

Original Article

Nutritional status and feeding practices in gastrointestinal surgery patients at Bach Mai Hospital, Hanoi, Vietnam

Lorraine S Young RD, MS¹, Pham Thi Thu Huong MD, PhD², Nguyen Thi Lam MD, PhD², Nghiem Nguyet Thu MD, PhD², Ha Thi Van RD², Nguyen Lien Hanh MD², Le Danh Tuyen MD, PhD², Dinh Thi Kim Lien MD³, Tran Hieu Hoc MD, PhD⁴, Chu Thi Tuyet MD, MS³, Nguyen Quoc Anh MD, PhD⁴, Elizabeth G Henry MHS¹, Carine M Lenders MD, MS, ScD¹, Kathleen M Gura Pharm D, BCNSP, FASHP, FPPAG⁵, Sherman J Bigornia PhD¹, Caroline M Apovian MD¹, Thomas R Ziegler MD⁶

¹Boston University Medical Center and School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

²National Institute of Nutrition, Hanoi, Vietnam

³Clinical Nutrition Center, Bach Mai Hospital, Hanoi Vietnam

⁴Department of Surgery, Bach Mai Hospital, Hanoi Vietnam

⁵Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

⁶Division of Endocrinology, Metabolism and Lipids, Department of Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia, USA

Background and Objectives: The nutritional status and hospital feeding practices of surgical patients in Vietnam are not well documented. Based on a cross-sectional study at Bach Mai Hospital (BMH), the prevalence of malnutrition was found to be 33% in the surgical ward using a body mass index (BMI) < 18.5 kg/m². We conducted an observational study over a three month period to evaluate the feeding practices in the gastrointestinal (GI) surgery ward at Bach Mai Hospital (BMH) in Hanoi, Vietnam. **Methods and Study Design:** Investigators from the U.S. and the Vietnamese National Institute of Nutrition (NIN) enrolled 72 subjects admitted for elective GI surgery in an observational study at BMH. Baseline anthropometrics and changes over time, body mass index (BMI), Subjective Global Assessment (SGA) and daily kcal and protein intake from oral diet, tube feeding, and parenteral nutrition (PN) from admission until discharge were documented. **Results:** A total of 50% of subjects scored a B or C on the SGA; 48% of subjects had a BMI < 18.5, while mean mid upper arm circumference was in the low-normal range (24±4 cm). Nearly all patients (98%) were given PN postoperatively, with oral feeding starting on an average of postoperative day 4. Only one patient was tube fed. Mean daily total calorie intake was 15 kcal/kg/day and protein intake was 0.61 g/kg/day during hospitalization. Micronutrient supplementation was minimal in subjects receiving PN. **Conclusions:** Hospital malnutrition in surgical patients in Vietnam is a significant problem, peri-operative feeding appears suboptimal and use of early postoperative PN was routine.

Key Words: enteral nutrition, malnutrition, subjective global assessment, parenteral nutrition, Vietnam

INTRODUCTION

Patients requiring surgery for gastrointestinal (GI) disorders are often malnourished upon admission to the hospital. Despite inconsistencies in the assessment of nutritional status, the incidence of malnutrition in developed countries is estimated to be in the range of 30-55% of hospital admissions.¹⁻³ Preoperative weight loss due to intolerance to oral foods, nausea, vomiting and/or diarrhea is common in patients presenting for GI surgery. Providing adequate nutrition support as oral supplementation, enteral tube feeding and/or parenteral nutrition (PN) in the peri-operative period, especially in malnourished patients, positively affects clinical outcomes.⁴⁻⁶

The nutritional status and feeding practices of surgical

patients in countries in transition such as Vietnam are poorly documented. Cross-sectional surveys have been performed at the community level to define nutritional status in both urban and rural areas of Vietnam,⁷⁻⁹ but, to our knowledge, little is known regarding nutritional status or specialized nutrition support practices in hospitalized

Corresponding Author: Lorraine S Young, Clinical Nutrition Manager, Boston Medical Center, 88 East Newton Street, Boston, Ma 02118, USA.

Tel: 617-638-8597; Fax: 617-638-8599

Email: Lorrie.young@bmc.org

Manuscript received 09 March 2015. Initial review completed 07 May 2015. Revision accepted 15 June 2015.

doi: 10.6133/apjcn.092015.15

patients. One available cross-sectional study, performed in the Mekong river delta, used the Subjective Global Assessment (SGA) in 274 hospitalized patients who had major abdominal surgery. In this study, the prevalence of malnutrition was very high (78%; SGA class B and C combined) possibly due to the high prevalence of cancer in this cohort.¹⁰

As a component of the Abbott Fund Institute of Nutrition Science (AFINS) project to develop clinical nutrition programs in Vietnam, investigators from Boston University, Emory University, and the National Institute of Nutrition (NIN) in Hanoi initially performed a cross-sectional study to determine the prevalence of malnutrition among a broad cross-section of hospitalized patients at Bach Mai Hospital (BMH) in Hanoi. BMH is a 1900+ bed hospital with an established Clinical Nutrition Center (CNC). The results of this earlier study identified the prevalence of malnutrition in adults to average 33.3% with the highest prevalence at 50% in the surgical ward.¹¹ Thus, we hypothesized that current feeding protocols may be inadequate in specific wards of the hospital and designed this observational study to evaluate feeding practices in the general GI surgery ward. A major aim was to generate pilot data to inform development of comprehensive enteral and parenteral nutrition support strategies at BMH.

METHODS

Study population

The study was approved by the medical ethics committee of NIN and the Internal Review Board of Boston University and was conducted by NIN personnel in cooperation with the BMH Clinical Nutrition Center (CNC) clinicians and the subject's individual surgeons between October 2011 and January 2012. All consecutive patients who were ≥ 18 years of age admitted to BMH for elective non-laparoscopic abdominal operations and whom signed the informed consent form were included in the study. Abdominal surgery did include surgery on the stomach, liver, pancreas, gallbladder, small intestine, colon and rectum (Table 1). Exclusion criteria were those who underwent an emergent or acute abdominal operation; patients requiring postoperative transfer to the ICU; pregnancy; or when pertinent baseline endpoints were unavailable.

Study methods

In general, we incorporated the following integrated approaches: (1) face-to-face communications and in-country training with our Vietnamese colleagues (nutrition specialists, pharmacists, nurses, surgeons, hospital administrative leadership); (2) prospective observations of current feeding practices in a specific patient subset previously determined to be at high risk for malnutrition;¹¹ (3) gaining culturally-specific information on patient and family preferences relevant to feeding; and (4) US-based training of Vietnamese collaborators and hospital leadership on strategies to optimize hospital enteral and parenteral nutrition support. The Vietnamese investigators were medical doctors and technical dietitians from the NIN in Hanoi. All investigators were trained in study procedures by the senior research personnel at NIN who were directly involved in this trial before data collection or they were

given further guidance by AFINS investigators during data collection as needed.

Nutritional status assessment

Anthropometric measurements. The nutritional status of all subjects was assessed preoperatively as soon as feasible after hospital admission. This assessment included anthropometric measurements [body weight, height and mid upper arm circumference (MUAC)]. Body weight was measured using a Leica electronic scale with precision within 100 g. Every attempt was made to measure and record body weight at least weekly during the subject's hospitalization. Standing height was measured with a stadiometer board. Body weight loss prior to admission, if any, was assessed by asking patients for their estimated weight loss over both a two-month and six-month period prior to admission, in kilograms. It is important to note that not all weights were actually measured at home and we asked the patients to estimate their weight loss and categorize as mild, moderate or severe for weight loss at two months and six months prior to admission using a sub-item from the SGA. The percentage of weight loss during the hospital stay was calculated using the following formula: post-operative body weight (kg) subtracted from pre-operative body weight (kg), to give an overall weight change in kilograms, divided by pre-operative body weight times 100. Body mass index [BMI as $\text{kg body weight}/\text{height (m)}^2$] was calculated and classified based on the World Health Organization (WHO) criteria^{12,13} for BMI as underweight < 18.5 ; normal 18.5-24.9; overweight 25-29.9; and obesity $\geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$, respectively. WHO recommends retaining the BMI classifications used as international classifications rather than using ranges for Asian populations. MUAC was measured on the non-dominant arm at the midpoint between the tip of the acromial process of the scapula and the olecranon process of the ulna. A non-stretchable flexible tape and three measurements were taken by trained investigators to the nearest 0.1 cm and averaged.^{14,15}

Subjective Global Assessment (SGA). The SGA tool¹⁶ was previously evaluated for use by Vietnamese health professionals at BMH by the AFINS investigators and showed fair inter-observer agreement in this observational study (Kappa=0.36, 95% confidence interval (0.19 to 0.53, $p < 0.001$). SGA was performed before surgery based on gastrointestinal signs and symptoms, recent weight change (at 6 months and 2 weeks), change of dietary intake, nutrition-related stress, and clinical examination by CNC investigators with prior training by AFINS investigators. The subjects were classified in one of three categories: well-nourished (A), moderate or suspected malnutrition (B) and severely malnourished (C). The tool was modified slightly to make the interpretation as easy as possible for the Vietnamese clinicians.¹⁶

Dietary intake (oral, tube food). The patient and/or family members were interviewed about food the patient consumed during each hospital day using the traditional 24-hour recall method by trained NIN investigators.¹⁷ The dietary intake of the patient was collected continuously from one day before surgery until discharge by NIN per-

sonnel and recorded in the case report form (CRF). The investigators included all sources of food the patients consumed, including that prepared by the CNC in the hospital kitchen or food brought in to the hospital by family members. Data on dietary intake was analyzed to generate intake of specific macronutrients using software developed by Vietnam NIN based on Vietnam Food Composition Tables.¹⁸

Parenteral nutrition

All prescribed parenteral fluids (i.e. PN, hydration fluids, intravenous medications) for patients from the day of their operation to the day of discharge were recorded in the CRFs. This information was obtained from the patient's medical record and the intake and output records available for the patient. All products utilized were recorded, including the nutritional composition (i.e. percent dextrose or amino acids and grams fat) and the total volume administered to the patient's for each hospital day. Additionally, the venous access site of the patient (peripheral or central venous catheter), and the duration of parenteral nutrition (what day started after surgery, number of days administered) were recorded.

Clinical evaluation and postoperative complications

The investigators also recorded specific clinical parameters related to feeding tolerance and gastrointestinal function on a daily basis from the day of surgery to hospital discharge. This information was obtained directly from the patients, the medical record and/or physician notes. This documentation included clinical signs used to assess food tolerance and gastrointestinal symptoms (i.e. nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain, etc.).

Postoperative complications were recorded. These included infectious complications as defined by the consensus of the Society of Critical Care Medicine,¹⁹ as the association of leukocytosis (>10000 cells/mm³ or $> 15\%$ young forms), fever (temperature <35.5 C or >38.5 V), or increased C-reactive protein (CRP) concentrations in serum, with purulent sputum, microorganisms at bronchioalveolar lavage, or new infiltrate on chest x-ray, as pneumonia; microorganisms in the urine ($\geq 10^6$ on urine culture), as urinary tract infection; $>10^5$ microorganisms on biopsy, as wound infection or, two concomitant positive blood cultures, as bloodstream infection. Antibiotics were recorded if prescribed for postoperative infection. The condition of the wound (dehiscence, leakage, bleeding, infection) was also monitored and recorded daily.

Pertinent laboratory tests, such as electrolytes, glucose, renal function and complete blood count, drawn on each patient were recorded.

Statistical analysis

The data analysis for dietary intakes in this paper was generated using SAS® software, Version 9 of the SAS System for Windows (SAS Institute 2011).²⁰ Other data analysis was performed using Stata® 11.0 software (StataCorp. 2009).²¹ Categorical data were expressed as n (%). Continuous data with a normal distribution were expressed as mean \pm SD, and, when continuous data were not normally distributed, expressed as median (25th-75th percentile). We categorized patients as having lost $<5\%$

or $\geq 5\%$ of their body weight during hospitalization for additional analysis. Between-group comparisons were made using the chi-square test, Fisher's Exact test, student's *t*-test, or Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test as appropriate.

RESULTS

The sample analyzed

Over a three-month period, 72 consecutive patients were enrolled in this pilot trial. The average age was 56.1 \pm 14.9, 48% were men and 52% women. Complete baseline and hospital anthropometric data was available on 46 patients, including both pre-operative height and body weight and post-operative body weight. Pre- and post-operative weights were available on 48 patients and eight of these included a recalled body weight. These subjects were included in the analysis. We examined the differences between those that had complete anthropometric measures (n=46) versus those with missing, mostly post-operative, anthropometric data (n=23) and there were no differences in age, sex, SGA and BMI risk nor MUAC.

Anthropometrics and SGA

Table 1 describes gender, type of surgery and pre- and post-operative anthropometrics and the length of hospital stay by percent weight loss during hospitalization. Seventy two percent of the patients had a diagnosis of cancer; either gastric, rectal/colon or pancreas. Surgical procedures included: subtotal gastrectomies with gastrojejunostomies, rectal or partial colon resections. None of the patients had a non-resectable cancer. Other non-malignant diagnoses included cholecystitis, or biliary obstruction. No patient required ICU care postoperatively. Both BMI and MUAC decreased and LOS increased in the group with $\geq 5\%$ weight loss versus those with less weight loss, but these trends did not reach statistical significance.

Table 2 shows data from the patients with actual body weight measurements documenting a preadmission weight loss at 2 and 6 months prior to admission. A total of 31 of 48 at 2 months prior to admission and 32/48 at 6 months prior to admission had both measured their weight before admission and had post hospital weights measured to determine their percent weight loss during hospitalization. No relationship between preadmission weight loss and weight lost during hospitalization was noted. Overall, all patients lost some body weight during their hospitalization. The mean admission weight for the 48 patients who had both an admission (pre) weight and a discharge (post) was 47.2 \pm 8.7 kg and the mean post weight was 45.2 \pm 8.4 kg for a statistically significant weight loss which averaged 2 kg $p<0.001$.

A total of 20/71 patients (28%) were considered to be have moderate malnutrition (SGA=B) and 16/71 patients (22%) were considered to be severely malnourished (SGA=C). The prevalence of patients at nutrition risk in this sample is 50% and thus similar to that found in other international surgical studies.^{1,2}

Macronutrient intake

Oral food and administration of nutrient-containing intravenous fluid intake was recorded from postoperative day 1 until hospital discharge among patients who received

Table 1. General characteristics by percent body weight loss during hospitalization

	All n=48	<5% Weight loss n=26	≥5% Weight loss n=22	p-value
Age (years)	56.1±14.9	54.8±13.3	57.6±16.7	0.29
Pre-OP BMI (kg/m ²) [†]	18.8±2.7	18.9±2.7	18.7±2.8	0.83
Post-OP BMI (kg/m ²) [†]	18.0±2.8	18.5±2.5	17.4±2.8	0.18
Pre-OP MUAC (cm) [†]	23.3±3.3	23.6±2.6	22.8±3.3	0.37
Post-OP MUAC (cm) [†]	23.0±3.2	23.8±2.9	22.0±3.3	0.20
Length of stay (days) [†]	12.6±5.4	12.1±4.4	13.1±6.6	0.76
Time between pre- and post-OP body weight measurements (days)	9.1±3.1	8.5±3.2	9.8±3.1	0.10
Gender				
Men	23 (48)	14 (54)	9 (41)	0.40
Women	25 (52)	12 (46)	13 (59)	
Type of surgery [‡]				
Gastric	16 (33)	5 (19)	11 (50)	0.09
SI/colon	16 (33)	10 (38)	6 (27)	
GB/Liver/pancreas	16 (33)	11 (42)	5 (23)	

GB: gallbladder; OP: operation; MUAC: mid upper arm muscle circumference; SI: small intestine. % Weight loss was defined as pre-operative (on date of data collection) to post-operative weight loss during hospitalization.

Values represent mean±SD or N (%). Age was not normally distributed so a Wilcoxon rank-sum test used. Fisher's exact test was used for gender and type of surgery analysis.

[†]Sample sizes vary due to missing data as follows: pre- and post-OP BMI (n=46); pre-OP MUAC (n=44); post-OP MUAC (n=21); and length of stay (n=47)

[‡]One subject who underwent SI/Colon/Gastric surgery had missing data on body weight.

Table 2. Body weight loss before and during hospitalization

	All	Weight loss [†]		p-value
		<5%	≥5%	
Pre-admit weight loss				
2 months-measured (kg)	n=31 3.1±2.7	n=16 3.3±2.8	n=15 2.8±2.7	0.63
6 months-measured (kg)	n=32 2.9±4.2	n=16 3.4±4.9	n=16 2.4±3.4	0.92
Hospital stay weight loss	n=48	n=26	n=22	
Change in weight (kg)	-2.0±1.6	-0.98±1.2	-3.2±1.2	<0.01
Change in MUAC (cm) [†]	-0.79±1.2	-0.58±0.61	-1.1±1.8	0.94

MUAC: mid upper arm muscle.

Values are mean±SD.

[†]MUAC was available in 20 patients.

nutrition support (n=70/72). All intravenous feedings were infused peripherally, no central lines were placed for feeding. Table 3 lists the total energy and protein intakes and Table 4 the energy from parenteral and oral routes. Data are presented for postoperative days 1, 3, 5, and 7 and total for the entire length of stay.

The majority (~85%) of calories were delivered parenterally during the first 5 postoperative days. In general, mean total caloric intake for the subjects entire hospital stay was insufficient to meet the estimated energy requirements based on both Vietnamese and US RDA's as well as the known increased requirements associated with surgery. Oral caloric intake was ~300-350 kcal/day by day 7, or about 20-25% of estimated requirements of ~1400 kcal/day (30 kcal/kg for a 47 kg person based on the mean body weight). All but two patients were fed intravenously postoperatively; intravenous feedings started by postoperative day 0.7±0.9 and continued for an average of 6.1±2.6 days. Oral feeding was initiated on postoperative day 4.1±1.7 and only one subject received tube feeding. There was no difference in caloric and protein intakes in the groups that lost <5% of their body weight (n=26) versus those that lost ≥5% of their body

weight (n=22) during hospitalization (<5% 684±204 versus ≥5% 675±186 kcal/day, respectively, and 28±11 versus 28±10 grams protein/amino acid, respectively; each NS-data not shown). These caloric intakes were only ~50% of the total estimated energy requirements of the subjects. Oral diets were analyzed using the Vietnamese nutrient database developed by the NIN.¹⁸ Oral and/or intravenous vitamins and trace elements were administered sporadically, despite the daily administration of parenteral macronutrients.

Few postoperative complications were reported and included: pneumonia (n=1), surgical wound infection (n=2) and reoperation (n=1). No deaths occurred during the study period.

DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is the first study that describes hospital feeding practices among gastrointestinal surgical patients in Vietnam. Our group and others have published preliminary information on the nutritional status of patients hospitalized in southern Vietnam¹⁰ and at BMH in northern Vietnam,¹¹ respectively. Based on these limited data, the rate of surgical adult patients at nutrition risk is

Table 3. Postoperative intake of calories and protein

Dietary intake	Post-operative day				
	1 n=45	3 n=48	5 n=45	7 n=43	Total [†] n=48
Total energy (kcal)	604±294 600 (400-700)	757±367 703 (506-1034)	727±389 774 (376-1036)	674±356 585 (394-976.1)	680±194 664 (531-807)
Total energy (kcal/kg)	13±7 12 (9-16)	17±10 16 (12-23)	16±9 17 (8-23)	15±9 13 (8-20)	15±6 14 (11-18)
Total protein/amino acids (g)	19±20 12.9 (0-27.9)	25.3±16 25.8 (25-27.9)	32.1±19.3 28.9 (19.3-50)	35.1±21.1 29.9 (19.1-55.8)	28±10.2 26.9 (21.2-35.4)
Total protein/amino acids (g/kg)	0.39±0.41 0.36 (0-0.67)	0.56±0.39 0.57 (0.42-0.73)	0.69±0.42 0.7 (0.36-1.04)	0.76±0.47 0.63 (0.41-1.24)	0.61±0.26 0.60 (0.44-0.73)

Values represent mean±SD and median (25th-75th percentile).

[†]Total Intake is the average daily dietary intake that each patient received during post-operative care.

Table 4. Postoperative caloric intakes by parenteral or oral

Dietary intake by route	Post-operative day				
	1 n=45	3 n=48	5 n=45	7 n=43	Total n=48
Parenteral nutrition (kcal)	n=45 598±288 600 (400-700)	n=47 750±352 703 (503-1036)	n=40 682±352 652 (400-1036)	n=26 531±391 400 (200-1036)	n=48 546±210 548 (378-682)
Oral nutrition (kcal)	n=1 --	n=7 152±132 109 (64-198)	n=30 181±138 149 (72-264)	n=41 370±234 366 (179-504)	n=48 134±86 118 (68-195)

Values represent means±SD and median (25th-75th percentile) for patients receiving parenteral and or oral nutrition.

estimated at approximately 50%, and, if left unrecognized and untreated, many of these patients will continue to decline nutritionally.²²

In this pilot observational study, approximately 50 percent of the 71 patients were assessed as having some degree of malnutrition using the SGA tool. Of the initial 72 patients enrolled in this study, 27 patients (38%) were admitted with a BMI < 18.5 and the average MUAC at admission was 23.5 which has previously been described as a borderline low value.²³ The degree of weight loss prior to admission was more difficult to quantify, as only approximately 60 percent of patients had measured their weight at home, but those that did weigh themselves sustained a clinically significant degree of weight loss in the range of 5-7%. Not unexpectedly, there was a trend for the subset of patients undergoing gastric surgery to present with a slightly greater weight loss than the other gastrointestinal surgeries.

This pilot data clearly reveals that hospital malnutrition is prevalent and that hospital feeding practices in Vietnam are extremely varied and suboptimal, largely due to lack of standard operating procedures for specialized nutrition. In this trial, the large majority of gastrointestinal surgical patients are fed intravenously with various components of PN, but with no clear nutrition care plan to ensure macronutrient and, especially, micronutrients requirements are met. Multiple commercial brands of parenteral nutrition were used postoperatively (depending on surgeon preference), but none of which met the patient's energy and protein requirements. Additionally, micronutrients in the form of intravenous multivitamins were administered only occasionally and, as with PN, there was no clinical protocol or guidelines to manage utilization.

Enteral nutrition (tube feedings) was rarely used. There is an overall lack of available enteral products and feeding tube options in Vietnam and thus little knowledge as to how to utilize enteral feedings. Also, nutrition therapy is not reimbursed in Vietnam, and, with little commercial insurance, many patients are required to pay out of pocket. As opposed to current best practices in Western countries that favor early enteral feeding,²⁴ PN was generally started on the first postoperative day; patients are allowed to advance oral diet as tolerated, typically by day 4 postoperatively, and PN was typically discontinued a few days after the oral diet was started.

The BMH CNC consists of nutrition-trained physicians, a nurse manager, diet technicians (trained in community nutrition with limited experience in wards) and food service personnel. Physician nutritionists are consulted on complex nutrition cases in the hospital but typically do not recommend how every individual patient is fed. That is decided by the patient's primary hospital physician. In concert with best practice guideline training provided by the AFINS project, the roles and responsibilities of the CNC personnel have steadily expanded; consults are more frequent and recommendations for feeding are generally followed by the primary physicians.

As shown in Table 3, total energy and protein intakes were clearly suboptimal in this cohort and probably explains some of the body weight loss which occurred during the hospitalization in the majority of patients. This pilot observational study in elective surgical patients in-

forms the design of subsequent studies that focus on developing standard operating procedures for both EN and PN at BMH. Weight loss during hospitalization, even in elective surgery patients is not uncommon,²⁵ especially when the gastrointestinal tract is involved. It may often-times take up to ten days before patients are eating a "full" diet and this suboptimal intake, especially if coupled with pre-existing nutritional deficits is associated with increased morbidity, hospital length of stay and mortality.²⁶ Energy intakes in our small cohort never approached the estimated total energy requirements based on the Vietnamese Recommended Dietary Allowances (RDA).²⁷ Poor nutritional status upon discharge is also associated with an increased rate of hospital readmissions, which in the United States depending upon diagnosis, is not reimbursed.²⁸

The limitations to this study include the small sample size exacerbated by the lack of postoperative measurements on some patients and lack of complete data in additional subjects; thus generalizations regarding hospital-wide nutrition practices cannot be made. Developing and improving clinical research capabilities and capacity is one of the goals of the AFINS project, and we expect to see improvements in the accuracy of data collection in our prospective intervention trial. Further, our results cannot be generalized to elective GI surgery patients in other low-resource countries given country-specific hospital resources for nutrition support and different routines and capacities for medical and surgical care. However, our integrated training approaches as discussed in the Methods section, could be applied to observational and implementation studies in other developing countries.

The coordination of efforts from clinicians at BMH, the governmental NIN, and collaborative expert partners in the United States allowed us to perform this study. The AFINS project represents initial implementation research to empower the nutrition professionals at NIN and BMH to develop expertise and to emphasize to BMH physicians, nurses, other health professionals and hospital leadership the importance of nutritional assessment and care. Based on our results, we developed a pragmatic intervention trial designed to test the feasibility and clinical utility of comprehensive EN and PN feeding protocols in GI surgical patients at BMH. Such protocols are designed to safely improve macro- and micronutrient nutritional intake, standardize product utilization and costs, and ultimately improve patient outcomes in this major urban tertiary care hospital.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by a grant to Boston University from the Abbott Fund, (www.abbottfund.org), the foundation of the global healthcare company Abbott and grants from the National Institutes of Health P30 DK46200 (CL, CMA) and K24 DK096574 (TRZ). The Abbott Fund had no involvement in the study design, collection, analysis or interpretation of the data.

The Abbott Fund Institute of Nutrition Sciences was founded in 2009 and consists of a US-Vietnam partnership between academia, government, and foundation to support a largely unaddressed issue in Vietnam: Hospital Malnutrition. Boston University facilitated the creation of a partnership with Hanoi Medical University, Bach Mai Hospital (BMH), and the National Institute of Nutrition (NIN) in Hanoi, Vietnam, with

funding support from the Abbott Fund, the foundation of the global healthcare company Abbott. Since its inception, new alliances have been formed with Sargent College, Emory University and Harvard University in the USA and Nhi Dong #1 in Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam. The Abbott Fund had no involvement in the study design, collection, analysis or interpretation of the data.

AUTHOR DISCLOSURES

Carine Lenders received educational and research funding from the New Balance Foundation and K23DK082732 from the National Institutes of Health. Caroline Apovian MD participated on advisory boards for Amylin, Merck, Johnson and Johnson, Arena, Nutrisystem, Zafgen and Sanofi-Aventis, Orexigen, GI Dynamics and EnteroMedics. Caroline Apovian MD has received research funding from the National Institutes of Health (P30 DK046200), Lilly, Amylin, Aspire Bariatrics, GI Dynamics, Pfizer, Sanofi-Aventis, Orexigen, MetaProteomics, and the Dr Robert C and Veronica Atkins Foundation.

REFERENCES

- White JV, Guenter P, Jensen G, Malone A, Schofield M; Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics Malnutrition Work Group; A.S.P.E.N. Malnutrition Task Force; A.S.P.E.N. Board of Directors. Consensus statement of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics/American Society for parenteral and Enteral Nutrition: Characteristics recommended for the identification and documentation of adult malnutrition (undernutrition). *J Acad Nutr Diet*. 2012;112:730-8. doi: 10.1016/j.jand.2012.03.012.
- Hill GL, Blackett RL, Pickford I, Burkinshaw L, Young GA, Warren JV, Schorah CJ, Morgan DB. Malnutrition in surgical patients. An unrecognized problem. *Lancet*. 1977;1: 689-92. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(77)92127-4.
- Barker LA, Gout BS, Crowe TC. Hospital malnutrition: prevalence, identification and impact on patients and the healthcare system. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2011;8: 514-27. doi: 10.3390/ijerph8020514.
- Tappenden KA, Quatrara B, Parkhurst ML, Malone AM, Fanjiang G, Ziegler TR. Critical role of nutrition in improving quality of care: an interdisciplinary call to action to address adult hospital malnutrition. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr*. 2013;37:482-97. doi: 10.1016/j.jand.2013.05.015.
- Correia MI, Waitzberg DL. The impact of malnutrition on morbidity, mortality, length of hospital stay and costs evaluated through a multivariate model analysis. *Clin Nutr*. 2003;22:235-9. doi: 10.1016/S0261-5614(02)00215-7.
- Kirkland LL, Kashiwagi DT, Brantley S, Scheurer D, Varkey P. Nutrition in the hospitalized patient. *J Hosp Med*. 2013;8:52-8. doi: 10.1002/jhm.1969.
- Nguyen PH, Strizich G, Lowe A, Nguyen H, Pham H, Truong TV, Nguyen S, Martorell R, Ramakrishnan U. Food consumption patterns and associated factors among Vietnamese women of reproductive age. *Nutr J*. 2013;12: 126-36. doi: 10.1186/1475-2891-12-126.
- Thang NM, Popkin BM. Patterns of food consumption in Vietnam: effects on socioeconomic groups during an era of economic growth. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2004;58:145-53. doi: 10.1038/sj.ejcn.1601761.
- Kim SH, Hwang JY, Kim MK, Chung HW, Nguyet TT, Kim WY. Dietary factors related to body weight in adult Vietnamese in the rural area of Haiphong, Vietnam: the Korean Genome and Epidemiology Study (KoGES). *Nutr Res Pract*. 2010;4:235-42. doi: 10.4162/nrp.2010.4.3.235.
- Pham NV, Cox-Reijven PL, Wodzig WK, Greve JW, Soeters PB. SGA and measures for muscle mass and strength in surgical Vietnamese patients. *Nutrition*. 2007;23: 283-291. doi: 10.1016/j.nut.2006.12.012.
- Huong PT, Lam NT, Thu NN, Quyen TC, Lien DT, Anh NQ et al. Prevalence of malnutrition in patients admitted to a major urban tertiary care hospital in Hanoi, Vietnam. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr*. 2014;23:437-44. doi: 10.6133/apjcn.2014.23.3.19.
- Shetty PS, James WP. Body mass index. A measure of chronic energy deficiency in adults. *FAO Food Nutr Pap*. 1994;56:1-57.
- James WPT, Ferro-Luzzi A, Waterlow JC. Definition of chronic energy deficiency in adults. Report of a working party of the international dietary energy consultative group. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 1988;42:969-81.
- Weiner JS, Lourie JA. *Practical human biology*. London: Academic Press; 1981.
- Frisancho AR. New norms of upper limb fat and muscle areas for assessment of nutritional status. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 1981;34:2540-5.
- Detsky AS, Baker JP, O'Rourke K, Johnston N, Whitwell J, Mendelson RA, Jeejeebhoy KN. Predicting nutrition-associated complications for patients undergoing gastrointestinal surgery. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr*. 1987; 11:440-6. doi: 10.1177/0148607187011005440.
- Gibson RS. *Principles of nutritional assessment*. New York: Oxford University Press; 1990.
- National Institute of Nutrition. *Vietnam food composition table*. Hanoi, Vietnam: Medical Publisher; 2007.
- American College of Chest Physicians/Society of Critical care medicine Consensus Conference. Definitions for sepsis and organ failure and guidelines for the use of innovative therapies in sepsis. *Crit Care Med*. 1992;20:864-75. doi: 10.1097/00003246-199206000-00025.
- SAS Institute. *SAS Version 9.3 of the SAS System for Windows*. Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc; 2011.
- StataCorp. *Stata Statistical Software release 11*. College Station, TX: StataCorp LP; 2009.
- Somanchi M, Tao X, Mullin GE. The facilitated early enteral and dietary management effectiveness trial in hospitalized patients with malnutrition. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr*. 2011;35:209-16. doi: 10.1177/0148607110392234.
- Ferro-Luzzi A, James WPT. Adult malnutrition: simple assessment techniques for use in emergencies. *Br J Nutr*. 1996;75:3-10. doi: 10.1079/BJN19960105.
- McClave SA, Martindale RG, Vanek VW, McCarthy M, Roberts P, Taylor B, Ochoa JB, Napolitano L, Cresci G; A.S.P.E.N. Board of Directors; American College of Critical Care Medicine; Society of Critical Care Medicine. Guidelines for the provision and assessment of nutrition support therapy in the adult critically ill patient. *Society of Critical Care Medicine and the American Society of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition*. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr*. 2009;33:277-316. doi: 10.1177/0148607109335234.
- Fettes SB, Davidson HI, Richardson RA, Pennington CR. Nutritional status of elective gastrointestinal surgery patients pre-and post-operatively. *Clin Nutr*. 2002;21:249-54. doi: 10.1054/clnu.2002.0540.
- Lim SL, Ong KC, Chan YH, Loke WC, Ferguson M, Daniels L. Malnutrition and its impact on cost of hospitalization, length of stay, readmission and 3-year mortality. *Clin Nutr*. 2012;31:345-50. doi: 10.1016/j.clnu.2011.11.001.
- Le Thi Hop. *Vietnamese Recommended Dietary Intake*. Hanoi, Vietnam: Medical Publisher; 2012.
- Milstein A. Ending extra payment for "never events"-stronger incentives for patients' safety. *N Engl J Med*. 2009; 360:2388-23. doi: 10.1056/NEJMp0809125.

Original Article

Nutritional status and feeding practices in gastrointestinal surgery patients at Bach Mai Hospital, Hanoi, Vietnam

Lorraine S Young RD, MS¹, Pham Thi Thu Huong MD, PhD², Nguyen Thi Lam MD, PhD², Nghiem Nguyet Thu MD, PhD², Ha Thi Van RD², Nguyen Lien Hanh MD², Le Danh Tuyen MD, PhD², Dinh Thi Kim Lien MD³, Tran Hieu Hoc MD, PhD⁴, Chu Thi Tuyet MD, MS³, Nguyen Quoc Anh MD, PhD⁴, Elizabeth G Henry MHS¹, Carine M Lenders MD, MS, ScD¹, Kathleen M Gura Pharm D, BCNSP, FASHP, FPPAG⁵, Sherman J Bigornia PhD¹, Caroline M Apovian MD¹, Thomas R Ziegler MD⁶

¹Boston University Medical Center and School of Medicine, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

²National Institute of Nutrition, Hanoi, Vietnam

³Clinical Nutrition Center, Bach Mai Hospital, Hanoi Vietnam

⁴Department of Surgery, Bach Mai Hospital, Hanoi Vietnam

⁵Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

⁶Division of Endocrinology, Metabolism and Lipids, Department of Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Massachusetts, USA

越南河内巴赫麦医院胃肠道手术患者的营养状况和喂养方式

背景与目的：越南手术患者的营养状况和院内喂养方式没有被详细记录。根据巴赫麦医院的一个横断面研究，在外科病房应用体质指数（BMI<18.5 kg/m²）所判定的营养不良患病率为 33%。我们在越南河内巴赫麦医院的对胃肠（GI）外科病房的喂养方法进行了观察研究。**方法与研究设计：**来自美国和越南国家营养研究所的调查员从巴赫麦医院招募 72 名入院进行择期胃肠手术的患者参与了这项观察性研究。记录基线人体测量指标及其随时间的变化，体质指数，主观整体评估（SGA），从入院到出院经口摄食、管饲喂养和肠外营养的每日能量和蛋白质的摄入量。**结果：**共有 50%的研究对象 SGA 得分为 B 或 C，48%的研究对象 BMI<18.5，而平均的上臂围为正常水平偏低（24±4 cm）。几乎所有的患者（98%）在术后给予肠外营养，平均术后 4 天给予经口喂养，只有一个病人是管饲喂养。住院期间，平均每天总能量摄入为 15 kcal/kg/day，蛋白质摄入为 0.61 g/kg/day，接受肠外营养者极少补充微量元素。**结论：**越南手术患者住院期间营养不良是一个重要问题，围手术期患者出现喂养不理想和术后早期应用肠内营养是常规。

关键词：肠内营养、营养不良、主观整体评估、肠外营养、越南