

This author's PDF version corresponds to the article as it appeared upon acceptance. Fully formatted PDF versions will be made available soon.

**Association of physical prefrailty with prevalence of inadequate nutrient intake in community-dwelling Japanese elderly women:
A cross-sectional study**

doi: 10.6133/apjcn.202104/PP.0006

Published online: April 2021

Running title: Physical prefrailty in elderly women

Tatsumi Hayashi RD, BS^{1,2}, Yoshiharu Fukuda MD, PhD³, Rumi Sato RD, BS⁴, Masashi Ogasawara MPEd, CSCS^{1,5}, Kazuo Tamura MD, PhD^{6,7}

¹Faculty of Economics, Shimonoseki City University, Daigaku-cho, Yamaguchi, Japan.

²Faculty of Food and Nutrition, Kyushu Nutrition Welfare University, Fukuoka, Japan.

³Graduate School of Public Health, Teikyo University, Tokyo, Japan.

⁴Council of Social Welfare, Bungo Ono City, Oita, Japan.

⁵Faculty of Business and Information Sciences, Jobu University, Gunma, Japan.

⁶Research Promotion Division, Fukuoka University, Fukuoka, Japan.

⁷NPO Clinical Hematology Oncology Treatment Study Group, Fukuoka, Japan

Authors' email addresses and contributions:

tatsumi-hayashi@eco.shimonoseki-cu.ac.jp

fukuday@med.teikyo-u.ac.jp

r.sato7473@gmail.com

ogasawara@jobu.ac.jp

ktamura@fukuoka-u.ac.jp

TH conceived and designed the study, analyzed and interpreted the data, and drafted the manuscript. RS performed the measurement and data collection and management. MO contributed to data collection. KT, YF provided intellectual information and critically revised the manuscript. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

Corresponding Author: Tatsumi Hayashi, Faculty of Economics, Shimonoseki City University, 4-20-301, Dannoura-cho, Shimonoseki, Yamaguchi 751-0814, Japan. Tel: +81-90-5747-0849. Fax: +81-83-233-6715. Email: tatsumi-hayashi@eco.shimonoseki-cu.ac.jp; thayashi0814@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background and Objectives: This study evaluated the association of physical prefrailty with the prevalence of inadequate nutrients among community-dwelling Japanese elderly women.

Methods and Study Design: This cross-sectional study included 120 older women (age range, 65–79 years) at an elders college. Frailty was evaluated using the Japanese version of the Cardiovascular Health Study (J-CHS). Participants were classified as either prefrailty (1–2 deficits) or nonfrailty (0 deficits) based on set criteria. Both groups were compared in terms of physical function, exercise time, nutrient intake, and food group intake estimated by Food Frequency Questionnaire Based on Food Groups (FFQg), and estimated prevalence of inadequate nutrient intake, which was evaluated using each dietary reference value, set as the estimated average requirement (EAR) and dietary goal (DG), based on the Dietary Reference Intakes (DRIs) for Japanese, 2020. **Results:** Of the participants, 45.0% exhibited physical prefrailty. Binary logistic regression analysis identified that vitamin C intake below EAR (OR, 7.12; 95% CI, 1.47-34.41, $p=0.014$) was the only factor associated with physical prefrailty.

Conclusions: In addition to measuring physical function, dietary surveys and evaluation of nutritional adequacy by DRIs are expected to be useful for the early prevention of physical prefrailty by linking to nutrition education among community-dwelling Japanese elderly adults.

Key Words: prefrailty, nutrient intake, Dietary Reference Intakes for Japanese (2020), nutritional adequacy, Japanese healthy elderly women

INTRODUCTION

The Government of Japan has published Dietary Reference Intakes (DRIs) for Japanese, 2020,¹ which will be applicable for 5 years, i.e., 2020–2024. DRIs 2020 included the expansion into nutrition for the elderly, centered in prevention of frailty, in its development purposes, in addition to DRIs, 2015,² prevention of onset of lifestyle-related diseases (LRDs), and prevention of the progression of LRDs. One of the revisions to prevent frailty was to increase the lower limit of proteins that tentative dietary goals for preventing LRDs target to 15%.

The prevention of frailty in the elderly is a primary goal of geriatric medicine and has become important in the nutrition field as well. A limited number of research studies have focused on the characteristics of prefrailty in the elderly. Frailty affects various functions,³ including walking, motility, muscle strength, cognition, nutritional state, endurance, and

physical activity, making the individual extremely susceptible to harmful health effects.⁴⁻⁶ Prefrailty elderly are more likely to return to a healthy state than frailty individuals, and they are characterized by frequently oscillating between frailty and nonfrailty states.⁷ To our knowledge, no specific intervention method to improve the health of prefrailty elderly patients and reverse their frailness has been established.⁸ It is assumed that early interventions for frailty, particularly in the prefrailty state, might improve the quality of life and reduce adverse outcomes.^{5,9} Effective intervention is indispensable to prevent and improve prefrailty, and this urgently requires the identification of factors related to prefrailty.

Recent studies investigating frailty, nutrition, and specific food types have revealed that these related factors affect health in the elderly and are important lifestyle aspects that can reverse frailty.¹⁰ A high protein intake was negatively associated with the state of frailty in the elderly. Sufficient protein intake may reverse or at least prevent functional decline in frailty elderly patients.¹¹ Also, in terms of diet quality, higher intake of total and animal proteins and dietary total antioxidant capacity were independently associated with lower prevalence of frailty in elderly Japanese women.¹² Another study has reported that low intake of energy, protein, vitamin D, vitamin E, vitamin C, and folate is an important element related to frailty.¹³ There also are concerns that inadequate intake of trace nutrients in elderly populations could be associated with frailty.¹⁴⁻¹⁶

Rapid advances are being made for developing countermeasures to prevent and improve prefrailty and frailty. However, few studies have investigated the characteristics of prefrailty, which is the initial stage of frailty. Therefore, to evaluate the characteristics of prefrailty, we conducted a physical function evaluation and dietary survey among Japanese healthy elderly community-dwelling women and analyzed its association with the prevalence of inadequate nutrient intake based on DRIs for Japanese, 2020.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and participants

This cross-sectional study targeted 120 elderly community-dwelling women (age range, 65–79 years) at the elders college of Kitakyushu city, Japan, in 2016. The participants had not been certified as requiring long-term nursing care, were not residents of a facility, and had not been diagnosed with dementia. In addition, participants were prefrailty and nonfrailty elderly women. They could perform their activities of daily living (ADLs) independently even if some risks related to hypertension, dyslipidemia, hyperglycemia, or decreased kidney function were present. They had not received instructions from a doctor to implement any

dietary restrictions. The measurements and surveys were performed over several sessions between August and September 2016 at a university facility within Kitakyushu city. All individual variables were measured and surveyed on the same day by multiple registered dietitians and health fitness programmers.

Ethical considerations

This study was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of the Elders College in Kitakyushu (Approval no. 1601). All participants provided consent in writing before the study was initiated.

Prefrailty evaluation

The Japanese version of the Cardiovascular Health Study (J-CHS)¹⁷ was used to identify prefrailty. This tool, which is based on Cardiovascular Health Study (CHS) standards developed for frailty phenotypes by Fried et al⁴ has been modified to screen for frailty among Japanese individuals. Participants were classified into prefrailty (1 - 2 deficits) or nonfrailty (0 deficits) groups based on the following set criteria: Shrinking - weight loss of ≥ 2 kg over the past 6 months, Low activity - not engaging in moderate level exercise or sports and also not engaging in low level exercise for health purposes,¹⁸ Exhaustion - feeling fatigued for no particular reason in the past 2 weeks, Weakness - grip strength cutoff value < 18 kg for women,¹⁹ and Slowness - gait speed cutoff value < 1.0 m/s.²⁰ In recent years, the J-CHS was revised by a research team of the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare to a criteria believed to be appropriate for Japanese individuals. The predictive validity of mortality and decreased ADLs as outcomes is being verified.¹⁷

Physical function evaluation

Height and weight were measured simultaneously using a height/weight measurement device (AD-6350; A&D Co. Ltd. Japan), and these data were used to calculate BMI. A Smedley handheld dynamometer (Grip-D; Takei Ltd. Japan) was used to measure the grip strength as an index for muscle strength. The participants used their dominant hand while standing. Measurement was performed twice, with the larger value used for analysis. For gait speed measurement, markers were placed at the starting and end points of a 6 m walking route. The participants were then asked to walk for at least 2 m before the starting point and after the endpoint to ensure that they could walk at their usual pace. Measurement was performed once

and the distance walked per second (m/s) was used for analysis. Grip strength was not measured in participants who had ischemic heart disease or cerebrovascular disorder in the past 6 months, participants for whom such measurement would clearly be dangerous due to a motor disorder, and participants whose blood pressure was $\geq 180/110$ mmHg or resting pulse rate was ≥ 110 or ≤ 50 beats per minute (HEM-7134, OMRON Corp. Japan).

Evaluation of other variables, such as basic attributes and lifestyle habits

Age, physical activity level (PAL), and alcohol consumption habits were evaluated based on participants' responses to questions in Food Frequency Questionnaire Based on Food Groups (FFQg)²¹ (Ver.5. 2016; KENPAKUSHA Corp. Japan). For PAL, participants were asked about how they spent the 24 hours of each day. These were calculated automatically based on DRIs,¹ which were classified as low (I), medium (II), or high (III), and were used to calculate the estimated energy requirement.¹ To measure exercise habits, participants were asked to record habitual exercise time for the past week to determine whether they engaged in habitual exercise. Participants also were questioned regarding whether they had any diseases being treated, whether they lived with anyone, the number of remaining teeth, number of dentures, and number of functional teeth.

Evaluation of nutrient intake and intake by food group

Nutrient intake and intake by food group were evaluated using the FFQg, which is a software developed to estimate mean daily nutrient intake levels over the past 1–2 months for individuals. It questions portion size and food frequency over 1 week in a survey format. These data were used to estimate levels of intake by food group and nutrient intake. Energy and nutrient intake levels were estimated using nutrient value estimation software (Excel Eiyou version 8.0; KENPAKUSHA Corp. Japan) based on the 2015 version (7th rev.) of the Standard Tables of Food Composition in Japan.²² Individual energy and nutrient intake levels calculated using the FFQg have been confirmed as valid and effective when compared with actual consumption records over 7 days for estimating not only energy and nutrients but also intake by food group and table salt intake.²¹ Moreover, since supplements containing specific nutrients are not included in the FFQg questions, participants were questioned orally about these during the interviews.

Of the diet variables, energy-adjusted intake, calculated using the method of residuals,²³ was used for daily nutrient intake and intake by food group.

Evaluation of inadequate nutrient intake

Inadequacy of nutrient intake was evaluated by comparing with each dietary reference value according to the Japanese DRIs 2020.¹ Nutrient intake used energy-adjusted intake for each nutrient, as calculated with the method of residuals.²³ In DRIs 2020, age categories for adults over 65 years are 65–74 years and 75 years or over.¹

Intake of the 18 nutrients of protein, vitamin A, vitamin B-1, vitamin B-2, niacin, vitamin B-6, vitamin B-12, folate, vitamin C, sodium (Na), calcium, magnesium (Mg), iron (Fe), zinc, copper (Cu), iodine, selenium (Se), and molybdenum (Mo) were considered inadequate if it was below the estimated average requirement (EAR).¹ For protein, vitamin B-1, vitamin B-2, and niacin, 22 EAR items were investigated in addition to evaluation using standards for body weight or energy intake volume. The EAR cutoff point method cannot be used for Fe in women as distribution of the required amount of iron varies.²⁴ Participants were confirmed to have entered menopause and Fe was evaluated in a similar manner based on EAR.

Provisional dietary goals (DG)¹ for preventing LRDs have been established for the seven nutrients of protein, fat, SFA, carbohydrates, dietary fiber, salt equivalent, and potassium (K). Intake of these nutrients was considered inadequate when the amount was outside of the range of the corresponding DG.

Adequate Intake (AI)¹ levels were established for the eight nutrients of n-6 PUFA, n-3 PUFA, vitamin D, vitamin E, vitamin K, pantothenic acid, phosphorus, and manganese as there is insufficient grounds for establishment of EAR for these nutrients. If AI level or higher was confirmed, there was a very low risk that intake is inadequate. Moreover, even if the intake level was below the AI, intake was considered adequate if an insufficiency or risk of such could not be proven.¹ Accordingly, if intake was below the AI, the data were excluded from analysis because it was impossible to hypothesize²⁵ on the prevalence of such inadequate intake.

Statistical analysis

Participants were divided into prefrailty and nonfrailty groups, and differences in evaluation parameters were analyzed using the Mann–Whitney U test. Fisher's exact test or chi-squared test was used to compare categorical variables.

Individual numbers must be counted to estimate the prevalence of inadequate nutrient intake.^{24,25} Based on methods used in previous reports,^{26,27} we estimated the percentages of participants for whom the DRIs were not fulfilled for the 22 EAR and seven DG nutrients. Then, Fisher's exact test was used to compare these results between the prefrailty and

nonfrailty groups. And, the numbers of EAR and DG nutrients for which the DRIs were not fulfilled were tabulated and compared using the Mann–Whitney U test.

The contribution of different food groups to inadequate nutrient intake was calculated.

Finally, binary logistic regression analysis was performed selecting the independent variables that were significant according to univariate analysis and using the nonfrailty (0) and prefrailty (1) groups as the dependent variables. Inadequate nutrient intake, which is an independent variable associated with the prevalence of prefrailty, was categorized by DRIs, 2020, into below EAR, not less than EAR, below recommended dietary allowance (RDA), and not less than RDA, and was entered into logistic regression models to assess the OR and 95% CI. Variables including the definition of frailty were excluded. Model 1 used the simultaneous method and model 2 used the forward-selection method with likelihood ratio (criterion for selection variables: 0.05 to enter, 0.10 to remove). All models were adjusted for energy intake, and energy intake was used as a continuous variable.

All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS version 22.0 (IBM Japan Ltd.). All tests were 2-tailed, and $p < 0.05$ was considered significant in all comparisons.

RESULTS

Basic characteristics

Data were analyzed for 120 elderly community-dwelling women aged 65–79 years. Prefrailty was confirmed in 45.0% (Table 1). Median age was 75.0 and 71.0 years in the prefrailty and nonfrailty groups, respectively (no significant difference). In terms of physical function, while grip strength was lower in the prefrailty group, no significant difference was noted ($p = 0.064$), and 25.9% of participants were found to be below the cutoff value in the prefrailty group. Median gait speed was significantly lower in the prefrailty (1.16 m/s; 22.2% of participants were below the cutoff value) than in the nonfrailty (1.40 m/s) groups ($p < 0.001$). In the prefrailty group, 22.2% of participants experienced fatigue and the majority (51.9%) had low physical activity. Median exercise time was 60 min/day in the nonfrailty group, which was significantly greater than that in the prefrailty group ($p < 0.001$). Just over 20% of participants in both groups lived alone. The numbers of remaining teeth were 16 and 20 in the prefrailty and nonfrailty groups, respectively ($p = 0.067$), and all participants had entered menopause (data not shown).

The PAL classification was I (low) for 53.7% of prefrailty group and II (medium) for 60.6% in the nonfrailty group, revealing a significant difference between the two groups ($p = 0.014$; Supplementary table 1).

Nutrient intake, nutrient ratios, and intake by food group

Table 2 shows the median values and interquartile ranges for nutrient intake and nutrient ratios adjusted for energy using the method of residuals.²³ Significant differences were observed for vitamins D and C intake, with lower values noted in the prefrailty group ($p=0.004$, $p=0.038$, respectively). No significant differences were observed between the groups for energy intake or other nutrients and nutrient ratios. No participants regularly took nutritional supplements. Supplementary table 2 shows the calculated other nutrient intake and nutritional ratio.

Table 3 shows the median values and interquartile ranges for intake by food group adjusted for energy using the method of residuals.²³ Median intake of vegetables was significantly lower in the prefrailty (261.8 g) than in the nonfrailty (306.5 g) groups ($p=0.011$). No significant differences were observed between the two groups for any of the other food groups.

Inadequate nutrient intake

Table 4 shows the percentages of participants who did not meet the DRIs (unit/day). The prevalence of insufficient nutrient intake below vitamin C EAR was 22.2% in the prefrailty and 3.0% in the nonfrailty groups ($p=0.001$). Mg was 38.9% in the prefrailty and 21.2% in the nonfrailty groups ($p=0.043$). No instance of insufficient intake below EAR for protein, niacin, vitamin B-12, folate, Na, Cu, Se, and Mo was observed. No significant differences were observed between the groups for other nutrient EAR, including vitamin A, nor for the seven nutrients for which DG was set. However, the prevalence of insufficient nutrient intake outside of the DG for SFA (% of energy), dietary fiber, salt equivalent, and K was high in both groups.

The median number (interquartile ranges) of inadequate nutrients below the EAR or outside the DG were 7.0 (6.0–9.0) in prefrailty and 6.0 (5.0–7.0) in nonfrailty; a significant difference was observed ($p=0.002$) (data not shown).

The main food groups that contributed to vitamin C intake were vegetables (56.5%), fruits (25.1%), and potatoes (11.7%). Mg intake was in order of cereals (28.2%), vegetables (21.2%), soy products (15.9%), fish and shellfish (7.8%), and dairy products (7.1%) (data not shown).

Factors associated with physical prefrailty

Table 5 shows the results of binary logistic regression analysis. In model 1, which used the simultaneous method, vitamin C intake below EAR (OR, 6.74; 95% CI, 1.36–33.18, $p=0.019$),

and Mg intake below EAR (OR, 2.97; 95% CI, 1.02–8.62, $p=0.044$) were significant factors associated with physical frailty. Finally, in model 2, which used the forward-selection method, vitamin C intake below EAR (OR, 7.12; 95% CI, 1.47–34.41, $p=0.014$) was identified as a significant factor associated with physical frailty.

Vitamin D intake (Table 2), which was significantly observed between the two groups in terms of nutrient intake, cannot confirm the prevalence of inadequacy nutrient intake because the reference value is set to AI.

DISCUSSION

This cross-sectional study of community-dwelling Japanese healthy elderly women ($n=120$, age 65–79 years) at the elders college, factors significantly related to physical frailty was vitamin C indicating inadequate nutrient intake below EAR. Ours study investigated physical function, exercise time, nutrient intake, intake by food group, then estimated prevalence of inadequate nutrient intake using DRIs, 20201 EAR and DG values, and clarified the characteristics of physical frailty and identified associations with physical frailty.

Physical frailty was confirmed in 45.0% of the study participants. Using set standards in participants attending a community college in Japan ($n=620$, age 60–89 years), Fried et al.⁴ reported that 46.6% of women were frailty,²⁸ which was a similar result to that of our study. A review that identified five large-scale community-based studies conducted in Japan ($n=11,940$, age 65–96 years) found prevalence of 48.1% and 7.5% for frailty and frailty, respectively.²⁹

Investigation of the characteristics of frailty participants revealed that approximately half could be classified as “low activity” according to the J-CHS diagnostic criteria. Although the median gait speed exceeded the J-CHS diagnostic criteria cutoff value, it was 1.16 m/s, which was significantly lower than that of the nonfrailty participants. Moreover, 22.2% of the frailty participants exhibited two deficits according to the J-CHS diagnostic criteria (Table 1).

In our study, the OR for vitamin C intake that was below the EAR set in DRIs, 20201 of 80 mg/day was 7.12. Vitamin C intake was significantly lower in the frailty than the nonfrailty groups (Table 2). The percentage of participants with vitamin C intake that was below the EAR was significantly high at 22.2% (Table 4). The reference values given in the Dietary Reference vary with each country, so it is not possible to easily compare these values with those of other countries. Borg et al. suggested that the borderline for insufficient trace nutrients was 20% to 30%.¹⁵ The percentage of elderly community-dwelling women in

Europe and America with vitamin C intake below the EAR (50 mg/day) was 23%,¹⁵ while that of elderly women with inadequate nutritional intake (EAR, 50 mg/day), when analyzed based on nutritional data in the European Nutrition and Health Report II, was 4.4% to 24.5%.¹⁴ In our study, we ultimately found that physical prefrailty and Mg intake volume was not correlated with inadequate nutritional intake below EAR. A report on the prevalence of inadequate nutritional intake below EAR among elderly Japanese individuals aged 65 years or older who lived alone found that the prevalence of women with vitamin C intake below EAR was 4.2%, whereas that of Mg intake below EAR was 17.2%.¹⁶ Thus, both results were lower than that in the present study. Borg et al. reported that the prevalence of Mg intake below EAR (265 mg/day) was 41% among women.¹⁵

Vitamin C is an essential trace nutrient that has various roles, including immune adjustment, it needs to be regularly and appropriately ingested as only small amounts of the water-soluble vitamin can be stored within the body.³⁰ Most elderly individuals experience inflammation and most patients confirmed to be frailty exhibit chronic inflammation.³¹ Oxidative stress can have an important role in the onset of frailty,³² making antioxidant vitamins, such as vitamins A, C, and E, essential trace nutrients for preventing frailty. A trace nutrient deficiency could be a major factor increasing women's risk of frailty.³³ Moreover, aging-associated difficulties related to selecting food products and decreased functions could result in reduced intake of fresh foods, such as fruit and vegetables, resulting in significantly insufficient intake of vitamin C.³⁴

Tamaki et al. reported that the only diet-related factor correlated with the frailty state of elderly Japanese women diagnosed according to the J-CHS criteria (frail, pre-frail, and robust) was vitamin C intake,³⁵ which was consistent with our results.

In our study, protein intake was not identified as a factor in physical prefrailty. In previous studies, high protein intake (≥ 1.1 g/kg/day) was associated with a lower risk of frailty development after 3 years in community-dwelling older women.³⁶ Another study reported that total protein (≥ 1.28 g/kg/day) and animal protein intake were inversely associated with frailty and its components (slowness) after a mean of 3.5 years.³⁷ Moreover, the distribution of protein intake in the main meals (breakfast, lunch, dinner) was associated with a higher gait speed, an important measure of survival in the elderly.³⁸ Our results showed that the prefrailty group had a median protein intake of 1.29 g/kg/day and an animal protein ratio of 52.4%, and no participant had levels below the EAR (40 g). It was speculated that protein intake was sufficient and was not an associated factor. However, in the newly revised DRIs, 2020,¹ the lower limit of protein DG (15%–20% of energy) has been increased. The inadequate

proportions were 50.0% for prefrailty and 37.9% for nonfrailty, which was a worrying condition.

Several limitations of our study must be mentioned. First, this was a cross-sectional study. Although we were able to prove significant associations between physical prefrailty and inadequate vitamin C intake below the EAR, the relationships of cause and effect remain unclear. Second, the small sample size reduced estimation precision, which limited statistical power to detect significant associations. As the insertion of adjustment variables into the binary logistic regression analysis had to be limited, residual confounding may have occurred. Third, the participants were students at the elders training college, and were not recruited randomly from the community. Because the participants were targeted at healthy elderly women, this may have resulted in underestimation of the actual prevalence of prefrailty. Accordingly, it is not completely clear whether the results obtained from the sample can be generalized for other community-dwelling populations. Fourth, while we evaluated insufficient nutrient intake using DRIs (units/day) because the number of individuals within a group who intake below the EAR of certain nutrients can be approximated as appropriate,²⁴ another more precise method of evaluation might exist. Finally, we were unable to obtain detailed responses regarding smoking status, chronic comorbidities, and physician-prescribed medication. The possibility of these factors affecting prefrailty cannot be ignored. Accordingly, these issues must be considered carefully when interpreting all results of our study.

In conclusion, we demonstrated that physical prefrailty in community-dwelling elderly women is characterized with inadequate vitamin C intake below the EAR. This result suggested that those involved in the elderly should consider the need for interventions that combined diet to avoid prefrailty development in community-dwelling elderly. In addition to measuring physical function, dietary surveys and evaluation of nutritional adequacy by DRIs are expected to be useful for early prevention of physical prefrailty. Additional prospective studies with a larger sample size are needed to confirm whether this factor is a modifiable factor in physical prefrailty.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to extend our deepest gratitude to all of the participants who collaborated in this study.

AUTHOR DISCLOSURE

The authors declare no conflicts of interest associated with this manuscript.

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

REFERENCES

1. Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, Japan. Dietary Reference Intakes for Japanese, 2020. [cited 2020/03/02]; Available from: <https://www.mhlw.go.jp/content/10904750/000586553.pdf> (In Japanese).
2. Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, Japan. Dietary Reference Intakes for Japanese 2015. (translation work: Sasaki S, Saito A). 2018/03. [cited 2019/04/02]; Available from: https://www.mhlw.go.jp/file/06-Seisakujouhou-10900000-Kenkoukyoku/Full_DRIs2015.pdf.
3. Gobbens RJ, Luijckx KG, Wijnen-Sponselee MT, Schols JM. Toward a conceptual definition of frail community dwelling older people. *Nurs Outlook*. 2010;58:76-86. doi: 10.1016/j.outlook.2009.09.005.
4. Fried LP, Tangen CM, Walston J, Newman AB, Hirsch C, Gottdiener J et al. Cardiovascular Health Study Collaborative Research Group. Frailty in older adults: evidence for a phenotype. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2001;12:M146-56. doi: 10.1093/gerona/56.3.m146.
5. Morley JE, Vellas B, van Kan GA, Anker SD, Bauer JM, Bernabei R et al. Frailty consensus: a call to action. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*. 2013;14:392-7. doi: 10.1016/j.jamda.2013.03.022.
6. Clegg A, Young J, Iliffe S, Rikkert MO, Rockwood K. Frailty in elderly people. *Lancet*. 2013;381(9868):752-62. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)62167-9.
7. Gill TM, Gahbauer EA, Allore HG, Han L. Transitions between frailty states among community-living older persons. *Arch Intern Med*. 2006;166:418-23. doi: 10.1001/archinte.166.4.418.
8. de Labra C, Guimaraes-Pinheiro C, Maseda A, Lorenzo T, Millán-Calenti JC. Effects of physical exercise interventions in frail older adults: A systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *BMC Geriatr*. 2015;15:154. doi: 10.1186/s12877-015-0155-4.
9. Veronese N, Stubbs B, Maggi S, Notarnicola M, Barbagallo M, Firth J, Dominguez LJ, Caruso MG. Dietary magnesium and incident frailty in older people at risk for knee osteoarthritis: an eight-year longitudinal study. *Nutrients*. 2017;9:1253. doi: 10.3390/nu9111253.
10. Lorenzo-López L, Maseda A, de Labra C, Regueiro-Folgueira L, Rodríguez-Villamil JL, Millán-Calenti JC. Nutritional determinants of frailty in older adults: A systematic review. *BMC Geriatr*. 2017;17:108. doi: 10.1186/s12877-017-0496-2.
11. Coelho-Júnior H, Rodrigues B, Uchida M, Marzetti E. Low protein intake is associated with frailty in older adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *Nutrients*. 2018;10:1334. doi: 10.3390/nu10091334.
12. Kobayashi S, Suga H, Sasaki S; Three-generation Study of Women on Diets and Health Study Group. Diet with a combination of high protein and high total antioxidant capacity is strongly associated with

- low prevalence of frailty among old Japanese women: a multicenter cross-sectional study. *Nutr J*. 2017;16:29. doi: 10.1186/s12937-017-0250-9.
13. Bartali B, Frongillo EA, Bandinelli S, Lauretani F, Semba RD, Fried LP, Ferrucci L. Low nutrient intake is an essential component of frailty in older persons. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2006;12:589-93. doi: 10.1093/gerona/61.6.589.
 14. Roman Viñas B, Ribas Barba L, Ngo J, Gurinovic M, Novakovic R, Cavelaars A, de Groot LC, van't Veer P, Matthys C, Serra Majem L. Projected prevalence of inadequate nutrient intakes in Europe. *Ann Nutr Metab* 2011;59:84-95. doi: 10.1159/000332762.
 15. ter Borg S, Verlaan S, Hemsworth J, Mijnders DM, Schols JMGA, Luiking YC, de Groot LC. Micronutrient intakes and potential inadequacies of community-dwelling older adults: A systematic review. *Br J Nutr*. 2015;113:1195-206. doi: 10.1017/S0007114515000203.
 16. Nozue M, Ishikawa M, Takemi Y, Kusama K, Fukuda Y, Yokoyama T, Nakaya T, Nishi N, Yoshida K, Murayama N. Prevalence of inadequate nutrient intake in Japanese community-dwelling older adults who live alone. *J Nutr Sci Vitaminol (Tokyo)*. 2016; 62:116-22. doi: 10.3177/jnsv.62.116.
 17. Satake S, Shimada H, Yamada M, Kim H, Yoshida H, Gondo Y, et al. Prevalence of frailty among community-dwellers and outpatients in Japan as defined by the Japanese version of the Cardiovascular Health Study criteria. *Geriatr Gerontol Int*. 2017;17:2629-34. doi: 10.1111/ggi.13129.
 18. Shimada H, Makizako H, Doi T, Yoshida D, Tsutsumimoto K, Anan Y et al. Combined prevalence of frailty and mild cognitive impairment in a population of elderly Japanese people. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*. 2013;14:518-24. doi: 10.1016/j.jamda.2013.03.010.
 19. Chen LK, Liu LK, Woo J, Assantachai P, Auyeung TW, Bahyah KS et al. Sarcopenia in Asia: Consensus report of the Asian working group for sarcopenia. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*. 2014;15:95-101. doi: 10.1016/j.jamda.2013.11.025.
 20. Shimada H, Suzuki T, Suzukawa M, Makizako H, Doi T, Yoshida D et al. Performance-based assessments and demand for personal care in older Japanese people: A cross-sectional study. *BMJ Open* 2013;3:e002424. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2012-002424.
 21. Takahashi K, Yoshimura Y, Kaimoto T, Kunii D, Komatsu T, Yamamoto S. Validation of a Food Frequency Questionnaire based on food groups for estimating individual nutrient intake. *Jpn J Nutr Diet*. 2001;59:221-32. doi: 10.5264/eiyogakuzashi.59.221. (In Japanese).
 22. Council for Science and Technology. Standard Tables of Food Composition in Japan 2015. 7th ed. Tokyo, Japan: Official Gazette Co-Operation of Japan; 2015. [cited 2019/04/02]; Available from: https://www.mext.go.jp/a_menu/syokuhinseibun/1365420.htm (In Japanese).
 23. Willett WC, Howe GR, Kushi LH. Adjustment for total energy intake in epidemiologic studies. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1997;65:1220S-8S. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/65.4.1220S.
 24. Carriquiry AL. Assessing the prevalence of nutrient inadequacy. *Public Health Nutr*. 1999;2:23-33. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2019-034189.
 25. Murphy SP, Poos MI. Dietary Reference Intakes: summary of applications in dietary assessment. *Public Health Nutr*. 2002;5:843-9. doi: 10.1079/PHN2002389.

26. Okubo H, Sasaki S, Murakami K, Takahashi Y; Freshmen in Dietetic Course Study II Group. Nutritional adequacy of four dietary patterns defined by cluster analysis in Japanese women aged 18-20 years. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr.* 2010;19:555-63.
27. Okubo H, Miyake Y, Sasaki S, Tanaka K, Murakami K, Hirota Y; Osaka Maternal and Child Health Study Group. Nutritional adequacy of three dietary patterns defined by cluster analysis in 997 pregnant Japanese women: the Osaka Maternal and Child Health Study. *Public Health Nutr.* 2011;14:611-21. doi: 10.1017/S1368980010002521.
28. Matsushita E, Okada K, Ito Y, Satake S, Shiraishi N, Hirose T, Kuzuya M. Characteristics of physical frailty among Japanese healthy older adults. *Geriatr Gerontol Int.* 2017;17:1568-74. doi: 10.1111/ggi.12935.
29. Kojima G, Iliffe S, Taniguchi Y, Shimada H, Rakugi H, Walters K. Prevalence of frailty in Japan: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Epidemiol.* 2017;27:347-53. doi: 10.1016/j.je.2016.09.008.
30. Carr AC, Maggini S. Vitamin C and immune function. *Nutrients.* 2017;9:1211. doi: 10.3390/nu9111211.
31. Ferrucci L, Fabbri E. Inflammageing: chronic inflammation in ageing, cardiovascular disease, and frailty. *Nat Rev Cardiol.* 2018;15:505-22. doi: 10.1038/s41569-018-0064-2.
32. Saum K-U, Dieffenbach AK, Jansen EH, Schöttker B, Holleczeck B, Hauer K, Brenner H. Association between oxidative stress and frailty in an elderly german population: results from the ESTHER cohort study. *Gerontology* 2015;61:407–15. doi: 10.1159/000380881.
33. Semba RD, Bartali B, Zhou J, Blaum C, Ko CW, Fried LP. Low serum micronutrient concentrations predict frailty among older women living in the community. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* 2006;61:594-9. doi: 10.1093/gerona/61.6.594.
34. Bartali B, Salvini S, Turrini A, Lauretani F, Russo CR, Corsi AM et al. Age and disability affect dietary intake. *J Nutr.* 2003;133:2868-73. doi: 10.1093/jn/133.9.2868.
35. Tamaki K, Kusunoki H, Tsuji S, Wada Y, Nagai K, Itoh M et al. The relationship between dietary habits and frailty in rural Japanese community-dwelling older adults: Cross-sectional observation study using a brief self-administered dietary history questionnaire. *Nutrients.* 2018;10:1982. doi: 10.3390/nu10121982.
36. Beasley JM, Lacroix AZ, Neuhauser ML, Huang Y, Tinker L, Woods N, Michael Y, Curb JD, Prentice RL. Protein intake and incident frailty in the Women's Health Initiative Observational Study. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2010;12:1063-71. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2010.02866.x.
37. Sandoval-Insausti H, Perez-Tasigchana RF, Lopez-Garcia E, Garcia-Esquinas E, Rodriguez-Artalejo F, Guallar-Castillon P. Macronutrient intake and incident frailty in older adults: A prospective cohort study. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* 2016;71:1329-34. doi: 10.1093/gerona/glw033.
38. Ten Haaf D, van Dongen E, Nuijten M, Eijsvogels T, de Groot L, Hopman M. Protein intake and distribution in relation to physical functioning and quality of life in community-dwelling elderly people: Acknowledging the Role of Physical Activity. *Nutrients.* 2018;10:506. doi: 10.3390/nu10040506.

Table 1. Basic characteristics of Japanese elderly women classified according to frailty criteria[†] of J-CHS

Characteristics	All (n=120)	Prefrailty [†] (n=54, 45.0%)	Nonfrailty (n=66, 55.0%)	p-value
	Median, IQR or n (%)	Median, IQR or n (%)	Median, IQR or n (%)	
Frailty criteria [†] of J-CHS, n (%)				
Shrinking	-	-	-	
Low activity	28 (23.3)	28 (51.9)	-	
Exhaustion	12 (10.0)	12 (22.2)	-	
Weakness [‡]	14 (11.7)	14 (25.9)	-	
Slowness [§]	12 (10.0)	12 (22.2)	-	
Frailty score (0–5) [†] , n (%)				
0 deficits	66 (55.0)	-	66 (100.0)	
1 deficits	42 (35.0)	42 (77.8)	-	
2 deficits	12 (10.0)	12 (22.2)	-	
Age (years), n (%)	73.0 (68.0-76.0)	75.0 (68.0-77.0)	71.0 (68.0-75.8)	0.267 ^{††}
65-74	62 (51.7)	23 (42.6)	39 (59.1)	0.098 ^{††}
75+	58 (48.3)	31 (57.4)	27 (40.9)	
Living alone [Yes, n (%)]	28 (23.3)	12 (22.2)	16 (24.2)	0.831 ^{††}
Body height (cm)	154.0 (151.0-157.0)	153.0 (151.1-156.0)	155.0 (151.0-159.0)	0.168 ^{††}
Body weight (kg)	52.0 (50.0-58.0)	53.0 (47.0-57.9)	52.0 (50.0-57.8)	0.964 ^{††}
Body Mass Index (kg/m ²) [¶] , n (%)	22.0 (20.9-23.5)	21.9 (20.6-24.0)	22.2 (21.1-23.4)	0.979 ^{††}
<21.5 kg/m ²	43 (35.8)	22 (40.7)	21 (31.8)	0.097 ^{††}
21.5 - 24.9 kg/m ²	59 (49.2)	21 (38.9)	38 (57.6)	
≥25.0 kg/m ²	18 (15.0)	11 (20.4)	7 (10.6)	
Systolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)	138.0 (126.0-150.0)	135.0 (126.0-147.7)	138.0 (126.5-150.0)	0.897 ^{††}
Diastolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)	78.0 (73.0-88.0)	78.0 (73.5-86.0)	78.0 (72.7-88.0)	0.944 ^{††}
Resting pulse rate (beat/min)	70.0 (64.0-76.0)	69.5 (67.0-77.0)	70.0 (64.0-75.0)	0.331 ^{††}
Grip strength (kg)	22.5 (20.0-24.0)	21.0 (18.0-24.0)	23.5 (20.0-24.0)	0.064 ^{††}
Gait speed (m/sec)	1.34 (1.16-1.44)	1.16 (0.95-1.43)	1.40 (1.33-1.50)	< 0.001 ^{††}
Exercise habit [Yes, n (%)]	92 (76.7)	26 (48.1)	66 (100.0)	
Exercise time (min/day)	42.0 (18.0-60.0)	0.0 (0.0-48.0)	48.0 (30.0-60.0)	< 0.001 ^{††}
Sleeping hours (hours/day)	7.0 (6.0-7.0)	7.0 (6.0-7.0)	7.0 (6.0-7.0)	0.709 ^{††}
Alcohol drinking [Yes, n (%)]	44 (36.7)	18 (33.3)	26 (39.4)	0.569 ^{††}
Presence of disease during treatment [Yes, n (%)]	66 (55.0)	29 (53.7)	37 (56.1)	0.855 ^{††}
Number of remaining teeth	20.0 (13.0-22.0)	16.0 (10.5-21.5)	20.0 (18.0-22.0)	0.067 ^{††}
Number of prosthetic teeth	6.0 (0.0-12.5)	9.0 (0.0-14.0)	6.0 (0.0-10.0)	0.325 ^{††}
Number of functional teeth	25.0 (22.0-28.0)	24.5 (22.0-26.0)	26.0 (22.0-28.0)	0.118 ^{††}

J-CHS: Japanese version of the Cardiovascular Health Study¹⁷; IQR: interquartile range.

Values are numbers of subjects (%) or medians and interquartile ranges are 25th percentile-75th percentile.

[†]Frailty score (0–5) was defined as Shrinking (1 point), Low activity (1 point), Exhaustion (1 point), Weakness (1 point) and Slowness (1 point). A score of 1 or 2 indicated prefrailty.

[‡]Weakness Grip strength <18kg¹⁹. [§] Slowness Gait speed <1.0m/s²⁰. [¶] Body Mass Index category was based on the Dietary Reference Intakes (DRIs) for Japanese, 2020¹

^{††}Mann-Whitney U test. ^{†††} Fisher's exact test

Table 2. Comparison of nutrients intake and nutritional ratio in prefrailty[†] women and nonfrailty women classified according to frailty criteria[†] of J-CHS

Variables	All (n=120)	Prefrailty [†] (n=54)	Nonfrailty (n=66)	<i>p</i> value ^{‡‡}
	Median, IQR	Median, IQR	Median, IQR	
Energy (kcal)	1798 (1576-1939)	1767 (1567-1928)	1800 (1604-1974)	0.387
Protein [‡] (g)	69.4 (64.1-73.2)	67.3 (60.7-74.2)	70.5 (65.5-72.9)	0.075
Animal protein [‡] (g)	37.7 (32.9-42.1)	34.8 (31.1-40.9)	38.4 (34.3-42.3)	0.057
Fat [‡] (g)	56.4 (50.3-60.6)	59.1 (49.2-60.5)	56.0 (50.6-62.0)	0.548
Saturated fatty acids [‡] (g)	18.45 (15.94-22.59)	19.15 (16.23-23.26)	17.69 (15.59-21.60)	0.151
Carbohydrates [‡] (g)	241.0 (207.0-280.9)	237.1 (204.6-278.7)	243.2 (208.3-283.5)	0.435
Dietary fiber [‡] (g)	15.4 (13.5-16.8)	14.9 (13.5-16.6)	15.8 (13.4-17.0)	0.268
Vitamin A [‡] (μgRAE)	605 (482-784)	281 (479-782)	620 (481-785)	0.932
Vitamin D [‡] (μg)	7.8 (6.9-9.0)	7.5 (5.1-8.3)	8.1 (7.3-9.3)	0.004
Vitamin E [‡] (μg)	7.1 (6.5-7.9)	7.0 (6.4-8.0)	7.2 (6.6-7.9)	0.376
Vitamin K [‡] (μg)	253 (213-311)	258 (201-305)	253 (218-313)	0.784
Vitamin B-1 [‡] (μg)	0.88 (0.77-0.98)	0.87 (0.73-0.95)	0.89 (0.78-0.98)	0.513
Vitamin B-2 [‡] (μg)	1.19 (1.01-1.36)	1.18 (0.96-1.32)	1.19 (1.06-1.36)	0.728
Niacin [‡] (mgNE)	28.1 (25.4-31.2)	27.1 (24.7-29.7)	28.7 (26.0-32.7)	0.447
Vitamin B-6 [‡] (mg)	1.26 (1.08-1.50)	1.28 (1.17-1.49)	1.21 (1.07-1.50)	0.229
Vitamin B-12 [‡] (μg)	7.8 (6.3-9.6)	7.4 (5.4-9.1)	8.1 (7.0-9.8)	0.060
Folate [‡] (μg)	348 (312-428)	344 (298-410)	348 (315-428)	0.316
Vitamin C [‡] (mg)	106 (87-128)	101 (82-121)	113 (92-138)	0.038
Sodium [‡] (mg)	3443 (2981-4397)	3469 (2901-4396)	3443 (3062-4397)	0.720
Salt equivalent [‡] (g)	9.0 (7.7-11.1)	9.4 (7.9-11.5)	8.5 (7.7-10.1)	0.131
Potassium [‡] (mg)	2536 (2232-2442)	2300 (2235-2404)	2393 (2229-2451)	0.133
Calcium [‡] (mg)	718 (610-841)	721 (602-847)	718 (640-833)	0.983
Magnesium [‡] (mg)	237 (224-270)	235 (216-265)	239 (228-272)	0.296
Iron [‡] (mg)	7.4 (6.6-8.5)	7.4 (6.9-9.0)	7.4 (6.5-8.1)	0.547
Zinc [‡] (mg)	8.5 (7.9-9.1)	8.5 (7.9-9.1)	8.5 (7.8-9.1)	0.665
Copper [‡] (mg)	1.12 (1.04-1.28)	1.13 (1.07-1.18)	1.11 (1.03-1.32)	0.562
Iodine [‡] (μg)	149 (109-227)	152 (102-217)	145 (116-235)	0.783
Selenium [‡] (μg)	61 (55-66)	60 (55-66)	63 (56-66)	0.054
Molybdenum [‡] (μg)	150 (139-166)	153 (143-168)	147 (133-163)	0.128
Protein [‡] energy ratio (% energy)	15.4 (14.0-17.5)	14.9 (14.1-17.0)	15.5 (13.9-17.6)	0.704
Animal protein [‡] ratio (%)	52.8 (48.8-59.1)	52.4 (47.6-59.1)	52.9 (49.3-59.0)	0.629
Fat [‡] energy ratio (% energy)	27.9 (25.1-31.6)	27.8 (25.9-31.4)	28.1 (24.8-31.6)	0.658
SFA [‡] energy ratio (% energy)	9.5 (8.2-11.9)	9.6 (8.4-12.9)	9.1 (8.1-11.3)	0.134
Carbohydrates [‡] energy ratio (% energy)	54.4 (50.4-58.1)	55.4 (50.0-58.3)	53.3 (51.5-58.0)	0.097

J-CHS: Japanese version of the Cardiovascular Health Study¹⁷; IQR: interquartile range; RAE: retinol activity equivalent; NE: niacin equivalents.

Values are medians and interquartile ranges are 25th percentile-75th percentile.

[†]Frailty score (0-5) was defined as Shrinking (1 point), Low activity (1 point), Exhaustion (1 point), Weakness (1 point) and Slowness (1 point). A score of 1 or 2 indicated prefrailty.

[‡]Nutrient intakes were energy-adjusted according to the residual method²³.

^{‡‡}Mann-Whitney U test.

Table 3. Comparison of intake by food group in prefrailty[†] women and nonfrailty women classified according to frailty criteria[†] of J-CHS

Food groups (g/day)	All (n=120)	Prefrailty [†] (n=54)	Nonfrailty (n=66)	p-value [¶]
	Median, IQR	Median, IQR	Median, IQR	
Cereals ^{‡§}	400.7 (331.6-457.8)	409.0 (336.0-457.1)	396.4 (326.7-461.4)	0.883
Potatoes ^{‡§}	52.5 (26.2-86.6)	56.1 (27.2-96.7)	48.7 (25.0-82.0)	0.435
Sugar and sweeteners ^{‡§}	11.1 (8.7-13.9)	11.8 (10.2-13.9)	10.5 (8.3-13.9)	0.055
Soy products ^{‡§}	75.8 (48.7-99.0)	73.1 (48.5-100.1)	76.9 (49.1-92.5)	0.776
Total vegetables ^{‡§}	293.1 (239.1-333.5)	261.8 (206.0-322.6)	306.5 (249.2-336.6)	0.011
Green and yellow vegetables ^{‡§}	102.7 (81.8-123.0)	101.7 (74.2-122.5)	104.0 (85.3-124.4)	0.114
Fruits ^{‡§}	144.5 (77.6-219.2)	132.6 (84-195.4)	147.4 (77.4-225.6)	0.296
Algae ^{‡§}	6.2 (4.6-9.6)	6.4 (3.6-8.7)	6.1 (4.7-11.5)	0.157
Fish and shellfish ^{¶¶}	74.4 (61.6-86.1)	73.9 (60.3-85.4)	77.0 (62.0-86.3)	0.506
Meat ^{¶¶}	58.0 (39.3-72.7)	65.9 (47.0-72.9)	54.8 (30.7-71.0)	0.070
Eggs ^{¶¶}	30.2 (18.5-49.3)	40.1 (18.8-49.6)	28.4 (18.0-48.6)	0.167
Dairy products ^{¶¶}	179.7 (118.3-235.7)	189.4 (137.3-229.4)	177.7 (112.9-238.4)	0.966
Fats and oils ^{¶¶¶}	13.2 (10.7-16.7)	13.1 (12.3-16.7)	13.2 (9.7-16.6)	0.120
Nuts and seeds ^{¶¶¶}	3.0 (0.0-4.2)	2.0 (1.1-4.0)	3.0 (0.0-5.0)	0.352
Confectioneries ^{¶¶¶}	47.9 (28.7-57.5)	50.7 (40.1-59.1)	40.1 (27.8-55.6)	0.078
Favorite beverages (excluding alcoholic beverages) ^{¶¶¶}	27.8 (0.9-129.0)	83.5 (1.0-199.9)	22.6 (0.5-88.3)	0.055
Alcohol beverages ^{¶¶¶}	1.6 (0.0-6.1)	1.6 (0.4-5.1)	1.7 (0.0-6.1)	0.068
Seasonings and spices ^{¶¶¶}	19.3 (16.2-27.5)	19.9 (16.9-33.4)	18.9 (16.1-26.2)	0.138
Plant foods ^{§¶¶}	965.4 (889.8-1071.5)	942.1 (853.7-1049.0)	1002.6 (895.1-110.2)	0.056
Animal foods ^{¶¶¶}	337.9 (273.9-392.6)	338.3 (304.8-410.3)	324.3 (270.2-388.7)	0.527
Total foods ^{¶¶}	1452.2 (1338.6-1617.0)	1458.7 (1332.5-1628.5)	1446.6 (1355.6-1615.5)	0.611

J-CHS: Japanese version of the Cardiovascular Health Study¹⁷; IQR: interquartile range

Values are medians and interquartile ranges are 25th percentile-75th percentile.

[†]Frailty score (0–5) was defined as Shrinking (1 point), Low activity (1 point), Exhaustion (1 point), Weakness (1 point) and Slowness (1 point). A score of 1 or 2 indicated prefrailty.

[‡]Nutrient intakes were energy-adjusted according to the residual method²³.

[§]Plant foods, g/day = (Cereals[‡] + Potatoes[‡] + Sugar and sweeteners[‡] + Soy products[‡] + Total vegetables[‡] + Fruits[‡] + Algae[‡] + Nuts and seeds[‡]), g/day.

[¶]Animal foods, g/day = (Fish and shellfish[‡] + Meat[‡] + Eggs[‡] + Dairy products[‡]), g/day.

^{¶¶}Total foods, g/day = (Plant foods + Animal foods + Fats and oils[‡] + Confectioneries[‡] + Favorite beverages[‡] + Alcohol beverages[‡] + Seasonings and spices[‡]), g/day.

^{¶¶¶}Mann-Whitney U test.

Table 4. Result of study participants with inadequate intake according to the Dietary Reference Intakes (DRIs) for Japanese,2020, using the cut-point method for Japanese in prefrailty[†] women and nonfrailty women classified according to frailty criteria[†] of J-CHS

Nutrients and reference value [‡]	All (n=120)		Prefrailty [†] (n=54)		Nonfrailty (n=66)		<i>p</i> -value ^{††}
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Nutrient with EAR [§]							
Protein							
≥40.0 g	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	-
≥0.73 g/kgBW	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	-
Vitamin A							
65-74 years: ≥500 µgRAE, 75 years+: ≥450 µgRAE	28	23.3	16	29.6	12	18.2	0.192
Vitamin B-1							
65-74 years: ≥0.9 mg, 75 years+: ≥0.8 mg	58	48.3	28	51.9	30	45.5	0.582
≥0.45 mg/1,000 kcal	40	33.3	20	37.0	20	30.3	0.444
Vitamin B-2							
65-74 years: ≥1.0 mg, 75 years+: ≥0.9 mg	19	15.8	10	18.5	9	13.6	0.616
≥0.50 mg/1,000 kcal	16	13.3	9	16.7	7	10.6	0.420
Niacin							
≥9 mgNE	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	-
≥4.8 mgNE/1,000 kcal	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	-
Vitamin B-6							
≥1.0 mg	12	10.0	4	7.4	8	12.1	0.543
Vitamin B-12							
≥2.0 µg	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	-
Folate							
≥200 µg	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	-
Vitamin C							
≥80 mg	14	11.7	12	22.2	2	3.0	0.001
Sodium							
≥600 mg	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	-
Calcium							
65-74 years: ≥550 mg, 75 years+: ≥500 mg	5	4.2	4	7.4	1	1.5	0.173
Magnesium							
65-74 years: ≥230 mg, 75 years+: ≥220 mg	35	29.2	21	38.9	14	21.2	0.043
Iron							
≥5 mg	2	1.7	0	0.0	2	3.0	-

J-CHS: Japanese version of the Cardiovascular Health Study¹⁷; BW: Body weight; RAE: retinol activity equivalent; NE: niacin equivalents; EAR: estimated average requirement

Values are the percentage of subjects; DG: tentative dietary goals for preventing lifestyle-related diseases (LRDs)

[†] Frailty score (0–5) was defined as Shrinking (1 point), Low activity (1 point), Exhaustion (1 point), Weakness (1 point) and Slowness (1 point). A score of 1 or 2 indicated prefrailty.

[‡] Dietary Reference Intakes (units/d), 2020 for Japanese females aged 65-74 and 75+years old¹.

[§] The EAR is the average daily nutrient intake level required in a population, calculated on the basis of the distribution of the measured requirements in a study population. To prevent inadequate intake, EAR was determined¹.

[¶] The DGs required for the prevention of LRDs were set as the current goals for Japanese individuals to reach the average daily intake of nutrients, and, thereby, prevent LRDs¹. ^{††}Fisher's exact test

Table 4. Result of study participants with inadequate intake according to the Dietary Reference Intakes (DRIs) for Japanese,2020, using the cut-point method for Japanese in prefrailty[†] women and nonfrailty women classified according to frailty criteria[†] of J-CHS (cont.)

Nutrients and reference value [‡]	All (n=120)		Prefrailty [†] (n=54)		Nonfrailty (n=66)		p-value ^{††}
	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Iron							
≥5 mg	2	1.7	0	0.0	2	3.0	-
Zinc							
65-74 years: ≥7 mg, 75 years+: ≥6 mg	9	7.5	7	13.0	2	3.0	0.766
Copper							
≥0.6 mg	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	-
Iodine							
≥95 µg	22	18.3	11	20.4	11	16.7	0.642
Selenium							
≥20 µg	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	-
Molybdenum							
≥20 µg	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	-
Nutrient with DG [¶]							
Protein							
15-20 % of energy	52	43.3	27	50.0	25	37.9	0.199
Fat							
20-30 % of energy	46	38.3	22	40.7	24	36.4	0.706
Saturated fatty acids							
≤7 % of energy	98	81.7	47	87.0	51	77.3	0.236
Carbohydrates							
50-65 % of energy	40	33.3	17	31.5	23	34.8	0.845
Dietary fiber							
≥17.0 g	90	75.0	42	77.8	48	72.7	0.672
Salt equivalent							
<6.5 g	108	90.0	50	92.6	58	87.9	0.543
Potassium							
≥2,600 mg	105	87.5	48	88.9	57	86.4	0.785

J-CHS: Japanese version of the Cardiovascular Health Study¹⁷; BW: Body weight; RAE: retinol activity equivalent; NE: niacin equivalents; EAR: estimated average requirement

Values are the percentage of subjects; DG: tentative dietary goals for preventing lifestyle-related diseases (LRDs)

[†]Frailty score (0–5) was defined as Shrinking (1 point), Low activity (1 point), Exhaustion (1 point), Weakness (1 point) and Slowness (1 point). A score of 1 or 2 indicated prefrailty.

[‡]Dietary Reference Intakes (units/d), 2020 for Japanese females aged 65-74 and 75+years old¹.

[§]The EAR is the average daily nutrient intake level required in a population, calculated on the basis of the distribution of the measured requirements in a study population. To prevent inadequate intake, EAR was determined¹.

[¶]The DGs required for the prevention of LRDs were set as the current goals for Japanese individuals to reach the average daily intake of nutrients, and, thereby, prevent LRDs¹.

^{††}Fisher's exact test

Table 5. Result of logistic regression for factors associated with physical frailty[†]

Variables and indicator	Model 1 [‡]		Model 2 [‡]	
	Simultaneous method		Forward-selection method	
	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value
Vitamin C (mg/day) [§]				
≥RDA: 100 mg/day	1.00 Reference		1.00 Reference	
80 - 100 mg/day	1.06 (0.84-1.89)	0.220	-	-
<EAR: 80 mg/day	6.74 (1.36-33.18)	0.019	7.12 (1.47-34.41)	0.014
Magnesium (mg/day) [§]				
≥RDA: 280 mg/day or 260 mg/day [¶]	1.00 Reference		1.00 Reference	
230 - 280 mg/day or 220 - 260 mg/day	2.03 (0.81-5.07)	0.128	-	-
<EAR: 230 mg/day or 220 mg/day [¶]	2.97 (1.02-8.62)	0.044	2.94 (0.94-8.55)	0.069

J-CHS: Japanese version of the Cardiovascular Health Study¹⁷; OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval, RDA: recommended dietary allowance; EAR: estimated average requirement

Values are ORs (95% CIs)

[†]All models were adjusted for energy intake (as continuous).

[‡]Frailty score (0–5) was defined as Shrinking (1 point), Low activity (1 point), Exhaustion (1 point), Weakness (1 point) and Slowness (1 point). A score of 1 or 2 indicated prefrailty.

[§]Vitamin C and magnesium intakes categorization were based on the Dietary Reference Intakes (DRIs) for Japanese, 2020¹.

[¶]Magnesium intake categorization of 280 mg/day (65-74 years old) or 260 mg/day (75+ years old) was based on the DRIs for Japanese, 2020 RDA value, 230 mg/day (65-74 years old) or 220 g/day (75+ years old) was based on the DRIs for Japanese, 2020 EAR value¹.

Supplementary table 1. Physical activity level of Japanese elderly women classified according to frailty criteria[†] of J-CHS

Physical activity level [‡]	All (n=120)	Prefrailty [†] (n=54, 45.0%)	Nonfrailty (n= 66, 55.0%)	<i>p</i> -value [§]
Low (I), n (%)	46 (38.3)	29 (53.7)	17 (25.8)	0.014
Medium (II), n (%)	62 (51.7)	22 (40.7)	40 (60.6)	
High (III), n (%)	12 (10.0)	3 (5.6)	9 (13.6)	

J-CHS: Japanese version of the Cardiovascular Health Study¹⁷

Values are numbers of subjects (%).

[†]Frailty score (0–5) was defined as Shrinking (1 point), Low activity (1 point), Exhaustion (1 point), Weakness (1 point) and Slowness (1 point). A score of 1 or 2 indicated prefrailty.

[‡]Categorization is according to the Dietary Reference Intakes for Japanese, 2020¹.

[§]Chi-square test.

Not Proof Read

Supplementary table 2. Comparison of nutrients intake and nutritional ratio in prefrailty and nonfrailty women classified according to frailty criteria[†] of J-CHS

Variables	All (n=120)	Prefrailty [†] (n=54)	Nonfrailty (n=66)	<i>p</i> -value [§]
	Median, IQR	Median, IQR	Median, IQR	
Energy, kcal	1798 (1576-1939)	1767 (1567-1928)	1800 (1604-1974)	0.387
Energy intake/BW, kcal/kg	33.8 (29.2-37.9)	32.1 (29.1-38.0)	34.0 (29.6-36.9)	0.405
Protein [‡] , g	69.4 (64.1-73.2)	67.3 (60.7-74.2)	70.5 (65.5-72.9)	0.075
Protein [‡] /BW, g/kg	1.28 (1.18-1.41)	1.29 (1.16-1.39)	1.28 (1.19-1.50)	0.337
Fat [‡] , g	56.4 (50.3-60.6)	59.1 (49.2-60.5)	56.0 (50.6-62.0)	0.548
Monounsaturated fatty acids [‡] , g	21.45 (18.42-26.05)	22.69 (19.43-27.49)	19.93 (17.16-24.40)	0.089
n-6 poly-unsaturated fatty acids [‡] , g	9.93 (8.95-11.43)	10.03 (9.54-11.62)	9.72 (7.83-11.40)	0.316
n-3 poly-unsaturated fatty acids [‡] , g	2.53 (2.09-2.97)	2.45 (2.09-2.75)	2.69 (2.09-3.22)	0.065
Cholesterol [‡] , mg	308 (260-374)	342 (259-387)	300 (266-370)	0.370
Dietary fiber [‡] , g	15.4 (13.5-16.8)	14.9 (13.5-16.6)	15.8 (13.4-17.0)	0.268
Soluble dietary fiber [‡] , g	3.8 (3.4-4.7)	3.8 (3.3-4.4)	3.7 (3.4-5.5)	0.858
Insoluble dietary fiber [‡] , g	11.6 (9.9-12.5)	11.4 (9.5-12.2)	11.9 (10.0-13.3)	0.148
Pantothenic acid [‡] , mg	5.72 (5.14-6.28)	5.64 (4.99-6.14)	5.85 (5.24-6.32)	0.276
Biotin [‡] , µg	33.9 (28.1-37.9)	34.1 (27.4-40.9)	33.1 (28.4-36.8)	0.473
Phosphorus [‡] , mg	1145 (1045-1265)	1168 (1011-1269)	1129 (1045-1258)	0.833
Manganese [‡] , mg	3.42 (3.14-3.79)	3.51 (3.23-3.72)	3.41 (3.10-3.90)	0.657
Chromium [‡] , µg	8 (7-10)	8 (6-10)	8 (7-9)	0.751
Cereal [‡] energy ratio, % energy	36.0 (32.1-43.7)	35.0 (30.9-43.2)	37.0 (32.5-44.6)	0.399
Alcohol [‡] energy ratio, % energy	0.4 (0.0-1.9)	0.0 (0.0-2.2)	0.6 (0.0-1.8)	0.776

J-CHS: Japanese version of the Cardiovascular Health Study¹⁷; IQR: interquartile range; BW: Body weight.

Values are medians and interquartile ranges are 25th percentile-75th percentile.

[†]Frailty score (0–5) was defined as Shrinking (1 point), Low activity (1 point), Exhaustion (1 point), Weakness (1 point) and Slowness (1 point). A score of 1 or 2 indicated prefrailty.

[‡]Nutrient intakes were energy-adjusted according to the residual method²³.

[§]Mann-Whitney U test.