# Association between bone mineral density, muscle volume, walking ability, and geriatric nutritional risk index in hemodialysis patients

**Running title:** Association of BMD, sarcopenia, and GNRI in HD

Hiroyuki Tominaga MD, PhD[1], Manei Oku MD, PhD[2,3], Yoshiya Arishima MD, PhD[1,3], Toru Ikeda MD, PhD[3], Yasuhiro Ishidou MD, PhD[4], Satoshi Nagano MD, PhD[1], Masato Minami MD[2], Akio Ido MD, PhD[2], Setsuro Komiya MD, PhD[1], Takao Setoguchi MD, PhD[5]

[1]Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Graduate School of Medical and Dental Sciences, Kagoshima University, Kagoshima, Japan
[2]Digestive and Lifestyle Diseases, Department of Human and Environmental Sciences, Graduate School of Medical and Dental Sciences, Kagoshima University, Kagoshima, Japan
[3]Ikeda Hospital, Kanoya, Japan
[4]Department of Medical Joint Materials, Graduate School of Medical and Dental Sciences, Kagoshima University, Kagoshima, Japan
[5]The Near-Future Locomotor Organ Medicine Creation Course (Kusunoki Kai), Graduate School of Medical and Dental Sciences, Kagoshima University, Kagoshima, Japan

Authors' email addresses and contributions:
Hiroyuki Tominaga: hiro-tom@m2.kufm.kagoshima-u.ac.jp
Manei Oku: oku@ikeda-hp.com
Yoshiya Arishima: ariyoshi71@gmail.com
Toru Ikeda: ikedagiants@ikeda-hp.com
Yasuhiro Ishidou: ishidou@m2.kufm.kagoshima-u.ac.jp
Satoshi Nagano: naga@m2.kufm.kagoshima-u.ac.jp
Masato Minami: 8127masa@m3.kufm.kagoshima-u.ac.jp
Akio Ido: ido-akio@m2.kufm.kagoshima-u.ac.jp
Setsuro Komiya: skomiya@m3.kufm.kagoshima-u.ac.jp

HT, MO, YA, TI, YI, SN, and MM collected and evaluated the data. HT, AI, SK, and TS designed the study. HT and TS wrote the manuscript.

**Corresponding Author:** Dr Takao Setoguchi, The Near-Future Locomotor Organ Medicine Creation Course (Kusunoki Kai), Graduate School of Medical and Dental Sciences, Kagoshima University, 8-35-1 Sakuragaoka, Kagoshima 890-8520, Japan. Tel: +81-99-275-5381; Fax: +81-99-265-4699. Email: setoro@m2.kufm.kagoshima-u.ac.jp

## ABSTRACT

**Background and Objectives:** Hemodialysis patients are at risk for bone loss and sarcopenia, characterized by reduced muscle mass and limited mobility/function. Osteoporosis and sarcopenia both increase the risk of hospitalization and death in affected individuals. Malnutrition also occurs as a complication of hemodialysis and has been identified as a risk factor for osteoporosis and sarcopenia. In this study, we examined the relationship between osteoporosis, muscle volume, walking ability, and malnutrition in hemodialysis patients. **Methods and Study Design:** Forty-five hemodialysis patients were evaluated. Bone mineral density (BMD) and muscle volume were measured by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry. Muscle volume and strength were evaluated using lean mass index (LMI), handgrip strength, and walking ability. The time required for a patient to walk 10 meters was measured to evaluate walking ability. The geriatric nutritional risk index (GNRI) was used to assess malnutrition. **Results:** Multiple linear regression analysis showed that older age, female sex, lower LMI, and higher total type I procollagen N-terminal propeptide were correlated with lower BMD of lumbar spine. Higher age and lower LMI were correlated with lower BMD of the femoral neck. Female sex and lower GNRI were correlated with lower LMI. Longer duration of hemodialysis was correlated with lower walking ability. **Conclusions:** Our findings suggest that muscle preservation is required to maintain both lumbar spine and femoral neck BMD. Similarly, nutritional management is necessary to maintain BMD via preservation of muscle volume. Complementary nutritional therapies are needed to improve osteoporosis and sarcopenia in high-risk hemodialysis patients.

**Key Words: hemodialysis, lean mass index (LMI), geriatric nutritional risk index (GNRI), bone mineral density (BMD), sarcopenia**

## INTRODUCTION

Chronic kidney disease and hemodialysis increase the risk of bone loss and fracture, which are associated with a reduction in quality of life.[1] Sarcopenia, characterized by reduced muscle mass and limited mobility/function, is also an important comorbidity in hemodialysis patients.[2] Osteoporosis and sarcopenia increase the risk of hospitalization and mortality.[1,2] Malnutrition is one of the vital complications in patients undergoing hemodialysis.[3,4] In addition, malnutrition is an important risk factor for osteoporosis and sarcopenia in hemodialysis patients.[5,6] In this study, we used geriatric nutritional risk index (GNRI) as a tool to assess nutritional status.[7] We examined the risk factors for bone loss, reduction of muscle

volume, and walking ability. Multivariable regression analysis was performed to evaluate the correlations between BMD, muscle volume, GNRI, and walking ability in hemodialysis patients.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Participants

We prospectively studied 45 patients who underwent hemodialysis for renal failure at a single institution (Ikeda hospital, Kagoshima, Japan) from 2016. Muscle volume and strength were evaluated using lean mass index (LMI), handgrip strength, and walking speed. Handgrip was measured using the Glip-D (provided by Takei Scientific Instruments Co. Ltd, Niigata, Japan). We diagnosed vertebral fracture with X-rays of the entire spine in the standing position. We evaluated the patients' age, sex, and duration of hemodialysis. Body weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg using digital scales with participants clothed in a disposable light paper gown and not wearing shoes. Body weight was measured just after hemodialysis. Height (cm) was measured to the nearest millimeter without shoes using DC-250 (Tanita Co. Ltd, Tokyo, Japan). We measured blood levels of tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase-5b (TRACP5b), total type I procollagen N-terminal propeptide (total P1NP), undercaroxylated osteocalcin (ucOC), serum amyloid A protein (SAA), cystatin C, $1,25(OH)_2D_3$, and intact parathyroid hormone (intact PTH).

Inclusion criteria are following: age ≥18 years; those on maintenance hemodialysis for longer than 6 months. Exclusion criteria is following: expected survival time less than 1 year; a confirmed diagnosis of malignancy; failure to walk 10 meters, such as patients with a history of cardiovascular failure, amputation surgery, or severe peripheral angiopathy; unwillingness to participate in this study.

### Ethics approval and consent to participate

This research protocol was approved by Ikeda Hospital (Approval No. 270701). This study was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in 2000. All patients gave their written informed consent for participation in this clinical study.

### Dual energy X-ray absorptiometry measurements

Body composition was measured via dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) using the Horizon Wi DXA system (Hologic, Waltham, MA, USA). Bone mineral density (BMD) $(g/cm^2)$ was evaluated in the lumbar spine (L2-L4) and femoral neck. The scanning of

patients and calculation of data were performed by one radiologic technologist to minimize variations in measurement. From whole body measurements, mean values for bone, fat, and lean mass were evaluated using BMD and lean mass index (LMI; LM/height$^2$).[8]

### *Geriatric nutritional risk index*

The GNRI was calculated with a modification of the nutritional risk index (NRI) for elderly patients.[9] GNRI was derived from serum albumin and body weight using the following formula:

GNRI = [1.489 × albumin (g/L)] + [41.7 × (body weight/ideal body weight)]

Body weight or ideal body weight were set to 1 when the patient's body weight exceeded the ideal body weight. The ideal body weight was defined as a BMI of 22.[7,10]

### *Walking ability*

Maximum walking speed along a 10-meter walkway was measured to assess patients' walking ability. The time (in seconds) required for patients to walk 10 meters was recorded.

### *Statistical analysis*

Multiple linear regression analysis and multivariable stepwise binomial logistic regression analysis were performed to correlate demographic data with the response variable. Because of the relatively small number of patients and the large number of confounding factors, we applied a stepwise variable selection method to identify significant factors, as previously described.11 Analysis was performed using BellCurve for Excel (Social Survey Research Information Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan).

### RESULTS

### *Risk factors for lower BMD in the lumbar spine and femoral neck*

The demographic and clinical characteristics of the 45 patients who underwent DXA scanning of the lumbar spine and femoral neck are shown in Table 1. BMD of the lumbar spine and femoral neck, LMI, GNRI, the number of vertebral fractures, and walking ability were evaluated. We performed multiple linear regression analysis to define risk factors for numerically lower BMD. Multiple linear regression analysis showed that older age, female sex, lower LMI, and higher total P1NP were correlated with lower BMD in the lumbar spine (Table 2). Multiple linear regression analysis showed that higher age and lower LMI were correlated with lower BMD in the femoral neck. Multivariable stepwise binomial logistic

regression analysis showed that higher TRACP5b and lower cystatin C were correlated with vertebral fracture (Table 3).

### *Risk factors for lower LMI and walking ability*

Multiple linear regression analysis showed that female sex and lower GNRI were correlated with lower LMI (Table 4). Multiple linear regression analysis showed that longer duration of hemodialysis was correlated with lower walking ability (Table 5).

## DISCUSSION

It has been reported that there is a positive correlation between lean body mass and BMD in patients undergoing peritoneal dialysis.[12] Nonetheless, two papers reported that lean body mass did not correlate with BMD in dialysis patients.[13,14] Our findings suggest that lower LMI was a risk factor for lower BMD of the lumbar spine and femoral neck, which supports the correlation between lean body mass and BMD. In addition, our findings suggest that lower GNRI is a risk factor for lower LMI. These findings suggest that improvement of GNRI prevents osteoporosis via improvement of LMI. To our knowledge, this is the first report to show that GNRI correlates with BMD in hemodialysis patients. The GNRI is advocated to evaluate the risk of malnutrition-related complications in elderly patients.[9] The GNRI has been shown to be a significant predictor of mortality and healthcare costs in hemodialysis patients,[7,15-17] and thus we used GNRI in our examinations.

A few articles examined the pathogenesis of sarcopenia in hemodialysis patients. Previous reports and our findings showed that LMI, hand grip, and walking ability are significantly lower in hemodialysis patients than in healthy people in Japan.[18-20] Ren et al reported that hemodialysis duration, diabetes, and serum phosphorus level were independent risk factors for sarcopenia in hemodialysis patients.[6] Our findings showed that lower GNRI is a risk factor for lower LMI. In order to improve nutritional status in hemodialysis patients, exercise, anabolic hormones, anti-inflammatory therapies, and appetite stimulants can be considered as complementary therapies in low GNRI patients as previously reported.[21] It has been reported that significant risk factors for low walking ability in hemodialysis patients were the presence of cardiac disease, low leg strength, poor standing balance, and history of fracture.[18] Risk factors for all-cause mortality are closely associated with walking speed in hemodialysis patients.[22,23] Our findings showed that longer duration of hemodialysis is a risk factor lower walking ability. Improvement of walking ability has been reported with adequate exercise

training, regardless of age and degree of deterioration.[18,24] Especially in patients with a longer duration of hemodialysis, adequate exercise training may be beneficial.

Our study had several limitations. As this study was a single-center cohort study, selection bias may have occurred. A multicenter study is necessary to confirm our findings. Because this study had a cross-sectional design, a longitudinal study should be carried out in the future to investigate changes in each factor. The number of patients and variables should be increased in future studies in order to identify important and precise risk factors.

### *Conclusions*

Our findings suggest that muscle volume preservation is required to maintain BMD. Similarly, nutritional management is necessary to maintain muscle volume. Complementary nutritional therapies might improve the sarcopenia and osteoporosis in high-risk hemodialysis patients.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND FUNDING DISCLOSURE

## REFERENCES

1. Tentori F, McCullough K, Kilpatrick RD, Bradbury BD, Robinson BM, Kerr PG, Pisoni RL. High rates of death and hospitalization follow bone fracture among hemodialysis patients. Kidney Int. 2014;85:166-73. doi: 10.1038/ki.2013.279.

2. Isoyama N, Qureshi AR, Avesani CM, Lindholm B, Barany P, Heimburger O, Cederholm T, Stenvinkel P, Carrero JJ. Comparative associations of muscle mass and muscle strength with mortality in dialysis patients. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2014;10:1720-8. doi: 10.2215/CJN.10261013.

3. Ikizler TA, Hakim RM. Nutrition in end-stage renal disease. Kidney Int. 1996;2:343-57.

4. Stenvinkel P, Heimburger O, Paultre F, Diczfalusy U, Wang T, Berglund L, Jogestrand T. Strong association between malnutrition, inflammation, and atherosclerosis in chronic renal failure. Kidney Int. 1999;5:1899-911. doi: 10.1046/j.1523-1755.1999.00422.x.

5. Ito M, Tanaka S. [Bone disorder and nutrition]. Clin Calcium. 2016;3:375-83. doi: CliCa1603375383.

6. Ren H, Gong D, Jia F, Xu B, Liu Z. Sarcopenia in patients undergoing maintenance hemodialysis: incidence rate, risk factors and its effect on survival risk. Ren Fail. 2016;3:364-71. doi: 10.3109/0886022X.2015.1132173.

7. Yamada K, Furuya R, Takita T, Maruyama Y, Yamaguchi Y, Ohkawa S, Kumagai H. Simplified nutritional screening tools for patients on maintenance hemodialysis. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;1:106-13. doi:

8. Wang J, Rennie KL, Gu W, Li H, Yu Z, Lin X. Independent associations of body-size adjusted fat mass and fat-free mass with the metabolic syndrome in Chinese. Ann Hum Biol. 2009;1:110-21. doi: 10.1080/03014460802585079.

9. Bouillanne O, Morineau G, Dupont C, Coulombel I, Vincent JP, Nicolis I, Benazeth S, Cynober L, Aussel C. Geriatric Nutritional Risk Index: a new index for evaluating at-risk elderly medical patients. Am J Clin Nutr. 2005;4:777-83.

10. Shah B, Sucher K, Hollenbeck CB. Comparison of ideal body weight equations and published height-weight tables with body mass index tables for healthy adults in the United States. Nutr Clin Pract. 2006;3:312-9. doi: 10.1177/0115426506021003312.

11. Fischer KE, Rogowski WH, Leidl R, Stollenwerk B. Transparency vs. closed-door policy: do process characteristics have an impact on the outcomes of coverage decisions? A statistical analysis. Health Policy. 2013;3:187-96. doi: 10.1016/j.healthpol.2013.04.011.

12. Negri AL, Barone R, Bogado CE, Zanchetta JR. [Relationship between weight, body composition and bone mass in peritoneal dialysis]. Nefrologia. 2005;3:269-74.

13. Grzegorzewska AE, Mlot-Michalska M. Bone mineral density, its predictors, and outcomes in peritoneal dialysis patients. Adv Perit Dial. 2011:140-5.

14. Grzegorzewska AE, Mlot-Michalska M. Total body mass is better than body mass index as a prognostic parameter for bone mineral density in dialyzed patients. Adv Perit Dial. 2009:178-80.

15. Dou Y, Wang P, Yuan F, Liang X, Liu D, Xiao J, Zhao Z, Liu Z. The geriatric nutritional risk index may predict healthcare costs and health transitions during hemodialysis in China. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr. 2017;1:6-10. doi: 10.6133/apjcn.122015.03.

16. Kang SH, Cho KH, Park JW, Yoon KW, Do JY. Geriatric Nutritional Risk Index as a prognostic factor in peritoneal dialysis patients. Perit Dial Int. 2013;4:405-10. doi: 10.3747/pdi.2012.00018.

17. Szeto CC, Kwan BC, Chow KM, Law MC, Li PK. Geriatric nutritional risk index as a screening tool for malnutrition in patients on chronic peritoneal dialysis. J Ren Nutr. 2010;1:29-37. doi: 10.1053/j.jrn.2009.04.004.

18. Abe Y, Matsunaga A, Matsuzawa R, Kutsuna T, Yamamoto S, Yoneki K, et al. Determinants of Slow Walking Speed in Ambulatory Patients Undergoing Maintenance Hemodialysis. PLoS One. 2016;3:e0151037. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0151037.

19. Makizako H, Shimada H, Doi T, Tsutsumimoto K, Lee S, Lee SC, et al. Age-dependent changes in physical performance and body composition in community-dwelling Japanese older adults. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle. 2017;4:607-14. doi: 10.1002/jcsm.12197.

20. Seino S, Shinkai S, Iijima K, Obuchi S, Fujiwara Y, Yoshida H, et al. Reference Values and Age Differences in Body Composition of Community-Dwelling Older Japanese Men and Women: A Pooled Analysis of Four Cohort Studies. PLoS One. 2015;7:e0131975. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0131975.

21. Ikizler TA. Optimal nutrition in hemodialysis patients. Adv Chronic Kidney Dis. 2013;2:181-9. doi: 10.1053/j.ackd.2012.12.002.

22. Matsuzawa R, Matsunaga A, Wang G, Kutsuna T, Ishii A, Abe Y, Takagi Y, Yoshida A, Takahira N. Habitual physical activity measured by accelerometer and survival in maintenance hemodialysis patients. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2012;12:2010-6. doi: 10.2215/CJN.03660412.

23. Kutsuna T, Matsunaga A, Matsumoto T, Ishii A, Yamamoto K, Hotta K, et al. Physical activity is necessary to prevent deterioration of the walking ability of patients undergoing maintenance hemodialysis. Ther Apher Dial. 2010;2:193-200. doi: 10.1111/j.1744-9987.2009.00750.x.

24. Yamamoto S, Matsunaga A, Wang G, Hoshi K, Kamiya K, Noda C, Kimura M, Yamaoka-Tojo M, Masuda T. Effect of balance training on walking speed and cardiac events in elderly patients with ischemic heart disease. Int Heart J. 2014;5:397-403.

**Table 1.** Demographic data[†]

| Patients characteristics | n=45 |
|---|---|
| Age | 63 (57-68) |
| Female sex | 25 (55.6%) |
| Duration of hemodialysis (months) | 63 (35-123) |
| Vertebral fracture | 5 (11.1%) |
| GNRI | 94.3 (92.3-98.3) |
| Albumin (g/dL) | 3.7 (3.4-3.9) |
| Body weight (kg) | 55.7 (47.3-61) |
| The ratio of body weight to ideal body weight | 1.02 (0.92-1.11) |
| Lumbar spine BMD ($g/cm^2$) | 0.87 (0.76-1.01) |
| T-scores (lumber spine BMD) | -1.5 (-2.1- -0.3) |
| Femoral neck BMD ($g/cm^2$) | 0.60 (0.50-0.68) |
| T-scores (femoral neck BMD) | -2.0 (-2.7- -1.3) |
| LMI ($kg/m^2$) | 14.6 (13.6-15.9) |
| Hand grip (kg) | 23.3 (18.6-32.1) |
| 10m gait time (sec) | 6.3 (5.7-7.3) |
| Total P1NP (ng/mL) | 241.4 (131.8-339.9) |
| TRACP 5b (mU/dL) | 528.0 (322.0-756.0) |
| SAA (µg/mL) | 7.7 (3.5-14.0) |
| PTH intact (pg/mL) | 101.0 (55.0-184.0) |
| B2MG (mg/L) | 27.8 (25.0-29.9) |
| Cystatin C (mg/L) | 6.3 (5.6-6.7) |
| 1a 25 $(OH)_2$ vit D (pg/mL) | 15.0 (11.0-23.0) |
| uc OC (ng/mL) | 45.9 (25.0-79.9) |

GNRI: Geriatric Nutritional Risk Index; BMD: bone mineral density; LMI: lean mass index; P1NP: N-terminal propeptide of type I procollagen; TRACP 5b: Tartrate-resistant Acid Phosphatase 5b; SAA: serum amyloid A; PTH: parathormone; B2MG: β2-microglobulin; uc OC: undercarboxylated osteocalcin.
[†]Data are presented as number (%); or median (range 25% quartile-75% quartile).


**Table 2.** Risk factors for low BMD

| Variables | Partial regression coefficient | Standardized partial regression coefficient | p value[†] |
|---|---|---|---|
| Lumbar spine | | | |
| Coefficient of determination $R^2$: 0.571 | | | |
| Age | -0.006 | -0.341 | 0.005 |
| Female sex | -0.116 | -0.305 | 0.045 |
| Lean mass index ($kg/m^2$) | 0.042 | 0.424 | 0.003 |
| Hand grip (kg) | -0.005 | -0.228 | 0.155 |
| Total P1NP (ng/mL) | -0.0003 | -0.235 | 0.044 |
| Femoral neck | | | |
| Coefficient of determination $R^2$: 0.625 | | | |
| Age | -0.004 | -0.306 | 0.017 |
| Female sex | -0.056 | -0.203 | 0.077 |
| Lean mass index ($kg/m^2$) | 0.030 | 0.418 | <0.001 |
| TRACP 5b (mU/dL) | -0.0001 | -0.156 | 0.177 |
| B2MG | -0.003 | -0.1968 | 0.122 |

P1NP: N-terminal propeptide of type I procollagen; GNRI: Geriatric Nutritional Risk Index; TRACP 5b: Tartrate-resistant Acid Phosphatase 5b; B2MG: β2-microglobulin.
[†]p value is for partial regression coefficient.

**Table 3.** Risk factors for vertebral fracture

| Variables | Odds ratio | *p* value |
|---|---|---|
| Coefficient of determination R2: 0.451 | | |
| TRACP5b (mU/dL) | 1.006 (1.001-1.011) | 0.023 |
| PTH intact (pg/mL) | 0.996 (0.991-1.002) | 0.211 |
| Cystatin C (mg/L) | 0.293 (0.091-0.939) | 0.039 |

TRACP 5b: Tartrate-resistant Acid Phosphatase 5b; PTH: parathormone.

**Table 4.** Risk factors for low Lean Mass Index

| Variables | Partial regression coefficient | Standardized partial regression coefficient | *p* value |
|---|---|---|---|
| Coefficient of determination $R^2$: 0.533 | | | |
| Female sex | -1.515 | -0.398 | 0.005 |
| Duration of hemodialysis (months) | -0.004 | -0.177 | 0.191 |
| GNRI | 0.098 | 0.271 | 0.043 |

GNRI: Geriatric Nutritional Risk Index.
[†]*p* value is for partial regression coefficient.

**Table 5.** Risk factors for low walking ability

| Variables | Partial regression coefficient | Standardized partial regression coefficient | *p* value |
|---|---|---|---|
| Coefficient of determination $R^2$: 0.258 | | | |
| Age | 0.029 | 0.263 | 0.055 |
| Duration of hemodialysis (months) | 0.005 | 0.422 | 0.003 |

[†]*p* value is for partial regression coefficient.