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Background - A study on enterohaemorrhagic Escherichia coli (EHEC) contamination of beef carcasses at slaughter concluded that faecal and carcase levels of EHEC are positively correlated and that there was a role for control of EHEC in live cattle. In this current study we examined the effect of dietary inclusion of molasses (simple sugars), grain (starch) and roughage (structural carbohydrate) on the shedding of E. coli in cattle faeces. Enterohaemorrhagic E. coli (EHEC) virulence factors [shiga toxin genes, stx1 and stx2, accessory virulence factors, intimin (eaeA) and plasmid-encoded enterohemolysin (hlyA)] in cattle faeces were also investigated.

Objective - To determine firstly, whether roughage and/or molasses based diets reduce the population of E. coli and EHEC virulence factors compared with grain based feedlot diets, and secondly, if commercial lairage management practices promote or diminish these responses.

Design - Thirty Brahman cross steers (mean LW ± sem) 329±3.2kg, were initially fed a high grain (80%) diet. The cattle were then allocated into 3 groups of 10 animals and fed ad libitum (a) 50% molasses, 28% Rhodes grass (Chloris gayana) hay, 15.0% whole cotton seed, 4.5% cotton seed meal, 1.5% urea and 1% mineral/vitamin premix (M+R); (b) 80% sorghum, 5% peanut shells, 5.5% cotton seed meal (G); and (c) Rhodes grass plus 20g urea/kg DM (R). A fresh faecal sample (100g) was collected from each animal on the baseline grain diet, on 2 separate days during the final week of each dietary treatment (PL), and just prior to slaughter at lairage (L). A multiplex PCR method was used to quantify the virulence genes stx1 and stx2, eaeA and hlyA in faeces.

Outcomes - Prior to lairage, faecal E. coli numbers were two logs lower (8.1 vs 5.6 log10/g digesta) in the R and R+M diets compared with G fed animals and this difference increased to 2.5 logs at lairage. Analysis of the concentration of EHEC virulence factors in faeces indicated a marked decrease in hlyA, eaeA and stx1 genes in the R and R+M diets and this trend remained at lairage. VFA patterns were similar in the roughage and molasses diets whereas increased E. coli numbers, decreased pH and enhanced butyrate and lactate fermentation pathways were associated with the grain diet. This would indicate a shift in the microbial population of the hindgut. Cluster analysis of predominant E. coli serotypes isolated from faeces from each of the three dietary treatment groups showed that the R and R+M groups were similar, but quite distinctive from populations isolated from grain fed animals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diet</th>
<th>Faecal pH</th>
<th>Total VFA (mg/ml)</th>
<th>Acetate (mg/ml)</th>
<th>Propionate (mg/ml)</th>
<th>Butyrate (mg/ml)</th>
<th>Acetate: Butyrate</th>
<th>Lactic acid (µg/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>7.4a</td>
<td>0.42a</td>
<td>0.32a</td>
<td>0.06a</td>
<td>0.021a</td>
<td>15.3a</td>
<td>21.3a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M+R</td>
<td>7.3a</td>
<td>0.89a</td>
<td>0.69b</td>
<td>0.13b</td>
<td>0.05b</td>
<td>13.8b</td>
<td>34.7a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>6.3b</td>
<td>3.91b</td>
<td>1.96b</td>
<td>0.39b</td>
<td>1.43b</td>
<td>1.4b</td>
<td>122.7b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values in rows that do not have a common superscript letter are significantly different (P < 0.05).

Conclusions - This study indicates that the type of dietary carbohydrate has a significant effect on the E. coli community structure and therefore may determine the level of pathogenic serotypes. Future work is focussed on developing detection methods for quantification of putative EHEC populations in response to diet. These detection methods will be used to determine whether diets based on R or R+M combinations, which have low fermentable carbohydrate reaching the hindgut, have the potential to reduce EHEC populations.
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