Original Article

Association between "solute carrier family 30 member 8" (*SLC30A8*) gene polymorphism and susceptibility to type 2 diabetes mellitus in Chinese Han and minority populations: an updated meta-analysis

Yan Wang PhD¹, Leizhen Duan MS², Songcheng Yu PhD¹, Xinxin Liu PhD¹, Han Han MS¹, Jun Wang MS¹, Wenjie Li PhD¹

¹Department of Nutrition and Food Hygiene, College of Public Health, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, China

²Department of Medical Services, Zhengzhou Central Hospital Affiliated to Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, China

Background and Objectives: In China, some studies have been reported that solute carrier family 30 member 8 (SLC30A8) gene polymorphism might increase the risk of T2DM, but some are not. The aim of this meta-analysis was to systematically investigate the association between the rs13266634 polymorphism of the SLC30A8 gene and T2DM in Chinese Han and ethnic minority populations. Methods and Study Design: All published electronic articles were retrieved from Pubmed, Web of Knowledge, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), Wanfang database, VIP database and Google scholar. Pooled OR and 95% CI were calculated using random- or fixed-effects models. Results: Twenty-five articles involving 62,285 subjects were included in this metaanalysis. Considering the total population, significant associations between the rs13266634 polymorphism and T2DM were observed under the allele model (C vs T: OR=1.23, 95% CI=1.18-1.29), the additive models (CC vs TT: OR=1.44, 95% CI=1.32-1.56; CC vs CT: OR=1.08, 95% CI=1.02-1.15; CT vs TT: OR=1.25, 95% CI=1.15-1.37), the dominant model (CC vs CT+TT: OR=1.24, 95% CI=1.17-1.32) and the recessive model (CC+CT vs TT: OR=1.26, 95% CI=1.16-1.35). Based on subgroup analysis, besides the CC vs CT model, these associations were stronger in the ethnic minority groups than in the Han population. Moreover, no association was observed under the CC vs CT model (OR=1.26, 95% CI=0.95-1.66, p=0.105) in ethnic minority groups. Conclusions: Chinese C allele carriers could have an increased risk of T2DM. Well-designed future studies should be conducted with a larger sample size to better understand this association in ethnic minority groups.

Key Words: SLC30A8, polymorphism, T2DM, Chinese, meta-analysis

INTRODUCTION

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is a common metabolic disorder characterized by insulin resistance and/or impaired insulin secretion.¹ According to a new estimate by the International Diabetes Federation (IDF), the number of individuals aged 20-79 suffering from diabetes worldwide in 2017 was 425 million, and will increase to 700 million by 2045.² T2DM accounts for 90% of these cases, and the medical expenses for treatment were as high as 727 billion dollars,² which has become a serious and worsening public health problem. In China, the prevalence of diabetes was 11.6% in 2010,³ which means that more than 100 million of people were suffering from diabetes and China has become one of the largest T2DM population countries in the world.⁴ As the disease progresses, T2DM can lead to severe complications such as stroke, cardiovascular disease, renal failure and retinopathy.^{5,6} Epidemiological studies including investigations within twins have suggested that the disease is caused by an interplay of environmental and genetic factors.^{7,8} Although some causal factors have been identified in T2DM, such as obesity, dyslipidemia, hypertension and lack of physical activity,^{9,10} more studies are needed to better understand important genetic factors that may play a role in T2DM.

Zinc is an essential trace element that plays a critical role in β cells, promoting insulin crystallization into hexamers.⁷ Data from population-based studies and animal experiments indicate that zinc homeostasis is associated with T2DM.^{11,12} The zinc transport protein member 8 (ZnT-8) is a trans-membrane protein containing 369 ami-

Corresponding Author: Prof Wenjie Li, Department of Nutrition and Food Hygiene, College of Public Health, Zhengzhou University, No.100 Science Road, Zhengzhou, 450001, Henan, China.

Tel: +86 371 6778 1305; Fax: +86 371 6778 1868 Email: Lwj@zzu.edu.cn

Manuscript received 25 March 2018. Initial review completed 08 January 2018. Revision accepted 08 June 2018. doi: 10.6133/apjcn.201811 27(6).0025

no acids which is expressed exclusively in pancreatic islet β cells, and which transports zinc ions from the cytoplasm to insulin secretion vesicles, a key step in the process of insulin synthesis and secretion.¹³ (The mechanism's diagram is shown in Figure S1. of supplementary materials). Since ZnT-8 is encoded by the *SLC30A8* gene, it is reasonable to postulate that polymorphism of the *SLC30A8* gene may be involved in the development of T2DM.

A large genome-wide association study (GWAS) performed on 392,935 French subjects by Sladek in 2007 first identified an association between the rs13266634 polymorphism of the SLC30A8 gene and the risk of developing T2DM.¹⁴ Considering the differences in genetic backgrounds between Europeans and other populations, replication studies were conducted in groups with different ethnic backgrounds. Chang et al. reported that the rs13266634 polymorphism was associated with T2DM in the Chinese Han population after adjusting for age, gender and BMI (OR, 1.30; 95% CI, 1.17-1.45; p<0.001).¹⁵ Similar studies were also conducted in Singapore, Korea, and other Asian countries.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ However, the published results have been inconsistent. In 2009, Jing and colleagues first reported a meta-analysis including European and Asian populations, and the results showed the carriers of the C allele that may be at increased risk of T2DM compared with those carrying the T allele.¹⁹ However, in a more recent meta-analysis, this association was not found in an African population.²⁰ Perhaps the contribution of the genetic background to T2DM can explain these differences. Therefore, constantly updated studies should help clarify the association between rs13266634 polymorphism and T2DM.

In previous meta-analyses, only a small fraction of the Chinese Han population was included. However, until now, no meta-analysis has been conducted in ethnic minority groups to evaluate the pooled effects of rs13266634 polymorphism on the risk of developing T2DM. China is a multi-ethnic country with a total of 56 ethnic groups. The Han nationality is the largest ethnic group. The remaining 55 ethnic groups are collectively referred to as ethnic minorities, accounting for 9% of the total population, including Muslim, Mongolian, Uyghur, Kazak, and Manchu ethnic groups, etc. The epidemiological survey of T2DM among ethnic minorities by Liu and colleagues suggested that there was a significant difference in the prevalence of T2DM between Han and ethnic minorities.²¹ Compared with the ethnic Han, minorities are always distributed in remote areas, have their own special cultural and beliefs, have a small population mobility, and have a stable genetic background. They have more valuable genetic information, especially in genetic polymorphism. Therefore, we conducted an updated meta-analysis evaluating the association between rs13266634 polymorphism and T2DM in Chinese Han and ethnic minority populations.

METHODS

The review process followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines.²² (Details were listed in Table S2).

Literature search

Pubmed, Web of Knowledge, CNKI, Wanfang, VIP and Google scholar databases were searched until November, 2017 by two investigators employing the following terms: ("*SLC30A8*" or "solute carrier family 30 member 8" or "rs13266634" or "zinc transporter protein member 8" or "ZnT-8" or "Arg325Trp" or "R325W") and ("polymorphism" or "variant" or "mutation") and ("diabetes" or "type 2 diabetes" or "T2DM"). In addition, the references from all relevant articles were searched manually.

Selection criteria

Studies which met all the following criteria were included: (1) case-control design or cohort design; (2) they evaluated the association between rs13266634 polymorphism and T2DM; (3) T2DM diagnosis by World Health Organization-WHO (1999 version) or American Diabetes Association-ADA;^{23,24} (3) they provided sufficient data to calculate the OR and 95% CI or the data were available after contacting with the authors by E-mail; (4) they were published in Chinese or English; (5) the subjects were Chinese; (6) the genotype distribution of the controls complied with the Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE); (7) if several publications existed based on the same study, only the article with the largest number of cases or the most recently published study was included.

Data extraction and quality assessment

The following information was extracted from each eligible study: first author's surname, year of publication, geographical location and ethnicity of subjects, source of controls, diagnostic criteria, subjects' mean age, number of cases, genotype distribution data and adjusted covariates. Two investigators independently assessed and then discussed the articles in order to reach an agreement on all items.

The quality score of the studies included this metaanalysis was assessed by means of the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS).²⁵ Three aspects (selection, comparability and exposure) were estimated and each eligible answer got one point. Studies were regarded as "good" when the score was 8-9, "fair" when the score was 5-7, and "poor" when the score was \leq 4. Two investigators independently scored all the studies.

All disputes were resolved by discussing the issue with a senior author (WJL).

Statistical analysis

The pooled OR and corresponding 95% CI were calculated to estimate the strength of association between the rs13266634 polymorphism and T2DM. Six genetic models, the allelic model (C vs T), the additive models (CC vs TT, CC vs CT, CT vs TT), the dominant model (CC vs CT+TT) and the recessive model (CC+CT vs TT) were analyzed.²⁶ The pooled OR were determined by means of the Z test (p<0.05 was considered statistically significant). Heterogeneity was evaluated by the Q test and the I² test, with p<0.05 and/or I² >50% representing significant heterogeneity, in which case the random-effect model was selected; otherwise, the fixed-effect model was applied.²⁷ To uncover the source of the heterogeneity, we conducted additional subgroup analyses based on the subjects' mean



Figure 1. The article selection flow chart.

age (cut off point at 60 years of age), ethnicity groups (Han or minority), source of controls (population-based or hospital-based), diagnostic criteria (WHO or ADA), geographical location (north or south of China), NOS score (cut off point ≥ 8),²⁵ and adjustment for potential confounders (yes or no). In addition, we also employed the leave-one-out sensitivity analysis method to evaluate the impact of individual data on the pooled OR. Egger's tests and Begg's funnel plots were performed to assess publication bias, and p < 0.05 was considered significant.²⁸, ²⁹ If some publication bias was detected, the Trim and Fill method was used to adjust the meta-analysis results by adding data from potential missing studies or prospective studies.³⁰ Data were analyzed using the Stata software (Version 11.0, Stata Corp, College Station, TX, USA). All results with p values <0.05 were considered significant.

RESULTS

Characteristics of the studies

A total of 594 relevant publications were identified following the initial search. After all duplicates had been removed, 235 unique articles remained. Of these, 193 were excluded after screening the title and abstract. The full-text of forty-two potential articles was downloaded for further review. In addition, 7 more articles were included after manually searching the reference lists. Twenty-four other articles were excluded because they did not fulfill the inclusion criteria. The flow diagram showing the selection process is presented in Figure 1. Finally, 25 articles were included in the meta-analysis.^{15,17,31-53} The main characteristics of the articles are listed in Table 1. The 25 selected articles involved 24 studies including 62,285 subjects (30,636 cases and 31,649 controls) and five ethnic groups (one Han and four minority groups). All studies were case-control studies, conducted in 15 provinces, and with sample sizes that varied between 222 and 13,517. The quality of the studies included in this meta-analysis was acceptable (at least 6 points in the NOS score). (Supplementary table 3).

Meta-analysis results

Twenty-five articles involving 62,285 subjects (57,707 Han/4,578 minority) were selected to evaluate the association between rs13266634 and T2DM under six genetic models. Significant associations between rs13266634 polymorphism and T2DM were found in the combined population under six genetic models (C vs T: OR=1.23, 95% CI=1.18-1.29; CC vs TT: OR=1.44, 95% CI=1.32-1.56; CC vs CT: OR=1.08, 95% CI=1.02-1.15; CT vs TT: OR=1.25, 95% CI=1.15-1.37; CC vs CT+TT: OR=1.24, 95% CI=1.17-1.32; CC+CT vs TT: OR=1.26, 95% CI=1.16-1.35; p < 0.001). The pooled results are shown in Figure 2. (detailed data is shown in the supplementary materials section, Figures S2-S7). Clear associations between the rs13266634 polymorphism and T2DM were observed in the Han group under the six genetic models (Figure 2). However, there was no evidence of a similar

First author	st author Geographical Ethni		Ethnic Control		c Participan		cipants Genotypes distribution (case/control)			OR and 95% CI	S
and year	location	group	source	criteria	Age (y)	(cases)	CC	CT	TT	(C vs T)	Score
Wang, 2008 ³¹	Chongqing	Han	HB	WHO	55±12	765 (454)	152/87	219/141	83/83	1.36 (1.11-1.67) [†]	6
Wu, 2008 ³²	Beijing	Han	PB	WHO	58.6±6	2332 (424)	-			1.09 (0.93-1.27)	7
	Shanghai									· · · · · ·	
Xiang, 2008 ³³	Shanghai	Han	PB	WHO	62.6±9.2	1242 (521)	-			1.22 (1.04-1.43)	7
Ma, 2009 ³⁴	Shanghai	Han	HB	WHO	65±12	459 (259)	87/34	123/114	49/52	1.59 (1.19-2.12) [†]	7
Hu, 2009 ³⁵	Shanghai	Han	PB	WHO	61.2±12.6	3634 (1849)	-			1.25 (1.14-1.37)	7
Han, 2010 ³⁶	Beijing	Han	PB	WHO	56±12	1985 (992)	386/327	457/487	149/179	1.19 (1.04-1.37) [†]	8
Huang, 201037	Hunan	Han	HB	WHO	49.1±10.8	672 (443)	134/64	211/93	98/72	1.26 (1.01-1.58)	6
Lin, 2010 ³⁸	Sichuan	Han	HB	WHO	60.2 ± 10.1	2968 (1529)	-			1.24 (1.12-1.37)	6
Tan, 2010 ¹⁷	NA	Han	PB	WHO	50.9±11	3737 (1541)	-			0.98 (0.88-1.09)	8
Xu, 2010 ³⁹	Shanghai	Han	PB	WHO	63.3±9.7	4025 (1825)	-			1.20 (1.09-1.32)	7
Li, 2011 ⁴⁰	Nei Mongol	Han	HB	WHO	57.9±10.4	222 (125)	36/24	81/55	8/18	1.64 (1.13-2.40)	6
Wang, 2011 ⁴¹	Hunan	Han	HB	WHO	57.4±10.5	454 (236)	82/48	117/95	37/75	1.89 (1.45-2.46)	7
Zheng, 2012 ⁴²	Chongqing	Han	HB	WHO	54.1±12.9	379 (227)	65/48	114/76	48/28	1.12 (0.84-1.50)	7
Li, 2012 ⁵³	Beijing	Han	PB	-	56.6±10	13517 (6570)	-			1.18 (1.07-1.29)	7
	Hubei										
	Guizhou										
Tam, 2013 ⁴³	Hong Kong	Han	PB	WHO	56.8±13.3	8451 (5882)	-			1.22 (1.13-1.32)	7
Chen, 201344	Fujian	She	PB	WHO	54.2±11.3	2210 (443)	-			1.48 (1.10-2.01)	7
Zhang, 2014 ⁴⁵	Gansu	Dong	HB	WHO	49.8 ± 14.1	252 (123)	48/30	56/69	19/30	1.62 (1.13-2.31)	6
		Xiang									
Zhang, 2015 ⁴⁶	Gansu	Muslim	HB	WHO	53.6±12.3	252 (125)	51/33	54/65	20/29	1.56 (1.09-2.22)	6
	Gansu	Han	HB			273 (138)	56/33	62/76	20/26	1.54 (1.09-2.16)	6
Chang, 2014 ¹⁵	Taiwan	Han	PB	ADA	55.8±15.8	3020 (1502)	-			1.30 (1.17-1.45)†	7
Shan, 2014 ⁴⁷	Hubei	Han	HB	WHO	51 ± 10.8	1578 (785)	-			$1.27 (1.08 \text{-} 1.51)^{\dagger}$	7
Chen, 2015 ⁴⁸	Jilin	Han	HB	WHO	-	220 (113)	44/36	55/55	14/16	1.18 (0.80-1.73)	7
Liu, 2015 ⁴⁹	Liaoning	Han	HB	WHO	51.4±10.2	281 (136)	52/32	64/84	20/29	1.55 (1.11-2.17)	6
Zhao, 2015 ⁵⁰	Jiangsu	Han	PB	WHO	64.31 ± 9.0	3687 (1737)	624/649	837/951	277/350	1.10(1.00-1.21)	8
Qian, 2015 ⁵¹	Jiangsu	Han	PB	WHO	58.8±10.3	3806 (1725)	593/676	863/1023	269/382	1.13 (1.01-1.26)†	8
Su, 2016 ⁵²	Sin Kiang	Uyghur	HB	ADA	-	1864 (932)	466/432	402/403	64/97	1.19 (1.03-1.36)	6

Table 1. Main characteristics of the rs13266634 polymorphism studies included in this meta-analysis

NA: not available; HB: hospital-based; PB: population-based; WHO: world health organization; ADA: American diabetes association; OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval.

Studies were regarded as "good" when the score was 8-10, "fair" when the score was 5-7, and "poor" when the score was ≤4.

[†]Adjusted for confounders: sex, age, BMI (body mass index).

-: no value.

Subgroup	Heterogeneity	Ρ	n		OR (95% CI)
C/T Overall Han Minority	53.60%	. 001	25 21 4	* * 	1.23 (1.18, 1.29) 1.22 (1.17, 1.28) 1.37 (1.16, 1.62)
CC/TT Overall Han Minority	32.20%	. 099	17 14 3	+ + 	1.44 (1.32, 1.56) 1.41 (1.29, 1.53) 1.83 (1.38, 2.43)
CC/CT Overall Han Minority	30.50%	. 14	13 10 3	* *	1.08 (1.02, 1.15) 1.07 (1.01, 1.13) 1.26 (0.95, 1.66)
CT/TT Overall Han Minority	35.80%	. 082	15 12 3	+ + 	1.25 (1.15, 1.37) 1.24 (1.12, 1.36) 1.41 (1.07, 1.87)
CC/CT+TT Overall Han Minority	36.10%	. 074	16 13 3	* *	1.24 (1.17, 1.32) 1.23 (1.16, 1.31) - 2.06 (1.26, 2.85)
CC+CT/TT Overall Han Minority	17.40%	. 254	16 13 3	* *	1.26 (1.16, 1.35) 1.25 (1.15, 1.35) 1.68 (1.00, 2.36)

Figure 2. Pooled OR and 95% CI showing the strength of association between the rs13266634 polymorphism and T2DM risk in Han and minority populations under six genetic models.

association in ethnic minority groups under the additive model (CC vs CT: OR=1.26, 95% CI=0.95-1.66, p=0.105). Nevertheless, under the other five genetic models, these associations were stronger in the ethnic minority than in Han populations.

Source of heterogeneity

No obvious heterogeneity was detected under the additive, dominant, or recessive models, and the heterogeneity I² results oscillated between 17.4% and 36.1%. In contrast, the between-study heterogeneity for the allelic genetic model was high ($I^2=53.6\%$, p=0.001). To identify the source of this heterogeneity, we initially conducted subgroup analyses based on the subjects' mean age, ethnicity, source of controls, diagnostic criteria, geographical location, NOS score, and adjustment for potential confounders. These results suggested that variables such as mean age ≥ 60 years, minority group ethnicity, inclusion of hospital-based, ADA diagnostic criteria, northern China geographical location, NOS score <8, and adjustment for confounders could partly explain the source of the heterogeneity (Table 2). Meanwhile, the leave-one-out analysis showed that the study conducted by Tan¹⁷ was the prominent contributor to the elevated heterogeneity.¹⁷ After excluding this study, the heterogeneity dropped to I2=23.3% (p=0.146), and the association showed significance (OR=1.21, 95% CI=1.18-1.25, p<0.001).

Sensitivity analysis and publication bias

By excluding one study at a time, the sensitivity analysis

did not identify any single one which clearly affected the results. Begg's funnel plot and Egger's test were performed to assess publication bias. No significant publication bias was observed under the additive models (CC vs CT: Egger's test, t=1.96, p=0.075; CT vs TT: Egger's test, t=1.33, p=0.207). (Supplementary figure 8). However, the shapes of the funnel plots demonstrated obvious asymmetry under other genetic models (p<0.05 from Egger's test). Subsequently, the Trim and Fill method was applied to adjust the results. This methodology indicated that the results would be robust after supplementing (8, 7, 6, 6) potential missing studies in (C vs T, CC vs TT, CC vs CT+TT, CC+CT vs TT) genetic models, respectively. (Figure 3 and Figure 4).

DISCUSSION

In the present study we report the results of a metaanalysis based on 24 case-control studies including 62,285 subjects. We observed a significant association between the rs13266634 polymorphism of the *SLC30A8* gene and T2DM in Chinese populations under six genetic models. However, there was no evidence of this association in ethnic minority populations under the additive genetic model (CC vs CT). To our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis to investigate the effects of the rs13266634 polymorphism on T2DM in both Chinese Han and minority populations.

T2DM is a common metabolic disease of multiple etiologies caused by genetic and environmental interactions.^{54,55} Accumulating evidence suggests that increased

G 1	0.1.1	Effect size	Heterogeneity		
Subgroup	Study number –	OR and 95% CI	$I^{2}(\%)$	р	
Total	25	1.23 (1.18-1.29)	53.6	0.001	
Age					
<60	16	1.28 (1.18-1.38)	64.9	< 0.001	
≥60	6	1.21 (1.14-1.29)	37.6	0.156	
Ethnic group					
Han	21	1.22 (1.17-1.28)	55.7	0.001	
Minority	4	1.37 (1.16-1.62)	36.6	0.193	
Control source					
PB	12	1.17 (1.12-1.23)	52.2	0.018	
HB	13	1.35 (1.25-1.46)	32.8	0.113	
Diagnostic criteria					
WHO	22	1.24 (1.18-1.31)	57.5	< 0.001	
ADA	2	1.26 (1.16-1.37)	0.00	0.324	
Geographical location					
North China	7	1.32 (1.19-1.47)	23.9	0.239	
South China	15	1.24 (1.19-1.30)	44.3	0.033	
NOS score					
<8	21	1.27 (1.21-1.32)	29.4	0.097	
≥ 8	4	1.09 (1.01-1.18)	48.2	0.122	
Adjust confounders [†]					
Yes	6	1.21 (1.16-1.27)	0.0	0.607	
No	19	1.26 (1.18-1.35)	62.1	< 0.001	

Table 2. Rs13266634 polymorphism and T2DM: subgroup analysis under the allelic genetic model (C/T)

HB: hospital-based; PB: population-based; WHO: world health organization; ADA, American diabetes association; OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; NOS: new castle-ottawa scale.

[†]Adjusted for confounders: sex, age, and BMI (body mass index).



Figure 3. Funnel plot of rs13266634 polymorphism and T2DM publication bias. (A): C vs T. (B): CC vs TT.

susceptibility to T2DM is largely attributable to genetic factors.⁵⁶ In this regard, more than 100 loci have been reported to be associated with susceptibility to T2DM.⁵⁷ In 2007, Sladek et al first confirmed the association between the rs13266634 polymorphism and susceptibility to T2DM.¹⁴ Subsequently, numerous replication studies were conducted in multi-ethnic populations. However, the conclusions have been controversial.^{17,35,58,59} Considering the low credibility of a single study due to limited sample size, meta-analysis has become the preferred methodology to approach genetic-association studies.⁶⁰

In our meta-analysis, the pooled results from all the population groups suggested that the rs13266634 polymorphism was significantly associated with T2DM, with the OR ranging between 1.08 and 1.44 under six genetic models. Our results are consistent with the findings of previous meta-analysis conducted by Jing and Cheng,^{19,20} which showed that the rs13266634 polymorphism was associated with the risk of developing T2DM in Asian populations. However, the present study has some strengths compared with others. Firstly, it includes more publications (25 versus 11) and subjects than the study



Figure 4. Funnel plot adjusted using the Trim and Fill method for rs13266634 polymorphism and T2DM publication bias. (A): CC vs CT+TT. (B) CC+CT vs TT.

carried out by Jing,¹⁹ increasing its potential to establish the relationship between the *SLC30A8* genotype and the risk of developing T2DM in Chinese populations. Secondly, the genetic analysis conducted in this study, which assessed under six genetic models, is more comprehensive than the study done by Cheng.²⁰ Thirdly, this is the first study to analyze the association of this polymorphism with T2DM among ethnic minorities.

No association was found between the rs13266634 polymorphism and T2DM in ethnic minority groups under the additive model (CC vs CT: OR=1.26, 95% CI=0.95-1.66, p=0.105), a result that is similar to that reported by Zhang et al in Chinese Dongxiang and Muslim populations.45,46 Ethnic differences might partly account for the degree of susceptibility to T2DM. Qiu et al found that the SHP70-2 gene polymorphism (+1267) G allele could increase the risk of essential hypertension in the Han population, but the A allele could increase the risk of essential hypertension in the Muslim population.⁶¹ Si et al found that C1GALT1 (rs1008898) polymorphism was related to IgA nephropathy in Han nationality, but no such association was found in the Musilum population.⁶² In addition, most studies tested the multiple genetic models for complex diseases, and did not correct for multiple comparisons.⁶³ Therefore, we suggested that the additive model (CC/CT) might be not the best model to determine the association between polymorphism of rs13266634 and the risk of T2DM in ethnic minority groups. However, this conclusion should be interpreted with caution in our meta-analysis, since these studies have small sample sizes and only investigated four minority ethnic populations.

Between-study heterogeneity is common in genetic association meta-analysis studies.⁶⁴ In our meta-analysis, substantial heterogeneity was found in the allele genetic model (C vs T: I²=53.6%, p=0.001). Therefore, subgroup analysis was conducted to explore the source of heterogeneity based on subjects' mean age, ethnic group, source of controls, diagnostic criteria, geographical location, NOS score, and adjustment for covariates (yes or no). We found that all the subgroups partly accounted for the heterogeneity. In addition, the leave-one-out analysis showed that the main contributor to the high level of heterogeneity was the study conducted by Tan.¹⁷ The reproduced OR and corresponding 95% CI were 1.21 and 1.18-1.25, respectively, without any notable change, but the heterogeneity dropped to $I^2=23.3\%$ (*p*=0.146) after excluding Tan's study. Subjects included in the study conducted by Tan were Chinese who have long lived in Singapore, whose lifestyles and behaviors may differ from other subjects lived in China. This may be the main reason for the high heterogeneity of pooled results.

Several potential limitations of our meta-analysis should be acknowledged. First, although we corrected for publication bias using the Trim and Fill method, the impact on the results is not negligible. Some articles that have not been published due to negative results should be mined. Second, due to data limitation, the effects of genegene/gene-environment interactions were not addressed in our meta-analysis. Third, inherent in epidemiological designs is participant selection bias and recall bias, which may impact on the measurement of exposures/risk factors. Finally, case-control studies make an assumption that there is an unbiased allele frequency. In spite of these limitations, our meta-analysis also has some strengths. First, the number of participants and cases was sufficient. Second, the NOS score of all the included articles was above 6, which indicates the good quality of the included studies. Third, this is the first comprehensive analysis of ethnic minority populations.

Taken together, the present meta-analysis which includes 24 studies suggests that the rs13266634 polymorphism of the *SLC30A8* gene may be associated with an increased risk of developing T2DM in Chinese populations. In addition, ethnic minorities are more likely to increase the risk of T2DM than Han under a specific genetic model. Therefore, increasing genetic monitoring in ethnic minorities may be an effective method to prevent diabetes. Taking the publication bias and the inclusion of fewer literature on ethnic minorities into consideration, additional well-designed, prospective cohort studies should be conducted in ethnic minorities with a large sample size and better adjustment for all potential confounders.

AUTHOR DISCLOSURES

The authors declare no conflicts of interest. This work was supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China [grants number 81573151, U1204823, and 81573243].

REFERENCES

- Stumvoll M, Goldstein BJ, van Haeften TW. Type 2 diabetes: principles of pathogenesis and therapy. Lancet. 2005;365:1333-46. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(05)61032-X.
- The International Diabetes Federation. New IDF figures show continued increase in diabetes across the globe. 8th Ed. 2016. Available from: http://www.diabetesatlas.org/keymessages.html.
- 3. Xu Y, Wang LM, He J, Bi YF, Li MA, Wang T et al. Prevalence and control of diabetes in chinese adults. JAMA. 2013;310:948-59. doi:10.1001/jama.2013.
- Liu XT, Li YQ, Li LL, Zhang LN, Ren YC et al. Prevalence, awareness, treatment, control of type 2 diabetes mellitus and risk factors in Chinese rural population: the RuralDiab study. Sci Rep. 2016;6:31426. doi: 10.1038/srep31426.
- Chia D, Lai W, Daveon L, Matthias T. Predictive factors of developing type 2 diabetes mellitus, Acute Myocardial Infarction and stroke in a cohort with impaired fasting glucose in Singapore. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2017;132:59-67. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2017.07.018.
- Unnikrishnan R, Anjana RM, Amutha A, Ranjani H, Jebarani S, Ali MK, Narayan K, Mohan V. Younger-onset versus older-onset type 2 diabetes: Clinical profile and complications. J Diabetes Complications. 2017;31:971-5. doi: 10.1016/j.jdiacomp.2017.03.007.
- Kanoni S, Nettleton JA, Hivert MF, Ye Z, van Rooij FJ, Shungin D et al. Total zinc intake may modify the glucoseraising effect of a zinc transporter (SLC30A8) variant: a 14cohort meta-analysis. Diabetes. 2011;60:2407-16. doi: 10. 2337/db11-0176.
- Patel CJ, Chen R, Kodama K, Ioannidis JPA, Butte AJ. Systematic identification of interaction effects between genome- and environment-wide associations in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Hum Genet. 2013;132:495-508. doi: 10. 1007/s00439-012-1258-z.
- Kwak SH, Park KS. Recent progress in genetic and epigenetic research on type 2 diabetes. Exp Mol Med. 2016; 48:e220. doi: 10.1038/emm.2016.7.
- Steell L, Garridoméndez A, Petermann F, Díaz-Martínezt X, Martínezal MA, Leiva AM et al. Active commuting is associated with a lower risk of obesity, diabetes and metabolic syndrome in Chilean adults. J Public Health 2017;40:1-9. doi: 10.1093/pubmed/fdx092.
- Wijesekara N, Dai FF, Hardy AB, Giglou PR, Bhattacharjee A, Koshkin V et al. Beta cell-specific Znt8 deletion in mice causes marked defects in insulin processing, crystallisation and secretion. Diabetologia. 2010;53:1656-68. doi: 10.1007/ s00125-010-1733-9.
- 12. Yary T, Virtanen JK, Ruusunen A, Tuomainen TP, Voutilainen S. Serum zinc and risk of type 2 diabetes incidence in men: The Kuopio Ischaemic Heart Disease Risk Factor Study. J Trace Elem Med Biol. 2016;33:120-4. doi: 10.1016/j.jtemb.2015.11.001.
- Chimienti F, Favier A, Seve M. ZnT-8, a pancreatic betacell-specific zinc transporter. Biometals. 2005;18:313-7. doi: 10.1007/s10534-005-3687-9.
- 14. Sladek R, Rocheleau G, Rung J, Dina C, Shen L, Serre D et al. A genome-wide association study identifies novel risk loci for type 2 diabetes. Nature. 2007;445:881-5. doi: 10. 1038/nature05616.
- Chang YC, Liu PH, Yu YH, Kuo SS, Chang TJ, Jiang YD et al. Validation of type 2 diabetes risk variants identified by

genome-wide association studies in Han Chinese population: a replication study and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2014;9: e95045. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0095045.

- 16. Lee YH, Kang ES, Kim SH, Han SJ, Kim HJ, Ahn CW et al. Association between polymorphisms in SLC30A8, HHEX, CDKN2A/B, IGF2BP2, FTO, WFS1, CDKAL1, KCNQ1 and type 2 diabetes in the Korean population. J Hum Genet. 2008;53:991-8. doi: 10.1007/s10038-008-0341-8.
- 17. Tan JT, Ng DP, Nurbaya S, Ye S, Lim XL, Leong H et al. Polymorphisms identified through genome-wide association studies and their associations with type 2 diabetes in Chinese, Malays, and Asian-Indians in Singapore. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2010;95:390-7. doi: 10.1210/jc.2009-0688.
- Almawi WY, Nemr R, Keleshian SH, Echtay A, Saldanha FL, AIDoseri FA, Racoubian E. A replication study of 19 GWAS-validated type 2 diabetes at-risk variants in the Lebanese population. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2013;102: 117-22. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2013.09.001.
- Jing YL, Sun QM, Bi Y, Shen SM, Zhu DL. SLC30A8 polymorphism and type 2 diabetes risk: Evidence from 27 study groups. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2011;21:398-405. doi: 10.1016/j.numecd.2009.11.004.
- Cheng L, Zhang D, Zhou L, Zhao J, Chen B. Association between SLC30A8 rs13266634 polymorphism and type 2 diabetes risk: a meta-analysis. Med Sci Monit. 2015;21: 2178-89. doi: 10.12659/MSM.894052.
- Liu LY. Epidemiological survey of type 2 diabetes progression in the ethnic minorities. China Journal of Modern Medicine. 2012;22:62-6. (In Chinese)
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA Statement. BMJ. 2009;18:e123. doi: 10.1136/ bmj.b2535.
- 23. Consulation WHO. Definition, diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus and its complications. 1999; Available from: https://www.staff.ncl.ac.uk/philip.home/who_dmc. htm.
- Expert Committee on the Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus. American diabetes association clinical practice recommendations. Diabetes care. 2007;25:S1-S147. doi: 10.2337/diacare.25.2007.S1.
- 25. Wells GA, Shea BJ, O'Connell D, Robertson J, Peterson J, Welch V, Losos M, Tugwell P. The Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of non-randomized studies in meta-analysis. Applied Engineering in Agriculture. 2014;18:727-34.
- 26. Clarke GM, Anderson CA, Pettersson FH, Cardon LR, Morris AP, Zondervan KT. Basic statistical analysis in genetic case-control studies. Nature Protocols. 2011;6:121-33. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2010.182.
- 27. Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. BMJ. 2003;327: 557-60. doi: 10.1136/bmj.327.7414.557.
- Egger M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. BMJ. 1997;315:629-34.
- Begg CB, Mazumdar M. Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. Biometrics. 1994;50: 1088-101.
- 30. Duval S, Tweedie R. Trim and fill: a simple funnel-plotbased method of testing and adjusting for publication bias in meta-analysis. Biometrics. 2000;56:455-63. doi: 10.1111/j. 0006-341X.2000.00455.x.
- 31. Wang ZH, Zhang SH, Wang ZC, Gong LL, Li Rong, Ren W, Zheng RZ, Wang MR. Relationship of rs13266634 polymorphism in SLC30A8 (solute carrier family 30, member 8) gene with type 2 diabetes in Chinese Han

population. Shanghai Medical Journal. 2008;31:323-7. (In Chinese)

- 32. Wu Y, Li H, Loos RJ, Yu Z, Chen L, Pan A, Hu FB, Lin X. Common variants in CDKAL1, CDKN2A/B, IGF2BP2, SLC30A8, and HHEX/IDE genes are associated with type 2 diabetes and impaired fasting glucose in a Chinese Han population. Diabetes. 2008;57:2834-42. doi: 10.2337/db08-0047.
- 33. Xiang J, Li XY, Xu M, Hong J, Huang Y, Tan JR et al. Zinc transporter-8 gene (SLC30A8) is associated with type 2 diabetes in Chinese. J Clin Endocrinol Metabol. 2008;93: 4107-12. doi: 10.1210/jc.2008-0161.
- 34. Ma C, Sheng H, Ma J. Relationship of rs13266634 and rs11196218 polymorphism in solute carrier family 30, member 8 and transcription factor 7 like-2 genes with type 2 diabetes in Han population in southern China. Shanghai Medical Journal. 2009;32:1086-91. (In Chinese)
- 35. Hu C, Zhang R, Wang CR, Wang J, Ma XJ, Lu JY. PPARG, KCNJ11, CDKAL1, CDKN2A-CDKN2B, IDE-KIF11-HHEX, IGF2BP2 and SLC30A8 are associated with type 2 diabetes in a Chinese population. PLoS One. 2009;4:e7643. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0007643.
- 36. Han XY, Luo YY, Ren Q, Zhang XY, Wang F, Sun XQ, Zhou XH, Ji LN. Implication of genetic variants near SLC30A8, HHEX, CDKAL1, CDKN2A/B, IGF2BP2, FTO, TCF2, KCNQ1, and WFS1 in type 2 diabetes in a Chinese population. BMC Med Genet. 2010;11:1-9. doi: 10.1186/ 1471-2350-11-81.
- 37. Huang Q, Yin JY, Dai XP, Wu J, Chen X, Deng CS, Yu M. Association analysis of SLC30A8 rs13266634 and rs16889462 polymorphisms with type 2 diabetes mellitus and repaglinide response in Chinese patients. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. 2010;66:1207-15. doi: 10.1007/s00228-010-0882-6.
- 38. Ying L, Li P, Li C, Zhang B, Tang X, Zhang XJ et al. Association study of genetic variants in eight genes/loci with type 2 diabetes in a Han Chinese population. BMC Med Genet. 2010;11:1-8. doi: 10.1186/1471-2350-11-97.
- 39. Xu M, Bi YF, Xu Y, Yu B, Huang Y, Gu L et al. Combined effects of 19 common variations on type 2 diabetes in Chinese: results from two community-based studies. PLoS One 2010;5:e14002. doi: 1371/journal.pone.0014022.
- 40. Li XJ, Su Y, Yan CL, Zhang JJ, Gu L, Qin WB, Li CP, Li AZ, Zhao J. Association of rs13266634 polymorphism in SLC30A8 gene with type 2 diabetes in Han Population of Inner Mongolia. Progress in Modern Biomedicine. 2011;57: 2221-3.
- 41. Wang J, Li J, Deng S. The relationship between polymorphisms of solute carrier family 30, member 8 gene and type 2 diabetes. Chinese General Practice. 2011;4:1296-9. (In Chinese?)
- 42. Zheng XY, Ren W, Zhang HS, Liu JJ, Li SF, Li JC et al. Association of type 2 diabetes susceptibility genes (TCF7L2, SLC30A8, PCSK1 and PCSK2) and proinsulin conversion in a Chinese population. Molecular Biology Reports. 2012; 39:17-23.
- 43. Tam CH, Ho JS, Wang Y, Lam VK, Lee HM, Jiang GZ et al. Use of net reclassification improvement (NRI) method confirms the utility of combined genetic risk score to predict type 2 diabetes. PLoS One. 2013;8:e83093.
- 44. Chen G, Xu Y, Lin Y, Lai XL, Yao J, Huang B et al. Association study of genetic variants of 17 diabetes-related genes/loci and cardiovascular risk and diabetic nephropathy in the Chinese She population. J Diabetes. 2013; 5:136-45. doi: 10.1111/1753-0407.12025.
- 45. Zhang S, Liu J, Liu X. The research of relationship between SLC30A8 gene rs13266634C/T polymorphism and type 2

diabetes mellitus risk in the Dongxiang nationality versus the Moselm nationality in Gansu. Chin J Diabetes. 2014;22: 590-3.

- 46. Zhang S, Liu J, Guo Ll, Guo Q, Ma X, Liu J. Relationship of SLC30 A8 gene rs13266634 polymorphism and risk of type 2 diabetes in Han and Muslim populations. Chinese Journal of Gerontology. 2015;35:898-900. (In Chinese)
- 47. Shan ZL, Bao W, Zhang Y, Rong Y, Wang X, Jin YL et al. Interactions between zinc transporter-8 gene (SLC30A8) and plasma zinc concentrations for impaired glucose regulation and type 2 diabetes. Diabetes. 2014;63:1796-803. doi: 10.2337/db13-0606.
- Chen Y, Zhao Y, Liu GF. Relationship of SLC30A8, CDKN2A/2B, HHEX, TCF7L2 polymorphism and risk of type 2 diabetes in northeast Han population. Chinese Journal of Gerontology. 2015;35:4201-4. (In Chinese)
- 49. Liu Y, Wang ZY, Chi ZH, Wang T. Research on Correlation between rs13266634 C/T SNP of SLC30A8 Gene and Susceptibility to type 2 diabetes mellitus. Journal of China Medical University. 2015;44:494-7. (In Chinese)
- 50. Zhao LJ, Xu KF, Yang T, Dai H, Ma BX, Ji L et al. Relationship between SLC30A8 and PTPRD gene polymorphisms and type 2 diabetes in middle aged and elderly people in Nanjing area. Int J Endocrinol Metab. 2015; 35:145-8.
- 51. Qian Y, Lu F, Dong MH, Lin YD, Li HZ, Dai JC, Jin GF, Hu ZB, Shen HB. Cumulative effect and predictive value of genetic variants associated with type 2 diabetes in Han Chinese: a case-control study. PLoS One 2015;10: e0116537-e37. doi: 1371/journal.pone.0116537.
- 52. Su YX, Ma Y, Yao H, Zhu J, Wang TT, Wang ZQ, Ma Q, Wang L, Ding LL. Association between polymorphisms of SLC30A8 and their interaction and type 2 diabetes in Uyhgur nationality. Chin J Diabetes. 2016;24:12-6. (In Chinese)
- 53. Li HX, Gan W, Lu L, Dong X, Han XY, Hu C et al. A genome-wide association study identifies GRK5 and RASGRP1 as type 2 diabetes loci in Chinese Hans. Diabetes. 2013;62:291-8. doi: 10.2337/db12-0454.
- 54. Ruchat SM, Elks CE, Loos RJ, Vohl MC, Weisnagel SJ, Rankinen T, Bouchard C, Pérusse L. Evidence of interaction between type 2 diabetes susceptibility genes and dietary fat intake for adiposity and glucose homeostasis-related phenotypes. J Nutrigenet Nutrigenomics. 2009;2:225-34. doi: 10.1159/000 259341.
- 55. Patel CJ, Rong C, Keiichi K, Ioannidis JPA, Butte AJ. Systematic identification of interaction effects between genome- and environment-wide associations in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Hum Genet. 2013;132:495-508. doi: 10. 1007/s00439-012-1258-z.
- 56. Mathias RA, Deepa M, Deepa R, Wilson AF, Mohan V. Heritability of quantitative traits associated with type 2 diabetes mellitus in large multiplex families from South India. Metabolism. 2009;58:1439-45. doi: 10.1016/j.metabol. 2009.04.041.
- 57. Maruthur NM, Mitchell BD. Zinc-rs13266634 and the arrival of diabetes pharmacogenetics: the "Zinc Mystique". Diabetes. 2014;63:1463-4. doi: 10.2337/db14-0151.
- 58. Khan IA, Poornima S, Jahan P, Rao P, Hasan Q. Type 2 diabetes mellitus and the association of candidate genes in Asian Indian population from Hyderabad, India. J Clin Diagn Res. 2015;9:GC01-5. doi: 10.7860/JCDR/2015/14471. 6855.
- 59. Turki A, Al-Zaben GS, Khirallah M, Marmouch H, Mahjoub T, Almawi WY. Gender-dependent associations of CDKN2A/2B, KCNJ11, POLI, SLC30A8, and TCF7L2 variants with type 2 diabetes in (North African) Tunisian

Arabs. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2014;103:e40-3. doi: 10. 1016/j.diabres.2013.12.040.

- 60. Ioannidis JP, Trikalinos TA, Khoury MJ. Implications of small effect sizes of individual genetic variants on the design and interpretation of genetic association studies of complex diseases. Am J Epidemiol. 2006;164:609-14. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwj259.
- 61. Qiu FY, Wang YN, Liu HR, Guan J, Wang Y, Song H. Association of genetic polymorphisms of HSP7O family with essential hypertension in Han and Muslim populations in Ningxia. Journal of Ningxia Medical University. 2015;37:

1396-400. (In Chinese)

- 62. Si CJ, Xing Y, Du WC, Liu J, Xue WT. Association between C1GALT1, DEFA polymorphisms and its susceptibility toIgA nephropathy in Chinese Han and Musilum population in Gansu Province. Chinese Journal of Immunology. 2017;33:746-9. (In Chinese)
- Thakkinstian A, Mcelduff P, D'Este C, Duffy D, Attia J. A method for meta-analysis of molecular association studies. Stat Med. 2005;24:1291-306. doi: 10.1002/sim.2010.
- 64. Munafò MR, Flint J. Meta-analysis of genetic association studies. Trends Genet. 2004;20:439-44.

Article	Year	No. of	No. of	No. of	No. of	No. of subjects				Genetic mod	els		NOS
		studies	Ethnic groups	Chinese studies	ethnic minority groups	(Cases/Controls)	C/T	CC/TT	CC/CT	CT/TT	CC+CT/TT	CC/CT+TT	-
No 1. SLC30A8 poly- morphism and type 2 diabetes risk: Evidence from 27 study groups	2009	27	3	2	0	2198/2701	V	×	×	×	×	×	×
No 2. Association be- tween SLC30A8 rs13266634 Polymorphism and Type 2 Diabetes Risk: A Me- ta-Analysis	2015	39	3	11	0	NP	V	\checkmark	1	V	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
No 3. The present meta- analysis	2018	25	1	25	4	30636/31649	\checkmark						

Supplementary table 1. Comparison between the present meta-analysis and existing researches

NP: not provided; NOS: Newcastle-Ottawa Scale.

The number of subjects listed in the table refers to the number of Chinese people. (The total number of article No 1. were 42609/69564).

 \times : The existing researches did not performed the corresponding analysis; $\sqrt{\cdot}$: The existing researches performed the corresponding analysis

Supplementary table 2. PRISMA 2009 Checklist

Section/topic	#	Checklist item	Reported on page #
Title			
Title	1	Identify the report as a systematic review, meta-analysis, or both.	1
Abstract			
Structured summary	2	Provide a structured summary including, as applicable: background; objectives; data sources; study eligibility criteria, participants, and interventions; study appraisal and synthesis methods; results; limitations; conclusions and implications of key findings; systematic review registration number.	2
Introduction			
Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known.	3-4
Objectives	4	Provide an explicit statement of questions being addressed with reference to participants, interventions, comparisons, outcomes, and study design (PICOS).	5
Methods			
Protocol and regis- tration	5	Indicate if a review protocol exists, if and where it can be accessed (e.g., Web address), and, if available, provide registration information including registra- tion number.	Protocol not registered
Eligibility criteria	6	Specify study characteristics (e.g., PICOS, length of follow-up) and report characteristics (e.g., years considered, language, publication status) used as criteria for eligibility, giving rationale.	5

Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, Group TP. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. Revista Española De Nutrición Humana Y Dietética 2009; 18:e123

Supplementary table S. PRISMA 2009 Checklist (cont.)

Section/topic	#	Checklist item	Reported on page #
Methods			
Information sources	7	Describe all information sources (e.g., databases with dates of coverage, contact with study authors to identify additional studies) in the search and date last searched.	5-6
Search	8	Present full electronic search strategy for at least one database, including any limits used, such that it could be repeated.	Figure 1
Study selection	9	State the process for selecting studies (i.e., screening, eligibility, included in systematic review, and, if applicable, included in the meta-analysis).	5
Data collection process	10	Describe method of data extraction from reports (e.g., piloted forms, independently, in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators.	6
Data items	11	List and define all variables for which data were sought (e.g., PICOS, funding sources) and any assumptions and simplifications made.	6
Risk of bias in individual studies	12	Describe methods used for assessing risk of bias of individual studies (including specification of whether this was done at the study or outcome level), and how this information is to be used in any data synthesis.	6-7
Summary measures	13	State the principal summary measures (e.g., risk ratio, difference in means).	7
Synthesis of results	14	Describe the methods of handling data and combining results of studies, if done, including measures of consistency (e.g., l ²) for each meta-analysis.	6-7
Risk of bias across studies	15	Specify any assessment of risk of bias that may affect the cumulative evidence (e.g., publication bias, selective reporting within studies).	7
Additional analyses	16	Describe methods of additional analyses (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression), if done, indicating which were pre-specified.	7
Results			
Study selection	17	Give numbers of studies screened, assessed for eligibility, and included in the review, with reasons for exclusions at each stage, ideally with a flow diagram.	7-8 Figure 1
Study characteristics	18	For each study, present characteristics for which data were extracted (e.g., study size, PICOS, follow-up period) and provide the citations.	8
			Table 1
Risk of bias within studies	19	Present data on risk of bias of each study and, if available, any outcome level assessment (see item 12).	9-10
			Figure 3
	20		Figure S8
ies	20	and confidence intervals, ideally with a forest plot.	Figure 2 Figures S2-7
Synthesis of results	21	Present results of each meta-analysis done, including confidence intervals and measures of consistency.	Figures 2-3
Risk of bias across studies	22	Present results of any assessment of risk of bias across studies (see Item 15).	Figure 4
Additional analysis	23	Give results of additional analyses, if done (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression [see Item 16]).	Figure S8 Table 2
Discussion			
Summary of evidence	24	Summarize the main findings including the strength of evidence for each main outcome; consider their relevance to key groups (e.g., healthcare providers, users, and policy makers)	10-12
Limitations	25	Discuss limitations at study and outcome level (e.g., risk of bias), and at review-level (e.g., incomplete retrieval of identified research, reporting	12
		bias).	
Conclusions	26	Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence, and implications for future research.	12
Funding	27	Describe sources of funding for the systematic review and other support (e.g., supply of data); role of funders for the systematic review.	13

Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, Group TP. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. Revista Española De Nutrición Humana Y Dietética 2009; 18:e123

A	Newcastle-Ottawa Scale										
Author (multication year)		Sele	ction		Compa	arability		Exposu	re	Total	
(publication year)	1†	2‡	3§	4¶	5††	6‡‡	7 ^{§§}	8¶¶	9†††		
Wang et al (2008)	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	6	
Wu et al (2008)	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	7	
Xiang et al (2008)	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	7	
Ma et al (2009)	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	7	
Hu et al (2009)	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	7	
Han et al (2010)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	8	
Huang et al (2010)	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	6	
Lin et al (2010)	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	6	
Tan et al (2010)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	8	
Xu et al (2010)	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	7	
Li et al (2011)	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	6	
Wang et al (2011)	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	7	
Zheng et al (2012)	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	7	
Li et al (2012)	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	7	
Tam et al (2013)	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	7	
Chen et al (2013)	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	7	
Zhang et al (2014)	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	6	
Zhang et al (2015)	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	6	
Chang et al (2014)	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	7	
Shan et al (2014)	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	7	
Chen et al (2015)	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	7	
Liu et al (2015)	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	6	
Zhao et al (2015)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	8	
Qian et al (2015)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	8	
Su et al (2016)	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	6	

Supplementary table 3. Quality assessment of included case-control studies

Selection: [†]Is the case definition adequate; [‡]Representativeness of the case; [§]Selection of controls; [¶]Definition of Controls. Comparability: ^{††}Comparability of cases and controls on the basis of the design or analysis (adjusted for gender, age, BMI); ^{‡‡}Comparability of cases and controls on the basis of the design or analysis (adjusted for a second important factor). Exposure: ^{§§}Ascertainment of exposure; ^{¶¶}Same method of ascertainment for cases and controls; ^{†††}Non-response rate.



Supplementary figure 1. Mechanism of Zinc transport 8 (ZnT8) in insulin secretion process. ZnT-8 is a trans-membrane protein containing 369 amino acids which is expressed exclusively in pancreatic islet β cells. A H⁺ pump on the secretory vesicle membrane of the islet cells transports H⁺ to the vesicle, resulting in a poor H⁺ concentration inside and outside the vesicle. With this concentration difference, ZnT8 transports 2 H⁺ and simultaneously transfers 1 Zn²⁺. Two Zn²⁺ and six insulin monomers constitute hexamers specifically stored in vesicles.

Study	
D	OR (95% CI)
Han	
Wang,2008	1.36 (1.11, 1.67)
Wu,2008	1.09 (0.93, 1.27)
Xiang,2008	1.22 (1.04, 1.43)
Ma,2009	1.59 (1.19, 2.12)
Hu,2009	1.25 (1.14, 1.37)
Han,2010	1.19 (1.04, 1.37)
Huang,2010	1.26 (1.01, 1.58)
Lin,2010	1.24 (1.12, 1.37)
Tan,2010 -	- 0.98 (0.88, 1.09)
Xu,2010	
Li,2011	1.64 (1.13, 2.40)
Wang,2011	1.89 (1.45, 2.46)
Zheng,2012	1.12 (0.84, 1.50)
Li,2012	1.18 (1.07, 1.29)
Tam,2013	1.22 (1.13, 1.32)
Zhang,2015	1.54 (1.09, 2.16)
Chang,2014	1.30 (1.17, 1.45)
Shan,2014	1.27 (1.08, 1.51)
Chen,2015	1.18 (0.80, 1.73)
Liu,2015	1.55 (1.11, 2.17)
Zhao,2015	+ 1.10 (1.00, 1.21)
Qian,2016	+ 1.13 (1.01, 1.26)
Subtotal (I-squared = 55.7%, p = 0.001)	1.22 (1.17, 1.28)
Minority	
Chen,2013	1.48 (1.10, 2.01)
Zhang,2014	1.62 (1.13, 2.31)
Zhang,2015	1.56 (1.09, 2.22)
Su,2016	1.19 (1.03, 1.36)
Subtotal (I-squared = 36.6%, p = 0.193)	1.37 (1.16, 1.62)
Overall (I-squared = 53.6%, p = 0.001)	1.23 (1.18, 1.29)
5 1	15

Supplementary figure 2. Forest plot showing the association between the rs13266634 polymorphism and T2DM under the allele genetic model (C/T).

ID		OR (95% CI)
Han	1	
Mana 2008		1 75 (1 17 2 61)
Viang 2008		1.75 (1.17, 2.01)
Ma 2000		2.63 (1.41 4.87)
Ma,2009		2.03(1.41, 4.07)
Huang 2010		1.42 (1.09, 1.04)
Yu 2010		1.34 (1.00, 2.33)
Li 2011		3 38 (1 27 8 00)
Zheng 2012		0.30(1.27, 0.33) 0.70(0.43, 1.43)
Zhang 2015		2 21 (1 07 4 55)
Shan 2014		1 53 (1 11 2 00)
Chen 2015		1.00 (1.11, 2.03)
Liu 2015		1.46 (0.00, 0.24)
Zhao 2015		1.40 (1.10, 1.00)
Oian 2015		1.25 (1.03, 1.47)
Subtotal (I-squared = 31.9%, p = 0.121)	•	1.41 (1.29, 1.53)
Minority		
Zhang 2014		2 53 (1 21 5 26)
Zhang 2015		2 24 (1 09 4 60)
Su 2016		1.63 (1.16, 2.30)
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0% p = 0.475)		1 83 (1 38 2 43)
Heterogeneity between groups: $p = 0.083$		
Overall (I-squared = 32.2% , p = 0.099)	\ \otimes	1.44 (1.32, 1.56)
I	1 15	

Supplementary figure 3. Forest plot showing the association between the rs13266634 polymorphism and T2DM under the additive genetic model (CC/TT).

Study		/0
ID	OR (95% CI)	Weight
Han		
Wang,2008	1.07 (0.87, 1.32)	6.09
Han,2010	1.14 (1.02, 1.27)	14.54
Huang,2010 -	0.95 (0.76, 1.20)	5.24
Li,2011	1.01 (0.66, 1.56)	1.68
Wang,2011	1.23 (0.92, 1.63)	3.56
Zheng,2012	0.94 (0.70, 1.26)	3.40
Zhang,2015	1.57 (1.11, 2.21)	2.55
Chen,2015	1.12 (0.80, 1.57)	2.65
Zhao,2015	1.05 (0.97, 1.14)	19.20
Qian,2015	1.02 (0.94, 1.11)	18.77
Subtotal (I-squared = 12.5%, p = 0.328)	1.07 (1.01, 1.13)	77.68
Minority		
Zhang,2014	■ 1.52 (1.06, 2.19)	2.31
Zhang,2015	1.44 (1.03, 2.03)	2.62
Su,2016		17.38
Subtotal (I-squared = 70.7%, p = 0.033)	1.26 (0.95, 1.66)	22.32
Overall (I-squared = 30.5%, p = 0.140)	1.08 (1.02, 1.15)	100.00
.452	1 2.21	

Supplementary figure 4. Forest plot showing the association between the rs13266634 polymorphism and T2DM under the additive genetic model (CC/CT).





Study		
ID		OR (95% CI)
Han		
Wang,2008	l i -	1.30 (0.95, 1.78)
Ma,2009		2.47 (1.57, 3.87)
Han,2010	+	1.30 (1.08, 1.56)
Huang,2010	+-	1.12 (0.79, 1.59)
Lin,2010	÷	1.32 (1.09, 1.60)
Li,2011	- -	1.23 (0.67, 2.25)
Wang,2011	⊢ ⊷	1.89 (1.24, 2.86)
Zheng,2012	- + ·	0.89 (0.56, 1.41)
Zhang,2015	⊢ ⊷	2.11 (1.26, 3.55)
Chen,2015	- +	1.26 (0.72, 2.18)
Liu,2015	└─ ╋───	2.19 (1.30, 3.69)
Zhao,2015	•	1.27 (1.05, 1.28)
Qian,2015	*	1.09 (0.95, 1.25)
Subtotal (I-squared = 37.6%, p = 0.083)	1	1.23 (1.16, 1.31)
Minority		
Zhang,2014		2.11 (1.22, 3.65)
Zhang,2015	.	1.96 (1.15, 3.35)
Su,2016		2.64 (0.88, 7.94)
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.931)	\diamond	2.06 (1.26, 2.85)
Heterogeneity between groups: $p = 0.043$		
Overall (I-squared = 36.1%, p = 0.074)	4	1.24 (1.17, 1.32)
	0 1 2	

Supplementary figure 6. Forest plot showing the association between the rs13266634 polymorphism and T2DM under the additive genetic model (CC/CT+TT).

	1.63 (1.15, 2.30)
	1.51 (0.97, 2.35)
÷	1.24 (0.98, 1.58)
	1.61 (1.13, 2.31)
÷	1.31 (1.11, 1.53)
•	3.33 (1.38, 8.04)
	2.82 (1.80, 4.42)
-+	0.78 (0.46, 1.33)
	1.41 (0.74, 2.66)
- 	1.24 (0.57, 2.69)
	1.45 (0.78, 2.71)
+	1.18 (1.02, 1.37)
+	1.22 (1.03, 1.45)
1	1.25 (1.15, 1.35)
.	1.66 (0.88, 3.14)
⊢ •−−−	1.55 (0.83, 2.92)
⊢	1.96 (0.99, 3.86)
\diamond	1.68 (1.00, 2.36)
4	1.26 (1.16, 1.35)
-	

Supplementary figure 7. Forest plot showing the association between the rs13266634 polymorphism and T2DM under the additive genetic model (CC+CT/TT).



Supplementary figure 8. Funnel plot of the meta-analysis of the rs13266634 polymorphism and T2DM under two genetic models.(A): CC vs. CT. (B): CT vs. TT.