This author's PDF version corresponds to the article as it

appeared upon acceptance. Fully formatted PDF versions will be

made available soon.

Physical activity and sedentary behaviour during pregnancy are associated with gestational weight gain in Vietnamese women

doi: 10.6133/apjcn.201912/PP.0003 Published online: December 2019

Running title: Physical activity and gestational weight gain

Anh Vo Van Ha MPH^{1,2}, Yun Zhao PhD¹, Ngoc Minh Pham PhD^{1,3}, Cong Luat Nguyen PhD⁴, Phung Thi Hoang Nguyen MPH^{1,5}, Tan Khac Chu MPH^{1,6}, Colin W Binns PhD¹, Andy H Lee PhD¹

¹School of Public Health, Curtin University, Perth, Australia

²Faculty of Public Health, Pham Ngoc Thach University of Medicine, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

³Faculty of Public Health, Thai Nguyen University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Thai Nguyen, Vietnam ⁴National Institute of Hygiene and Epidemiology, Hanoi, Vietnam

⁵Faculty of Public Health, University of Medicine and Pharmacy at Ho Chi Minh City, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

⁶Faculty of Public Health, Hai Phong University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Hai Phong, Vietnam

Authors' email addresses and contributions:

Anh Vo Van Ha (AVVH): vovananh.ha@postgrad.curtin.edu.au

Contribution: conceived the study question, undertook data collection, contributed to the study design, data analysis and interpretation, and writing the manuscript.

Yun Zhao (YZ): Y.Zhao@curtin.edu.au

Contribution: conceived the study question, and contributed to the study design, data analysis and interpretation, supervision of data collection, and writing the manuscript.

Ngoc Minh Pham (NMP): minh.n.pham@curtin.edu.au

Contribution: contributed to the study design, data analysis and interpretation, supervision of data collection, and writing the manuscript.

Cong Luat Nguyen (CLN): congluat@gmail.com

Contribution: contributed to the study design, undertook data collection and analysis, and writing the manuscript.

Phung Thi Hoang Nguyen (PTHN): nthphungytcc@ump.edu.vn

Contribution: contributed to the study design, undertook data collection and analysis, and writing the manuscript.

Tan Khac Chu (TKC): cktan@hpmu.edu.vn

Contribution: undertook data collection and analysis, and writing the manuscript.

Colin W. Binns (CWB): C.Binns@curtin.edu.au

Contribution: conceived the study question, and contributed to the study design, data analysis and interpretation, supervision of data collection, and writing the manuscript.

Andy H. Lee (AHL): Andy.Lee@curtin.edu.au

Contribution: conceived the study question, and contributed to the study design, data analysis and interpretation, supervision of data collection, and writing the manuscript.

Corresponding Author: Dr Yun Zhao, School of Public Health, Curtin University, GPO Box U 1987, Perth, WA, Australia, 6845. Tel: +61-8-9266-7045. Fax: +61-8-9266-2958. Email: y.zhao@curtin.edu.au

ABSTRACT

Background and Objectives: Gestational weight gain is known to impact maternal and child health outcomes. Energy intake and energy expenditure are major components of clinical nutrition in relation to weight gain during pregnancy. The study was to determine the association of physical activity and sitting time during pregnancy with gestational weight gain in Vietnamese women. Methods and Study Design: A multicentre prospective cohort study was conducted in Vietnam from 2015 to 2017. A total of 1873 women with a singleton pregnancy were included. Physical activity and sitting exposures during pregnancy were determined using an interviewer-administered validated questionnaire. Multiple regression analysis was performed to assess physical activity and sitting time in relation to gestational weight gain, adjusting for the confounding effects of maternal characteristics and total energy intake during pregnancy. **Results:** The mean weight gain was 12.9 (Standard deviation 4.1) kg throughout pregnancy. Pregnant women with prolonged sitting time gained an average of 0.6 kg more weight (p=0.016 for highest versus lowest tertiles). Conversely, women who were physically active, in terms of having higher tertiles of total physical activity, moderateto-vigorous-intensity, household/caregiving activities, and occupational physical activity, experienced significantly less gestational weight gain (p < 0.05 for highest versus lowest tertiles). Conclusions: Inverse associations were found between gestational weight gain and physical activity (i.e. intensities and several domains), whereas gestational weight gain tended to increase with longer sitting time. Therefore, being physically active and less sedentary is important to regulate weight gain during pregnancy.

Key Words: gestational weight gain, pregnancy, physical activity, sedentary behaviour, Vietnam

INTRODUCTION

Accumulating evidence indicates that many countries in South East Asia are facing the double burden of malnutrition, including Vietnam.¹⁻⁴ The prevalence of overweight in women aged \geq 20 years has doubled in Vietnam between 1980 and 2015.⁵ In addition, the prevalence of overweight is about equivalent to that of underweight in Vietnamese women.³

Since 2017, the WHO has addressed pregnancy as a critical period to combat the double burden of malnutrition.⁶ Gestational weight gain (GWG) is known to impact maternal and child health outcomes.⁷ Excessive GWG may increase the risk of adverse events for both the mother and infant, including eclampsia,⁸ unplanned or emergency caesarean delivery,⁹ large-

for-gestational-age infants,^{7,10} higher postpartum weight retention,^{11,12} diabetes mellitus,^{13,14} and childhood obesity.¹⁵ Moreover, mothers with inadequate GWG are at elevated risk of delivering small-for-gestational-age and preterm infants.^{7,16} Because GWG is potentially modifiable, the prevention of inappropriate weight gain during pregnancy is paramount.

Physical activity-related energy expenditure, particularly changes in non-exercise physical activity (PA) thermogenesis (i.e. habitual PA with the exception of sleeping, eating, or sports/exercises), is a major determinant of body weight change.¹⁷ Increasing attention has been paid to PA during pregnancy, partly due to its beneficial role in reducing complications, such as caesarean section, gestational diabetes mellitus, and unhealthy GWG.¹⁸⁻²¹ Indeed. physically active women are less likely to have excessive GWG as defined by the Institute of Medicine recommendations.²² Despite this, the evidence to date regarding the association between non-exercise PA during pregnancy and GWG remains inconclusive, particularly the dose-response association.²³ For example, a study of Chinese women found that PA during pregnancy could reduce the risk of excessive GWG.²⁴ whereas another study from the USA reported no association between GWG and PA domains or intensity of activities during pregnancy (with the exception of occupational PA).²⁵ Interventional studies demonstrated a somewhat beneficial effect of prenatal PA on excessive GWG.^{26,27} However, the findings should be interpreted with caution in view of possible sampling bias, the inclusion of different exercise interventions, and lack of objective PA measurements.^{26,27} Although the Vietnam Nutrition Strategy 2011-2020 highlighted the promotion of PA aiming to control overweight and obesity, no national guideline on PA during pregnancy was found.²⁸

Limited studies have been performed in Asia concerning PA and GWG,^{24,29,30} particularly concerning PA domains and intensities. It is conceivable that Asian women tend to consider family-related and intellectual activities as their highest priorities, whereas daily activities, caregiving chores, and sedentary behaviours receive less attention than sports or exercise in daily life.³¹ Often, Asian women are advised to rest to protect the foetus. They tend to perform less PA and spend more time being sedentary due to their fear of miscarriage and discomfort, yet they may be more engaged in household or caregiving activities.^{32,33}

The present study aimed to ascertain the relationship between GWG and PA and sedentary behaviour during pregnancy, adjusting for maternal characteristics and total energy intake simultaneously, using a large prospective cohort of pregnant women in Vietnam.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design and participants

The present study was part of a large multicentre prospective cohort study, the overall study design and recruitment procedure have been described elsewhere.³⁴ The sample size was calculated based on the main study objectives at the time of protocol development.³⁴ Briefly, pregnancy-related information was collected from 2030 pregnant women (90.3% of 2248 women recruited) between 2015 and 2017. The inclusion criteria were age ≥ 18 years, singleton pregnancy, and residence in Hanoi, Hai Phong, or Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam. Among these 2030 participants enrolled at baseline, 1906 women completed the second interview before hospital discharge. After further exclusion of 33 cases with missing/implausible information, a total of 1873 (92.3%) women with complete and valid information were included in the statistical analysis. This study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committees of Curtin University (approval no. HR32/2015) and Hai Phong University of Medicine and Pharmacy (approval no. 05/HPUMPRB/2015). Participation was entirely voluntary, and all participants signed the consent form before commencing their baseline interview.

Study variables

Main outcome

The continuous outcome variable GWG (kg) was calculated by subtracting the pre-pregnancy weight (obtained at the baseline interview) from the pre-birth weight (extracted from medical records).^{12,21,35}

Measurement of physical activity and sedentary behaviour

PA during pregnancy was assessed at the time of enrolment using a validated Vietnamese version of the Pregnancy Physical Activity Questionnaire (PPAQ).^{36,37} The PPAQ measures time spent performing 32 specified activities in five domains, namely, household/caregiving, occupational, sports/exercise, transportation, and sedentary activity.³⁷ The duration, frequency, and intensity of PA were calculated in terms of Metabolic Equivalent Task hours per week (MET-hours/week), based on the PPAQ scoring mechanism and the Compendium of Physical Activities.^{37,38} Intensity was classified as 'light-intensity' activity (1.5 to <3 METs), 'moderate-to-vigorous-intensity' activity (\geq 3 METs), and total activity (including light-intensity and above).³⁷ Each PA variable (total, light-intensity, moderate-to-vigorous-intensity, household/caregiving, occupational and transportation) was divided into tertiles, with the third

tertile reflecting the highest level of activity. According to the 2018 PA Guidelines Advisory Committee, pregnant women "should do at least 150 minutes of moderate-intensity aerobic activity a week".³⁹ Consequently, the weekly duration of moderate-intensity sports/exercise was further categorised as 'below the recommendation' (<150 minutes per week) and 'sufficiently/above the recommendation' (\geq 150 minutes per week). Sitting time during pregnancy in different scenarios (e.g. car, bus, at work, or watching television) was also elicited.36 Participants were asked to estimate the time spent performing these sedentary activities during the last three months of their pregnancy. Total sitting time (hours per week) was also categorised into tertiles.

Measurement of covariates

Information on maternal age (<25, 25-34, ≥35 years), employment (yes, no), education (secondary or below, high school or above), parity (nulliparous, multiparous), pre-pregnancy weight, history of health-related problems (yes, no), and total energy intake during pregnancy was collected at the baseline survey. Such self-reported data were verified against hospital medical records whenever feasible. Maternal height was measured using a stadiometer to the nearest 1 mm at the baseline interview. Pre-pregnancy body mass index (BMI) was calculated by dividing pre-pregnancy weight by the square of maternal height and was categorised according to the cut-off points recommended by the WHO for Asian populations: underweight (<18.5 kg/m²), normal (18.5 to <23 kg/m²), and overweight/obese (≥23 kg/m²).⁴⁰ Total energy intake during pregnancy was derived from data obtained using a validated food frequency questionnaire for Vietnamese adults.⁴¹ Total energy intake was estimated from the frequency (times per day/week/month) and quantity (number of standardised servings each time) of consuming 18 food and beverage groups.⁴² History of health-related problems (presence of miscarriage, abortion, pregnancy-induced hypertension, or chronic diseases) was also recorded. Gestational diabetes mellitus status was ascertained by administering a 75gram oral glucose tolerance test and was diagnosed when at least one glucose value was above the following thresholds: fasting plasma glucose ≥ 5.1 mmol/L, one-hour plasma glucose ≥ 10.0 mmol/L, and two-hour plasma glucose ≥ 8.5 mmol/L.⁴³ Postnatal information on gestational age (<37, ≥37 weeks) was extracted from hospital records.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were obtained to summarise the sample characteristics. Comparisons of GWG between subgroups of interest were performed using two-sample t-tests or one-way

analysis of variance. The associations between PA exposures during pregnancy and GWG were evaluated using multiple linear regression models. With the exception of sports/exercise, due to insufficient data, all non-exercise PA variables (i.e. total PA, sitting time, lightintensity PA, moderate-to-vigorous-intensity PA, household/caregiving, occupational and transportation PA) were considered. Each PA exposure variable was analysed using two regression models. In Model I, adjustments were made with respect to maternal age, education, employment, parity, history of health-related problems, gestational diabetes mellitus, gestational age, total energy intake during pregnancy, and pre-pregnancy BMI, which were widely regarded as confounders for GWG in the literature.^{21,25} In Model II, sitting time was further adjusted to account for its potential attenuation effect.^{44,45} Similarly, when assessing the relationship between sitting time and GWG, total PA was adjusted in the multivariable model. The first (lowest) tertile of each PA variable was used as the corresponding reference category. Estimated regression coefficients and adjusted means of GWG, together with their 95% confidence intervals (CIs), are presented. Tests for linear trends were also performed for the PA exposure variables, and their p values are reported. A previous study reported that Vietnamese women who gained >15 kg during pregnancy had an increased risk of delivering a large-for-gestational-age infant.¹⁰ Therefore, we additionally examined such excessive GWG (>15 kg) in relation to PA and sitting time using logistic regression analyses. All statistical analyses were performed in the Stata package version 15.1.46

RESULTS

Women gained an average of 12.9 (SD 4.1) kg during pregnancy. Table 1 presents participant characteristics and compares mean GWG across categories of these variables. Overall, most participants were under 35 years of age (89.5%) and delivered at 37 weeks of gestation or later (95.3%), with 61.8% of women having normal pre-pregnancy BMI. Approximately two-thirds of the participants exhibited multi-parity (61.3%), attained a high school or above education (65.8%), were employed (68.4%), and had no health-related problems before the current pregnancy (69.2%). Less than one-quarter of them were diagnosed with gestational diabetes mellitus (22.3%). The mean total energy intake was 2123 (SD 744) kcal per day during pregnancy.

The majority of women (81.2%) reported participating in moderate-intensity sports/exercises below the recommendation of the 2018 PA Guidelines Advisory Committee. Household/caregiving activity contributed, on average, 47% (95% CI 46.0% to 47.9%)

towards total PA during pregnancy. Table 2 summarises the sitting time of the cohort and their PA by domain and intensity. On average, the pregnant women spent 124 (SD 57.1) MET-hours and 26.7 (SD 14.2) hours per week on total PA and sitting, respectively.

Table 3 shows the results of multiple regression analyses. GWG was inversely associated with total PA, moderate-to-vigorous-intensity PA, household/caregiving, and occupational PA but was positively associated with sitting time. After additionally adjusting for sitting time, physically active women (with the highest tertile of total PA) gained 0.5 kg less weight on average during pregnancy than those who were relatively less active (lowest tertile). Similarly, the weight gains were significantly lower among women with the highest level of moderate-to-vigorous-intensity, household/caregiving, and occupational PA. In contrast, women with longer sitting time (highest tertile) gained 0.6 kg more on average than those who were less sedentary (lowest tertile) after accounting for total PA during pregnancy. However, little association was observed between both light-intensity PA and GWG and transportation PA and GWG. Moreover, the prevalence of excessive GWG (>15 kg) was 22.6% (n=423), and the corresponding logistic regression results (omitted for brevity) were consistent with those in Table 3. Specifically, women with higher PA levels were less likely to gain over 15 kg, whereas spending more time sitting during pregnancy could increase the risk of the excessive GWG (OR=1.73, 95% CI 1.27 to 2.36, *p* trend=0.001).

DISCUSSION

Energy intake and energy expenditure through PA attribute to weight gain during pregnancy. In recent years, researchers have proposed a range of interventions to combat the double burden of malnutrition, including promoting PA and healthy weight.⁴⁷ In this large prospective cohort study, we found evidence of inverse associations between GWG and total PA, moderate-to-vigorous-intensity, household/caregiving, and occupational PA, independent of sitting time. On the other hand, GWG was observed to increase with sitting time, even after accounting for the apparent effect of total PA. The present study provides the first report on such dose-response relationships between GWG and non-exercise PA (i.e. intensities and domains) as well as sitting time during pregnancy, with adjustment for energy intake, among Vietnamese women.

Our finding concerning PA and GWG aligns with the results of a cohort study of 862 women in China, in which physically active mothers (i.e. achieving ≥ 10000 steps per day) during pregnancy experienced a significantly lower GWG than sedentary mothers (<5000 steps per day).²⁴ However, a previous large-scale study of Hispanic women in the USA found

no such association.²⁵ The discrepancy in the latter study might be owing in part to the lack of adjustment for total energy intake during pregnancy.^{48,49} Another possibility is the difference in ethnicity.⁵⁰ Consistent with our result regarding the effect of moderate-to-vigorous-intensity PA, a previous study in the USA reported that women undertaking moderate-intensity PA (>150 minutes per week) had lower odds of excessive GWG.²¹ On the other hand, our observed lack of association with light-intensity PA is in accordance with an earlier large-scale study of American women.⁴⁵

There is a theoretical risk that too much PA could result in too little GWG. This was not observed in this study and is unlikely ever to be a real-world risk.⁵¹ In fact, Asian women have been reported to engage in long hours of sedentary behaviours, particularly during pregnancy.³³ The majority of our participants (>80%) performed PA below the recommended guideline, a figure that was slightly higher than that found in a previous study conducted in China (50.4%).⁵² In our study, Vietnamese women spent an average of 27 hours per week sitting, mostly at work/classes and for screen viewing (television, computer, and mobile phone). A previous cohort study in Singapore also revealed that one-quarter of women spent over 21 hours per week watching television either before or during pregnancy.³³ Therefore, the observed independent and positive association between sitting time and GWG in our cohort is not surprising.

It is worth noting the inverse dose-response relationships between GWG and household/caregiving and occupational PA. Although Asian women are known to be involved in household and caregiving activities,^{31,32} the present study was the first report addressing the association of these activities with GWG in Asia. A study of Hispanic women in the USA observed a similar prevalence of household/caregiving PA (50%) as that observed in our cohort of Vietnamese women (47%), and reported significantly lower GWG among those with the highest level of occupational PA but not for household/caregiving activities.²⁵ The reason for this discrepancy is unclear to us. A possible explanation for this finding might be the differences in study population characteristics (the majority of participants had lower levels of education and were younger, unlike our cohort of Vietnamese women). Further research is required to elucidate the role and underlying mechanism of this PA domain in preventing unhealthy weight gain during pregnancy.

The strengths of our study include a prospective, multicentre cohort design with a large sample size and a high response rate. Treating GWG as a continuous outcome avoided potential misclassification based on the Institute of Medicine's cut-off points.⁵³ PA was assessed using a validated questionnaire for Vietnamese women that enabled separate

estimation of PA intensities and domains as well as sedentary behaviours during pregnancy. Furthermore, all established and potential confounding factors were accounted for in the multivariable analyses, together with the mutual adjustment of total PA and sitting time in the regression models. However, several limitations should be considered. Pre-pregnancy weights were obtained from self-reports, even though we checked them against hospital records whenever available. The present study was conducted in urban and semi-urban areas so that the findings might not be generalisable to the entire population of pregnant women in Vietnam. Moreover, PA, sitting time, and total energy intake were measured at a single time point, which might not reflect the same patterns during each individual's whole pregnancy. Finally, the residual confounding effect could not be ruled out despite adjustment for all plausible confounders in the statistical analyses.

In conclusion, this study provided evidence of dose-response inverse associations between GWG and non-exercise PA (i.e. intensities and some of its domains), whereas GWG appeared to increase with sitting time among pregnant Vietnamese women. These findings suggest that being physically active and less sedentary may contribute to an appropriate GWG. PA interventional programs are needed to consider the influence of non-exercise PA thermogenesis and sedentary behaviour on GWG.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank all study participants and participating hospitals for their support in data collection.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND FUNDING DISCLOSURE

The authors have no conflict of interest to disclose. This study was partly funded by Curtin University as a support for higher degree research students.

REFERENCES

- Laillou A, Yakes E, Le TH, Wieringa FT, Le BM, Moench-Pfanner R, Berger J. Intra-Individual Double Burden of Overweight and Micronutrient Deficiencies among Vietnamese Women. PLoS One. 2014;9:e110499.
- Khan NC, Khoi HH. Double burden of malnutrition: the Vietnamese perspective. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr. 2008;17:116-8.
- 3. Abdullah A. The double burden of undernutrition and overnutrition in developing countries: an update. Current Obesity Reports. 2015;4:337-49.

- 4. Haddad L, Cameron L, Barnett I. The double burden of malnutrition in SE Asia and the Pacific: priorities, policies and politics. Health Policy Plan. 2014;30:1193-206.
- 5. Health Effects of Overweight and Obesity in 195 Countries over 25 Years. New Engl J Med. 2017;377:13-27.
- 6. WHO. The double burden of malnutrition. Policy brief. Geneva: WHO; 2017.
- Goldstein RF, Abell SK, Ranasinha S, Misso M, Boyle JA, Black MH et al. Association of gestational weight gain with maternal and infant outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA. 2017;317:2207-25.
- Platner MH, Ackerman C, Howland RE, Xu X, Pettker CM, Illuzzi JL, Reddy UM, Chung S, Lipkind HS. Gestational weight gain and severe maternal morbidity at delivery hospitalization. Obstet Gynecol. 2019;133:515-24.
- 9. Haile ZT, Chavan B, Teweldeberhan AK, Chertok IRA, Francescon J. Gestational weight gain and unplanned or emergency cesarean delivery in the United States. Women Birth. 2019;32:263-9.
- 10. Ota E, Haruna M, Suzuki M, Anh DD, Tho LH, Tam NTT et al. Maternal body mass index and gestational weight gain and their association with perinatal outcomes in Viet Nam. Bull World Health Organ. 2011;89:127-36.
- 11. Mannan M, Doi SA, Mamun AA. Association between weight gain during pregnancy and postpartum weight retention and obesity: a bias adjusted meta analysis. Nutr Rev. 2013;71:343-52.
- 12. Ha AVV, Zhao Y, Pham NM, Nguyen CL, Nguyen PTH, Chu TK, Tang HK, Binns CW, Lee AH. Postpartum weight retention in relation to gestational weight gain and pre-pregnancy body mass index: a prospective cohort study in Vietnam. Obes Res Clin Pract. 2019;13:143-9.
- Abdullah Al M, Munim M, Michael JOC, Gail MW, Jake MN, Leonie KC. Association between gestational weight gain and postpartum diabetes: evidence from a community based large cohort study. PLoS One. 2013;8:e75679.
- Mannan M, O'Callaghan M, Williams G, Najman J, Callaway L. Association between Gestational Weight Gain and Postpartum Diabetes: Evidence from a Community Based Large Cohort Study. PLoS One. 2013;8:e75679.
- 15. Woo Baidal JA, Locks LM, Cheng ER, Blake-Lamb TL, Perkins ME, Taveras EM. Risk factors for childhood obesity in the first 1,000 days: a systematic review. Am J Prev Med. 2016;50:761-79.
- Tsai IH, Chen CP, Sun FJ, Wu CH, Yeh SL. Associations of the pre-pregnancy body mass index and gestational weight gain with pregnancy outcomes in Taiwanese women. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr. 2012;21:82-7.
- 17. Levine JA. Non-exercise activity thermogenesis (Neat). Nutr Rev. 2004;62:S82-S97.
- Dipietro L, Evenson KR, Bloodgood B, Sprow K, Troiano RP, Piercy KL, Vaux-Bjerke A, Powell KE;
 2018 Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee. Benefits of physical activity during pregnancy and postpartum: an umbrella review. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2019;51:1292-302.

- Nguyen PTH, Binns CW, Nguyen CL, Van Ha AV, Chu KT, Duong DV, Do DV, Lee AH. Physical activity during pregnancy is associated with improved breastfeeding outcomes: a prospective cohort study. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019;16:1740.
- 20. Domenjoz I, Kayser B, Boulvain M. Effect of physical activity during pregnancy on mode of delivery. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2014;211:401.e1-.e11.
- 21. Kraschnewski JL, Chuang CH, Downs DS, Weisman CS, McCamant EL, Baptiste-Roberts K, Zhu J, Kjerulff KH. Association of prenatal physical activity and gestational weight gain: results from the First Baby Study. Womens Health Issues. 2013;23:e233-e8.
- 22. Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee. Physical Activity Guidelines Advisory Committee Scientific Report. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2018.
- Dipietro L, Evenson KR, Bloodgood B, Sprow K, Troiano RP, Piercy KL, Vaux-Bjerke A, Powell KE. Benefits of Physical Activity during Pregnancy and Postpartum: An Umbrella Review. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2019;51:1292-302.
- 24. Jiang H, Qian X, Li M, Lynn H, Fan Y, Jiang H, He F, He G. Can physical activity reduce excessive gestational weight gain? Findings from a Chinese urban pregnant women cohort study. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2012;9:12.
- 25. Chasan-Taber L, Silveira M, Lynch KE, Pekow P, Solomon CG, Markenson G. Physical activity and gestational weight gain in Hispanic women. Obesity. 2014;22:909-18.
- 26. McDonald SM, Liu J, Wilcox S, Lau EY, Archer E. Does dose matter in reducing gestational weight gain in exercise interventions? A systematic review of literature. J Sci Med Sport. 2016;19:323-35.
- 27. da Silva SG, Ricardo LI, Evenson KR, Hallal PC. Leisure-time physical activity in pregnancy and maternal-child health: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials and cohort studies. Sports Med. 2017;47:295-317.
- Evenson KR, Barakat R, Brown WJ, Dargent-Molina P, Haruna M, Mikkelsen EM, Mottola MF, Owe KM, Rousham EK, Yeo S. Guidelines for physical activity during pregnancy: comparisons from around the world. Am J Lifestyle Med. 2013;8:102-21.
- 29. Abeysena C, Jayawardana P. Sleep deprivation, physical activity and low income are risk factors for inadequate weight gain during pregnancy: A cohort study. J Obstet Gynaecol Res. 2011;37:734-40.
- 30. Yong HY, Mohd Shariff Z, Koo SJ, Binti Sa'ari NS. Pre-pregnancy body mass index, height and physical activity are associated with rate of gestational weight gain among Malaysian mothers. J Obstet Gynaecol Res. 2016;42:1094-101.
- 31. Im EO, Ko Y, Hwang H, Chee W, Stuifbergen A, Lee H, Chee E. Asian American midlife women's attitudes toward physical activity. J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs. 2012;41:650-8.
- 32. Zhang Y, Dong S, Zuo J, Hu X, Zhang H, Zhao Y. Physical activity level of urban pregnant women in Tianjin, China: a cross-sectional study. PLoS One. 2014;9.
- 33. Padmapriya N, Shen L, Soh S-E, Shen Z, Kwek K, Godfrey KM, Gluckman PD, Chong Y-S, Saw S-M, Müller-riemenschneider F. Physical activity and sedentary behavior patterns before and during

pregnancy in a multi-ethnic sample of Asian women in Singapore. Matern Child Health J. 2015;19:2523-35.

- 34. Nguyen CL, Nguyen PTH, Chu TK, Ha AVV, Pham NM, Duong DV, Do DV, Tang HK, Binns CW, Lee AH. Cohort profile: maternal lifestyle and diet in relation to pregnancy, postpartum and infant health outcomes in Vietnam: A multicentre prospective cohort study. BMJ Open. 2017;7:e016794.
- 35. Merkx A, Ausems M, Budé L, de Vries R, Nieuwenhuijze MJ. Weight gain in healthy pregnant women in relation to pre-pregnancy BMI, diet and physical activity. Midwifery. 2015;31:693-701.
- 36. Ota E, Haruna M, Yanai H, Suzuki M, Anh DD, Matsuzaki M, Tho LH, Ariyoshi K, Yeo SA, Murashima S. Reliability and validity of the Vietnamese version of the Pregnancy Physical Activity Questionnaire (PPAQ). Southeast Asian J Tropical Med Public Health. 2008;39:562-70.
- 37. Chasan-Taber L, Schmidt MD, Roberts DE, Hosmer D, Markenson G, Freedson PS. Development and validation of a pregnancy physical activity questionnaire. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2004;36:1750-60.
- 38. Ainsworth BE, Haskell WL, Herrmann SD, Meckes N, Bassett DR, Jr., Tudor-Locke C, Greer JL, Vezina J, Whitt-Glover MC, Leon AS. Compendium of physical activities: a second update of codes and MET values. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2011;43:1575-81.
- Piercy KL, Troiano RP, Ballard RM, Carlson SA, Fulton JE, Galuska DA, George SM, Olson RD. The Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans. JAMA. 2018;320:2020-8.
- 40. WHO expert consultation. Appropriate body-mass index for Asian populations and its implications for policy and intervention strategies. Lancet. 2004;363:157-63.
- 41. Van Dinh T, Van Dong H, Nguyen TC, Lee AH. Validity and reliability of a food frequency questionnaire to assess habitual dietary intake in Northern Vietnam. Vietnam Journal of Public Health. 2013;1:57-64.
- 42. Nguyen CL, Hoang DV, Nguyen PTH, Ha AVV, Chu TK, Pham NM, Lee AH, Duong DV, Binns CW. Low dietary intakes of essential nutrients during pregnancy in Vietnam. Nutrients. 2018;10:1025.
- 43. International Association of Diabetes, Pregnancy Study Groups Consensus Panel, Metzger BE, Gabbe SG, Persson B, Buchanan TA, Catalano PA et al. International association of diabetes and pregnancy study groups recommendations on the diagnosis and classification of hyperglycemia in pregnancy. Diabetes Care. 2010;33:676-82.
- 44. Di Fabio DR, Blomme CK, Smith KM, Welk GJ, Campbell CG. Adherence to physical activity guidelines in mid-pregnancy does not reduce sedentary time: an observational study. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2015;12:27.
- 45. Mekary RA, Willett WC, Hu FB, Ding EL. Isotemporal substitution paradigm for physical activity epidemiology and weight change. Am J Epidemiol. 2009;170:519-27.
- 46. Stata Statistical Software: Release 15 [computer program]. College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC; 2017.
- 47. Kohl HW, 3rd, Craig CL, Lambert EV, Inoue S, Alkandari JR, Leetongin G, Kahlmeier S. The pandemic of physical inactivity: global action for public health. Lancet. 2012;380:294-305.
- 48. Willett WC, Howe GR, Kushi LH. Adjustment for total energy intake in epidemiologic studies. The Am JClin Nutr. 1997;65:1220S-8S.

- 49. Heery E, Kelleher CC, Wall PG, McAuliffe FM. Prediction of gestational weight gain a biopsychosocial model. Public Health Nutr. 2015;18:1488-98.
- 50. Pawlak MT, Alvarez BT, Jones DM, Lezotte DC. The effect of race/ethnicity on gestational weight gain. J Immigr Minor Health. 2015;17:325-32.
- 51. Collings PJ, Farrar D, Gibson J, West J, Barber SE, Wright J. Associations of pregnancy physical activity with maternal cardiometabolic health, neonatal delivery outcomes and body composition in a biethnic cohort of 7305 mother-child pairs: The Born in Bradford Study. Sports Med. 2019. doi: 10.1007/s40279-019-01193-8.
- 52. Mak JKL, Lee AH, Pham NM, Tang L, Pan X-F, Xu Z-P, Binns CW, Sun X. Physical activity during early pregnancy and antenatal depression: A prospective cohort study. Mental Health and Physical Activity. 2019;16:54-9.
- 53. Jiang X, Liu M, Song YH, Mao J, Zhou ML, Ma ZF, Qian XH, Han ZM, Duan T. The Institute of Medicine recommendation for gestational weight gain is probably not optimal among non-American pregnant women: a retrospective study from China. Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine. 2019;32:1353-8.

	Total	Gestational weight gain (kg)	
Characteristic	n (%)	Mean (SD)	p^{\dagger}
Maternal age (years)			< 0.001
<25	770 (41.1)	13.6 (4.2)	
25-34	906 (48.4)	12.5 (3.9)	
≥35	197 (10.5)	11.7 (4.0)	
Pre-pregnancy BMI (kg/m ²) [‡]			< 0.001
Underweight (<18.5)	492 (26.3)	13.3 (4.1)	
Normal (18.5 to <23)	1158 (61.8)	12.9 (4.0)	
Overweight/obese (≥23)	223 (11.9)	11.5 (4.2)	
Parity			< 0.001
Nulliparous	725 (38.7)	13.7 (4.2)	
Multiparous	1148 (61.3)	12.3 (3.9)	
Education			< 0.001
Secondary or below	640 (34.2)	12.3 (4.3)	
High school or above	1233 (65.8)	13.1 (3.9)	
Employment		`´´	0.825
No	592 (31.6)	12.8 (4.2)	
Yes	1281 (68.4)	12.9 (4.0)	
Gestational diabetes mellitus			0.057
No	1450 (77.7)	13.0 (4.1)	
Yes	416 (22.3)	12.5 (3.9)	
Gestational age (weeks)			0.007
<37	89 (4.8)	11.7 (3.7)	
≥37	1784 (95.3)	12.9 (4.1)	
History of health-related problems §			0.059
No	1405 (69.2)	13.0 (4.1)	
Yes	625 (30.8)	12.6 (4.0)	

Table 1. Gestational weight gain by maternal characteristics, Vietnam, 2015-2017 (n=1873)

BMI: body mass index; SD: standard deviation. [†]Two-sample t-test or one-way analysis of variance.

¹Based on the WHO recommendations for Asian populations. [§]Health-related problems include miscarriage, abortion, pregnancy-induced hypertension, and chronic diseases.

Table 2. Sitting time and physical activity during pregnancy, Vietnam, 2015-2017 (n=1	1873)
---	-------

Exposure		Mean (SD)	Minimum	Maximum
Sitting time (hours/week)	1	26.8 (14.2)	1.8	75.3
Total PA (MET-hours/week)		124.0 (57.1)	10.0	362.8
Intensity (MET-hours/week)				
Light		57.8 (33.0)	0	170.8
Moderate-to-vigorous		29.4 (31.9)	0	203.5
Domain (MET-hours/week)		. ,		
Household/caregiving		59.8 (41.7)	0	231.0
Occupational		31.6 (29.7)	0	176.2
Transportation		11.6 (13.2)	0	170.6
Sports/exercise		6.1 (9.4)	0	81.4

MET: metabolic equivalent of task; SD: standard deviation; PA: physical activity.

		Gestational weight gain (kg)					
Exposure n (%)	·· (0/)	Model I [†]		Model II [‡]			
	n (%)	Coef. (95% CI)	р	Adjusted mean (95% CI)	Coef. (95% CI)	р	Adjusted mean (95% CI)
Sitting time (hours/week)			$0.067^{\$}$	· · · ·		0.017§	· · · · ·
1^{st} tertile (≤ 21)	635 (33.9)	Reference		12.78 (12.46, 13.10)	Reference		12.69 (12.36, 13.02)
2^{nd} tertile (>21 to 33.3)	616 (32.9)	-0.14 (-0.58, 0.31)	0.547	12.64 (12.34, 12.94)	-0.05(-0.50, 0.41)	0.844	12.65 (12.35, 12.95)
3^{rd} tertile (>33.3)	622 (33.2)	0.45 (-0.02, 0.93)	0.062	13.23 (12.89, 13.57)	0.62 (0.12, 1.12)	0.016	13.31 (12.97, 13.66)
Total PA (MET-hours/week)	. ,		0.342 [§]			0.043 [§]	
1^{st} tertile (≤ 92.6)	613 (32.7)	Reference		13.06 (12.74, 13.38)	Reference		13.24 (12.90, 13.58)
2 nd tertile (>92.6 to 142.0)	627 (33.5)	-0.36 (-0.81, 0.09)	0.117	12.70 (12.39, 13.01)	-0.59 (-1.06, -0.12)	0.014	12.65 (12.34, 12.97)
3 rd tertile (>142.0)	633 (33.8)	-0.23 (-0.69, 0.24)	0.336	12.83 (12.52, 13.15)	-0.53 (-1.03, -0.04)	0.035	12.71 (12.38, 13.03)
Intensity (MET-hours/week)							
Light			0.098 [§]		/	0.060 [§]	
1^{st} tertile (≤ 38.3)	622 (33.2)	Reference		13.07 (12.75, 13.39)	Reference		13.10 (12.78, 13.42)
2 nd tertile (>38.3 to 68.7)	621 (33.2)	-0.22 (-0.67, 0.23)	0.345	12.85 (12.54, 13.16)	-0.27 (-0.73, 0.18)	0.233	12.83 (12.52, 13.14)
3^{rd} tertile (>68.7)	630 (33.6)	-0.39 (-0.84, 0.07)	0.098	12.68 (12.36, 13.00)	-0.44 (-0.90, 0.02)	0.059	12.66 (12.35, 12.98)
Moderate-to-vigorous	. ,		$0.009^{\$}$			0.015§	
1^{st} tertile (≤ 10.9)	660 (35.2)	Reference		13.18 (12.86, 13.49)	Reference		13.15 (12.84, 13.47)
2 nd tertile (>10.9 to 31.0)	571 (30.5)	-0.34 (-0.80, 0.11)	0.137	12.83 (12.51, 13.16)	-0.32 (-0.77, 0.13)	0.167	12.83 (12.51, 13.16)
3^{rd} tertile (>31.0)	642 (34.3)	-0.60 (-1.06, -0.15)	0.009	12.57 (12.26, 12.89)	-0.56 (-1.01, -0.11)	0.015	12.59 (12.28, 12.91)
Domain (MET-hours/week)	. ,			,			
Household/caregiving			0.013 [§]			0.009§	
1^{st} tertile (≤ 32.8)	615 (32.8)	Reference		13.19 (12.86, 13.51)	Reference		13.20 (12.87, 13.53)
2 nd tertile (>32.8 to 67.5)	630 (33.6)	-0.35 (-0.80, 0.10)	0.130	12.84 (12.52, 13.15)	-0.37 (-0.82, 0.08)	0.108	12.83 (12.52, 13.14)
3^{rd} tertile (>67.5)	628 (33.5)	-0.61 (-1.09, -0.13)	0.013	12.58 (12.26, 12.90)	-0.63 (-1.11, -0.16)	0.009	12.57 (12.25, 12.89)
Occupational			0.153§			0.005§	
1^{st} tertile (≤ 9.3)	628 (33.5)	Reference		12.96 (12.62, 13.31)	Reference		13.24 (12.87, 13.62)
2 nd tertile (>9.3 to 39.5)	616 (32.9)	0.04 (-0.44, 0.53)	0.861	13.01 (12.69, 13.33)	-0.35 (-0.89, 0.18)	0.199	12.89 (12.57, 13.22)
3^{rd} tertile (>39.5)	629 (33.6)	-0.34 (-0.84, 0.16)	0.185	12.62 (12.30, 12.95)	-0.79 (-1.35, -0.23)	0.006	12.46 (12.12, 12.79)
Transportation	. ,		0.367§			0.690 [§]	
1^{st} tertile (≤ 5.1)	644 (34.4)	Reference		12.68 (12.37, 13.00)	Reference		12.74 (12.43, 13.06)
2^{nd} tertile (>5.1 to 12.8)	637 (34.0)	0.35 (-0.10, 0.79)	0.129	13.03 (12.72, 13.34)	0.27 (-0.17, 0.72)	0.232	13.02 (12.70, 13.33)
3^{rd} tertile (>12.8)	592 (31.6)	0.20 (-0.25, 0.65)	0.381	12.88 (12.56, 13.21)	0.09 (-0.36, 0.55)	0.689	12.84 (12.51, 13.16)

Table 3. Association between gestational weight gain, pregnancy physical activity and sitting time, Vietnam, 2015-2017 (n=1873)

Coef.: estimated regression coefficient; CI: confidence interval; MET: metabolic equivalent of task; PA: physical activity.

[†]Model I: Multiple linear regression models adjusted for maternal age, education, gestational diabetes mellitus, history of health-related problems, total energy intake during pregnancy, parity, employment, gestational age, and pre-pregnancy body mass index.

³Model II: For sitting time, adjusted for total PA in addition to above covariates in Model I; For PA exposures, adjusted for sitting time in addition to above covariates in Model I. ⁸p value for linear trend.