypertension/								
Shaun A. Mason, $2021^{42}$	28	coronary artery disease T <sub>2</sub> DM				$38 - 71$	<b>VC</b>	500-1000		1-6 months
Yoonhye Kim, $2022^{25}$	12	T <sub>2</sub> DM				<b>NR</b>	<b>VC</b>	200-1000		3-48weeks
		T2DM / healthy individuals / T1DM / coronary artery								
AW Ashor, 2017 <sup>44</sup>	22	diseases patients				$22 - 60$	<b>VC</b>	72-6000		14-120 days
Asma Kazemi, 2022 <sup>43</sup>	19	T2DM / Diabetic Hyperlipidaemia				29.3-77 (median 56.5)	<b>VC</b>	<b>NR</b>		2-52 weeks
SR Author and year	Comparator	Outcome				Method of pooling	Funding		<b>COI</b>	Country of author
		<b>FBG</b>	HbAc1	HOMA-	Insulin	estimates				
				$\ensuremath{\mathsf{IR}}\xspace$						
Arti Muley, 2022 <sup>48</sup>	placebo: 5, thiamine: 1					random effect	NO		$\rm NR$	Australia
Yi Ding, 2015 <sup>46</sup>	Placebo: 3					random effect	<b>National Foundation</b>		N <sub>O</sub>	China
Maryam Akbari, 2018 <sup>41</sup>	placebo					random effect	a grant from the Vice-		N <sub>O</sub>	Iran
Zhao JV, 2018 <sup>40</sup>					$\sqrt{ }$	random effect	chancellor for Research NO.		NO.	
Patcharaporn Sudchada, 2012 <sup>54</sup>	placebo					random effect	N <sub>O</sub>		N <sub>O</sub>	Hong Kong Thailand
Omid Asbaghi, 2021 <sup>39</sup>	placebo no intervention: 6.				$\sqrt{ }$	random effect	N <sub>O</sub>		N <sub>O</sub>	Iran
	Placebo: 18									
Shaun A. Mason, 2021 <sup>42</sup>	placebo			V	$\sqrt{ }$	random effect	<b>NR</b>		N <sub>O</sub>	Australia
Yoonhye Kim, 2022 <sup>25</sup>	placebo					random effect	National Foundation		N <sub>O</sub>	Korea
AW Ashor, 2017 <sup>44</sup>	placebo: 13					random effect	<b>National Foundation</b>		N <sub>O</sub>	UK
Asma Kazemi, 2022 <sup>43</sup>	no intervention: 1,									
	Placebo: 18					random effect	<b>NR</b>		<b>NO</b>	Iran

**Table 1.** Table 1 Characteristics of included systematic reviews and meta-analysis

FBG: fasting blood glucose, HbA1c: glycosylated hemoglobin, HOMA-IR: homeostatic model assessment for insulin resistance, COI: conflict of interest, NR: no report, SR: systematic review and metaanalysis.

SR Author and year	Primary studies,	Population				Age (years)	Intervention		
							Vitamin species	Dose $(mg/day)$	Duration
Mehrnoosh Khodaeian, 2015 <sup>78</sup>		T <sub>2</sub> DM				$20 - 75$	<b>VC</b>	800-1000	$4-16$ weeks
Ozra Tabatabaei-Malazy, 2014 <sup>45</sup>	12	T <sub>2</sub> DM				18-89	<b>VC</b>	120-2000	4 weeks-9 years
Yujia Zhang, $2022^{37}$		T <sub>2</sub> DM				46-59	$B-7$	$1.5 - 15$	4 weeks-3 months
Dan Xiang, $2020^{47}$		T <sub>2</sub> DM				mean 59-65	$B-3$	1500-4500	8 weeks-12 months
SR Author and year	Comparator	Outcome				Method of pooling	Funding	<b>COI</b>	Country of author
		FBG	HbAc1	HOMA-	Insulin	estimates			
				$_{\rm IR}$					
Mehrnoosh Khodaeian, 2015 <sup>78</sup>	placebo					random effect	N <sub>O</sub>	N <sub>O</sub>	Iran
Ozra Tabatabaei-Malazy, 2014 <sup>45</sup>	placebo					random effect	N <sub>O</sub>	NO.	Iran
Yujia Zhang, 2022 <sup>37</sup>	placebo					random effect	<b>Faculty Research Grants</b>	N <sub>O</sub>	Macau
Dan Xiang, $2020^{47}$	placebo: 3 statins:3					random effect	<b>NR</b>	NO.	China

Table 1. Table 1 Characteristics of included systematic reviews and meta-analysis (cont.)

FBG: fasting blood glucose, HbA1c: glycosylated hemoglobin, HOMA-IR: homeostatic model assessment for insulin resistance, COI: conflict of interest, NR: no report, SR: systematic review and metaanalysis.

**Table 2.** The overlapping among included systematic reviews and meta-analyses



CA: coverage area; CCA: corrected coverage area.

From

SR author and year (number of studies)	${\rm I\hspace{-0.3mm}/} /{\rm C}$	Outcomes	Relative effect (95% CI)	$I^2(\% )$	Publication bias
Vitamin B1 Arti Muley, 2022 <sup>48</sup>					
2	24/24	<b>FBG</b>	$MD=-0.20$ (-0.69, 0.29)	$\overline{0}$	<b>YES</b>
$\mathbf{1}$	40/40	$(<3$ Mon) <b>FBG</b>	$MD=1.30 (-0.12, 2.72)$	NR	<b>YES</b>
$\mathfrak{2}$	51/55	$(>3$ Mon) HbA1c	$MD=-0.02\% (-0.35, 0.31)$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	<b>YES</b>
$\mathfrak{2}$	79/83	$(<3$ Mon) HbA1c $(>3$ Mon)	$MD=0.19\% (-0.17, 0.55)$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	<b>YES</b>
Vitamin B3					
Yi Ding, 2015 <sup>46</sup> 7	452/386	<b>FBG</b>	WMD= $-0.07$ $(-0.44, 0.29)$	68.50	NO <sub></sub>
Dan Xiang, 2020 <sup>47</sup>					
6	658/615	<b>FBG</b>	$WMD=0.18(-0.14, 0.50)$	5.20	NO <sub></sub>
5	646/603	HbAc1	WMD=0.39 (-0.15, 0.94)	57.60	NO
Vitamin B7					
Yujia Zhang, 202237					
5	284/161	<b>FBG</b>	$MD=-1.21(-2.73, 0.31)$	0.00	NR
1	226	HbAc1	$MD=-0.18(-0.39, 0.03)$	$\rm NR$	$\rm NR$
$\overline{4}$	266/151	insulin	MD=1.88(-13.44, 17.21)	58.00	$\rm NR$
Vitamin B9					
Omid Asbaghi, 2021 <sup>39</sup>					
27	17379/17235	<b>FBG</b>	WMD= $-2.17$ ( $-3.69$ , $-0.65$ )	81.50	<b>YES</b>
$\overline{4}$	85/85	HbAc1	WMD= $-0.27$ ( $-0.73$ , 0.18)	74.90	NO
12	322/295	<b>HOMA-IR</b>	WMD= $-0.40$ ( $-0.70$ , $-0.09$ )	80.90	NO
12	315/291	insulin	WMD= $-1.63$ ( $-2.53$ , $-0.73$ )	65.80	NO
Maryam Akbari, 2018 <sup>41</sup>					
10	254/257	<b>FBG</b>	$SMD=-0.30 (-0.63, 0.02)$	69.10	N <sub>O</sub>
6	144/134	HbAc1	$SMD=-0.29$ (-0.61, 0.03)	40.60	NO
$\,8\,$	226/227	insulin	$SMD = -1.28 (-1.99, -0.56)$	91.50	$\rm NO$
9	240/244	HOMA-IR	$SMD = -1.07 (-1.80, -0.33)$	92.50	NO
Zhao JV, 2018 <sup>40</sup>					
15	8369/8399	<b>FBG</b>	$MD=-0.15$ ( $-0.29$ , $-0.01$ )	53.30	NO
$\overline{4}$	157/156	HbAc1	$MD=-0.17(-0.49, 0.16)$	77.80	NO.
$\,8\,$	190/190	insulin	$MD=-1.94$ ( $-3.28$ , $-0.61$ )	66.10	NO
$\mathbf Q$	221/214	<b>HOMA-IR</b>	$MD=-0.83(-1.31, -0.34)$	80.90	NO
Patcharaporn Sudchada, 201254					
3	71/71	HbAc1	$WMD=-0.37(-1.10, 0.35)$	83.80	N <sub>O</sub>
Vitamin C					
AW Ashor, 2017 <sup>44</sup>					
13	<b>NR</b>	FBG	WMD= $-0.44$ ( $-0.81$ , $-0.07$ )	<b>NR</b>	NR
10	<b>NR</b>	HbAc1	$WMD = -0.02 (-0.19, 0.15)$	0.00%	NR
6	<b>NR</b>	insulin	WMD= $-13.63$ ( $-22.73$ , $-4.54$ )	NR.	NR
Shaun A. Mason, 2021 <sup>42</sup>					
20	670/635	FBG	$MD=-0.74(-1.17,-0.31)$	74.95%	NO
16	570/563	HbAc1	$MD=-0.54\%$ (-0.9, -0.17)	88.70%	NO
5	222/214	<b>HOMA-IR</b>	$MD=-1.43$ (-2.88, 0.01)	60.98%	NO
9	133/130	insulin	$MD=-0.74$ (-2.09, 0.61)	85.44%	NO
Ozra Tabatabaei-Malazy,					
201445					
5	184/181	<b>FBG</b>	$MD=-20.59$ ( $-40.77$ , $-0.4$ )	$\rm NR$	NO.
5	184/181	HbAc1	$MD=-0.46(-1.75, 0.84)$	$\rm NR$	<b>YES</b>
Asma Kazemi, 2022 <sup>43</sup>					
$19(18)^{\dagger}$	676/610	<b>FBG</b>	$MD=-12.03(-19.43, -4.63)$	93.30%	<b>YES</b>
15	543/538	HbAc1	$MD=-0.48(-0.75, -0.21)$	83%	<b>YES</b>
5 $(4)$ <sup>†</sup>	131/126	HOMA-IR	$MD=-0.06(-1.15, 1.02)$	75.30%	$\rm NO$
$8(7)^{\dagger}$	215/207	insulin	$MD=-1.164(-3.21,0.86)$	71.20%	<b>YES</b>

**Table 3.** Efficacy of water-soluble vitamin supplementation on glycemic control and insulin resistance

CA: coverage area; CCA: corrected coverage area.

SR author and year (number	I/С	<b>Dutcomes</b>	Relative effect (95% CI)	$I^2({\%})$	Publication
of studies)					bias
Vitamin C					
Mehrnoosh Khodaeian.					
2015 <sup>78</sup>					
	92	HOMA-IR	SMD= $-0.15$ ( $-0.49$ , 0.19)	35.40%	N <sub>O</sub>
Yoonhye Kim, $2022^{25}$					
12	318/318	<b>FBG</b>	$MD=-11.96 (-19.94, -3.97)$	60%	N <sub>O</sub>
8	225/224	HbAc1	$MD=-0.37(-0.57, -0.17)$	0%	N <sub>O</sub>
	75/77	<b>HOMA-IR</b>	$MD=-1.86(-4.10, 0.39)$	61%	NO

**Table 3.** Efficacy of water-soluble vitamin supplementation on glycemic control and insulin resistance (cont.)

SR: systematic reviews and meta-analyses; FBG: fasting blood glucose, HbA1c: glycosylated hemoglobin, HOMA-IR: homeostatic model assessment for insulin resistance; I/C: intervention/comparison; NR: no report; MD: mean difference; SMD: standard mean difference; WMD: weighted mean difference.

<sup>†</sup>The number of RCTs actually found in the meta-analysis.



**Figure 1.** PRISMA Flow chart for search strategy exploring the effects of water-soluble on glycemic control and insulin resistance



**Figure 2.** The locations where randomized controlled trials of water-soluble vitamin interventions were conducted



Partly Yes



Yes



**Figure 4.** Summary of the strength of evidence for the effects of water-soluble vitamin supplementations. The left column indicates the meta-analyses with GRADE ratings that were very low, low, moderate, or high. Numbers in the right column indicate the modified consistency rating (number of primary randomized controlled trials with a statistically significantly positive effect or no statistically significant effect for each outcome).



**Figure 5.** The quality of primary randomized controlled trials in meta-analysis

		w.		
study	N	IС		ES (95% CI)
$B-3$				
Yi Ding, 2015 (46)	7	452/386	⊷	-0.07 (-0.44 to 0.29)
Dan Xiang, 2020 (47)	6	658/615	$H^{\alpha-1}$	$0.18$ (-0.14 to 0.50)
$B-7$				
Yujia Zhan, 2022 (37)	5	284/161		$-1.21$ ( $-2.73$ to 0.31)
B-9				
Omid Asbaghi, 2021 (39)	27	17379/17235		$-2.17$ ( $-3.69$ to $-0.65$ )
VC				
AW Ashor, 2017 (44)	13	NR.	$\rightarrow$	-0.44 (-0.81 to -0.07)
Shaun A. Mason, 2021 (42)	20	670/635	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$-0.74$ ( $-1.17$ to $-0.31$ )
Ozra Tabatabaei-Malazy, 2014 (45) 5		184/181		-20.59 (-40.77 to -0.40)
Yoonhye Kim, 2022 (25) N: number of primary studies,	12	636	ــــه	-11.96 (-19.94 to -3.97)
IC: intervention/Comparison			-5 -1	
(): reference			ES (95% CI)	

**Figure 6.** The effects of water-soluble vitamin supplementation on FBG



**Figure 7.** The effects of water-soluble vitamin supplementation on HbA1c



**Figure 8.** The effects of water-soluble vitamin supplementation on insulin



**Figure 9.** The effects of water-soluble vitamin supplementation on HOMA-IR

## **Supplementary Tables**

**Supplementary Table 1.** Search strategy



## **Supplementary Table 1. Search strategy (cont.)**



#### **Supplementary Table 1. Search strategy (cont.)**



TWI: total water intake; TDF: total drinking fluids; WFF: water from food; EFI: exercise-related fluid intake; NEFI: non-exerciserelated fluid intake.

Values were shown as medians (QR).

\**p*<0.05 there were statistically significant differences between different PAEE or MET groups; \*\**p*<0.05 there was statistically significant trend with the PAEE or MET level increase.

†*p*<0.05 compared with Gp1; ‡*p*<0.05 compared with Gp2; §*p*<0.05 compared with Gm1; ¶*p*<0.05 compared with Gm2; ††*p*<0.05 compared with Gm3.



**Supplementary Table 2.** Characteristics of included randomized controlled trials of meta-analysis exploring the effects of water-soluble vitamin on glycemic control and insulin resistance Pa.

AA: ascorbic acid, T2DM: type 2 diabete, T1DM: type 1 diabetes, FBG: fasting blood glucose, HbA1c: glycosylated hemoglobin, HOMA-IR: homeostatic model assessment for insulin resistance, COI: conflict of interest, NR: no report, DB: double blind, F: female; M, male, PC: placebo

 $\sum$ 



**Supplementary Table 2.** Characteristics of included randomized controlled trials of meta-analysis exploring the effects of water-soluble vitamin on glycemic control and insulin resistance (cont.) A.



**Supplementary Table 2.** Characteristics of included randomized controlled trials of meta-analysis exploring the effects of water-soluble vitamin on glycemic control and insulin resistance (cont.) f May



**Supplementary Table 2.** Characteristics of included randomized controlled trials of meta-analysis exploring the effects of water-soluble vitamin on glycemic control and insulin resistance (cont.) A.



**Supplementary Table 2.** Characteristics of included randomized controlled trials of meta-analysis exploring the effects of water-soluble vitamin on glycemic control and insulin resistance (cont.)



**Supplementary Table 2.** Characteristics of included randomized controlled trials of meta-analysis exploring the effects of water-soluble vitamin on glycemic control and insulin resistance (cont.) A.

AA: ascorbic acid, T2DM: type 2 diabete, T1DM: type 1 diabetes, FBG: fasting blood glucose, HbA1c: glycosylated hemoglobin, HOMA-IR: homeostatic model assessment for insulin resistance, COI: conflict of interest, NR: no report, DB: double blind, F: female; M, male, PC: placebo

 $\mathcal{L}$ 



**Supplementary Table 2.** Characteristics of included randomized controlled trials of meta-analysis exploring the effects of water-soluble vitamin on glycemic control and insulin resistance (cont.) Pa.



**Supplementary Table 2.** Characteristics of included randomized controlled trials of meta-analysis exploring the effects of water-soluble vitamin on glycemic control and insulin resistance (cont.) Pa.



**Supplementary Table 2.** Characteristics of included randomized controlled trials of meta-analysis exploring the effects of water-soluble vitamin on glycemic control and insulin resistance (cont.) A.



**Supplementary Table 2.** Characteristics of included randomized controlled trials of meta-analysis exploring the effects of water-soluble vitamin on glycemic control and insulin resistance (cont.) Pa.



**Supplementary Table 2.** Characteristics of included randomized controlled trials of meta-analysis exploring the effects of water-soluble vitamin on glycemic control and insulin resistance (cont.) Pa.



**Supplementary Table 2.** Characteristics of included randomized controlled trials of meta-analysis exploring the effects of water-soluble vitamin on glycemic control and insulin resistance (cont.) Per



**Supplementary Table 2.** Characteristics of included randomized controlled trials of meta-analysis exploring the effects of water-soluble vitamin on glycemic control and insulin resistance (cont.) Pa.



**Supplementary Table 2.** Characteristics of included randomized controlled trials of meta-analysis exploring the effects of water-soluble vitamin on glycemic control and insulin resistance (cont.) A.



**Supplementary Table 2.** Characteristics of included randomized controlled trials of meta-analysis exploring the effects of water-soluble vitamin on glycemic control and insulin resistance (cont.) A.



**Supplementary Table 2.** Characteristics of included randomized controlled trials of meta-analysis exploring the effects of water-soluble vitamin on glycemic control and insulin resistance (cont.) Pa.



**Supplementary Table 2.** Characteristics of included randomized controlled trials of meta-analysis exploring the effects of water-soluble vitamin on glycemic control and insulin resistance (cont.) Pa.



**Supplementary Table 2.** Characteristics of included randomized controlled trials of meta-analysis exploring the effects of water-soluble vitamin on glycemic control and insulin resistance (cont.) A.



**Supplementary Table 2.** Characteristics of included randomized controlled trials of meta-analysis exploring the effects of water-soluble vitamin on glycemic control and insulin resistance (cont.) Pa.



**Supplementary Table 2.** Characteristics of included randomized controlled trials of meta-analysis exploring the effects of water-soluble vitamin on glycemic control and insulin resistance (cont.) Per



**Supplementary Table 2.** Characteristics of included randomized controlled trials of meta-analysis exploring the effects of water-soluble vitamin on glycemic control and insulin resistance (cont.) A.



**Supplementary Table 2.** Characteristics of included randomized controlled trials of meta-analysis exploring the effects of water-soluble vitamin on glycemic control and insulin resistance (cont.) A.



**Supplementary Table 2.** Characteristics of included randomized controlled trials of meta-analysis exploring the effects of water-soluble vitamin on glycemic control and insulin resistance (cont.) Personalist States



**Supplementary Table 2.** Characteristics of included randomized controlled trials of meta-analysis exploring the effects of water-soluble vitamin on glycemic control and insulin resistance (cont.) Pa.



**Supplementary Table 2.** Characteristics of included randomized controlled trials of meta-analysis exploring the effects of water-soluble vitamin on glycemic control and insulin resistance (cont.) A.



**Supplementary Table 2.** Characteristics of included randomized controlled trials of meta-analysis exploring the effects of water-soluble vitamin on glycemic control and insulin resistance (cont.) Personalist States



**Supplementary Table 2.** Characteristics of included randomized controlled trials of meta-analysis exploring the effects of water-soluble vitamin on glycemic control and insulin resistance (cont.) Pa.



**Supplementary Table 2.** Characteristics of included randomized controlled trials of meta-analysis exploring the effects of water-soluble vitamin on glycemic control and insulin resistance (cont.) Personalist

**Supplementary Table 3.** Results of assess quality of evidence in meta-analysis



a1: high risk of bias regarding allocation concealment. a2: Bias risk was low for 17 studies, whereas a high risk of bias was found in five studies. a3: Of 12 trials, only 4 trials had score equal to 4 (highquality studies) and the others were categorized as low-quality studies. a4: 93.75% of studies were at high risk. a5: 10 studies (77%) were at high risk. a6: 6 studies were at high risk. a5: 10 studies (77%) were at high r heterogeneity is significant, and the I is moderate, >50%. b2: The Cochrane Q test for heterogeneity indicated that the studies are heterogeneous (p < 0.0001). c1: Studies conducted subject to various conditions. c2: Surrogate outcome measure, not a patient-important end point. d1: Values are distributed within opposite direction across studies. d2: The sample size is small. d3: Upper bound 95% CI of estimate outside of clinical meaningfulness. e1: The risk of publication bias is high. e2: The Egger's test for publication bias. is significant(p=0.039). e3: The Egger's test for publication bias, is significant(p=0.01).

**Supplementary Table 3.** Results of assess quality of evidence in meta-analysis (cont.)



a1: high risk of bias regarding allocation concealment. a2: Bias risk was low for 17 studies, whereas a high risk of bias was found in five studies. a3: Of 12 trials, only 4 trials had score equal to 4 (highquality studies) and the others were categorized as low-quality studies. a4: 93.75% of studies were at high risk. a5: 10 studies (77%) were at high risk. a6: 6 studies were at high risk. b: The test for heterogeneity is significant, and the I is moderate, >50%. b2: The Cochrane Q test for heterogeneity indicated that the studies are heterogeneous (p < 0.0001). c1: Studies conducted subject to various conditions. c2: Surrogate outcome measure, not a patient-important end point. d1: Values are distributed within opposite direction across studies. d2: The sample size is small. d3: Upper bound 95% CI of estimate outside of clinical meaningfulness. e1: The risk of publication bias is high. e2: The Egger's test for publication bias. is significant(p=0.039). e3: The Egger's test for publication bias, is significant( $p=0.01$ ).

**Supplementary Table 3.** Results of assess quality of evidence in meta-analysis (cont.)

 $\hat{\gamma}$ 



a1: high risk of bias regarding allocation concealment. a2: Bias risk was low for 17 studies, whereas a high risk of bias was found in five studies. a3: Of 12 trials, only 4 trials had score equal to 4 (highquality studies) and the others were categorized as low-quality studies. a4: 93.75% of studies were at high risk. a5: 10 studies (77%) were at high risk. a6: 6 studies were at high risk. a5: 10 studies were at high risk. a heterogeneity is significant, and the I is moderate, >50%. b2: The Cochrane Q test for heterogeneity indicated that the studies are heterogeneous (p < 0.0001). c1: Studies conducted subject to various conditions. c2: Surrogate outcome measure, not a patient-important end point. d1: Values are distributed within opposite direction across studies. d2: The sample size is small. d3: Upper bound 95% CI of estimate outside of estimate outside of clinical meaningfulness. e1: The risk of publication bias is high. e2: The Egger's test for publication bias. is significant(p=0.039). e3: The Egger's test for publication bias, is significant(p=0.01).