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A single-nucleotide polymorphism in transferrin is associated with soluble transferrin receptor in Chinese adolescents
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Background and Objectives: Associations between genetic variants in the hepcidin regulation pathway and iron status have been reported in previous studies. Most of these studies were conducted in populations of European descent and relatively few studies have been conducted in Chinese populations. In this study, we evaluated associations between single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the hepcidin regulation pathway, serum ferritin (SF) and soluble transferrin receptor (sTfR) in Chinese adolescents. Methods and Study Design: In total, 692 students from rural boarding schools were selected from six cities in China. The participants were divided into case and control groups according to criteria for SF and sTfR. Furthermore, 33 SNPs in TMPRSS6, TF, TFR2, BMP2, BMP4, HJV, CYBRD1, HFE, IL6, PCSK7, HAMP, KIAA1468, and SRPRB were selected. Associations between the genetic variants and SF or sTfR were detected. Results: For SF, rs4820268 in TMPRSS6 was associated with an SF <25 ng/mL status. Carriers of the G/G genotype of rs4820268 exhibited significantly lower SF levels than A allele carriers did (p=0.047). For sTfR, rs1880669 in TF, rs4901474 in BMP4, and rs7536827 in HJV were significantly associated with an sTfR ≥4.4 mg/L status. However, in general linear model analysis, after adjustment for age, sex, and location, only rs1880669 exhibited a stable association with higher sTfR levels (p=0.032). Conclusions: We found rs4820268, in TMPRSS6 that was associated with a low SF level, as previously reported, and a new association between 1880669 in TF and sTfR.
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INTRODUCTION

Iron is an essential element involved in energy metabolism and other biochemical processes, including oxygen transport in blood, oxidative phosphorylation in cellular respiration, erythropoiesis, and DNA synthesis.1,2 Anemia is a blood disorder affecting approximately one quarter of the global population, particularly pregnant women and young children, because of their high iron requirements.3 Iron deficiency (ID), a major cause of anemia, can result in intellectual reduction, motor function damage,5 and iron deficiency anemia (IDA). In addition to nutritional factors and infectious diseases, a possible relationship between single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and IDs has been reported in recent studies conducted using genome-wide association technology.3,6,8 This evidence strongly suggests that iron status might depend on genetics and nutrition. SNPs in BMP2,9 BMP4,9 HAMP,9 CYBRD1,10 HFE,11-13 HJV,9,14 IL6,15-17 KIAA1468,8 PCSK7,3 SRPRB,11,18 TF,8,11,19,20 TFR2,21 TMPRSS6,6,12,22,23 and LOC10537514719 have been reported to have a positive association with the status of serum ferritin (SF), iron store (IS), soluble transferrin receptor (sTfR), hemoglobin (Hb), total iron-binding capacity, unsaturated iron-binding capacity, hemochromatosis (HFE), and ferroportin. Iron metabolism is regulated by the concerted action of several genes and proteins. In previous studies, the most positive genetic variants influencing iron status were observed in the hepcidin regulation pathway. Hepcidin, a circulating peptide hormone produced mainly in the liver, is coded by HAMP, and its expression level has been identified as a key factor for iron homeostasis.20 Variations in the genes involved in the hepcidin regulation pathway have been considered as potential factors affecting iron status, and the consequences of the presence of these variants include
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abnormal iron status and probably the development of iron-refractory iron deficiency anemia (IRIDA). In similar studies, significant associations have been reported among fluctuations in iron status, the gene polymorphisms of iron sensors on the membranes of hepatocytes, and the trigger proteins in the hepcidin regulation pathway. Each iron status biomarker has advantages and limitations. For instance, Hb is a widely used screening biomarker for ID. However, Hb is unsuitable for assessing the iron status of an individual because of its instability, low sensitivity, and low specificity. On the basis of previous reports, SF remains the optimal indicator of IS in the absence of infection or inflammation. Another indicator, sTfR, a measure of cellular iron deficiency, is directed by iron-deficient erythropoiesis and is not strongly affected by concurrent infection or inflammation. After comparing the features of each of the aforementioned indicators, SF and sTfR were selected for this study. Although many related studies are available, most of those studies focused on populations of European descent. To provide new data in this field, a case-control study was designed to observe the associations between SNPs in the genes involved the hepcidin regulation pathway and SF and sTfR in a Chinese adolescent population.

METHODS

Study population
A case-control approach was employed to investigate the relationship between polymorphisms in genes involved in the hepcidin regulation pathway and SF and sTfR. Both male and female students who studied in the first grade of junior high school at rural boarding schools were enrolled with the fully informed consent of guards and participants from the following six cities in China: Zhaoqing, Guangdong Province; Chengdu, Sichuan Province; Wenshang, Shandong Province; Wuzhong, Ningxia Hui Autonomous Region; and Tianjin and Songyang, Zhejiang Province. Questionnaires were designed to collect the personal and family data of the participants through a person-to-person interview. The information included demographics and the quantity of food consumed from the school canteens during week days and at home during weekends. Students with inflammation or infection were excluded, and those who did not consume meals from the school canteens, on the basis of the information obtained from the interview questionnaires, were also excluded from our study.

Measurement of SF, sTfR, and CRP
Overnight fasting venous blood samples were collected using blood collection tubes (SST™ II Advance, Becton, Dickinson and Company, USA) by local CDC staff and local clinicians. The collected blood samples were allowed to stand undisturbed for 30 min. The sera were separated from the red blood cells through centrifugation at 3000 rpm at the worksite, frozen, and then sent to the laboratory of the Capital Institute of Pediatrics. All sera and red blood cells were stored at ~80 °C until use in analysis. SF, sTfR, and C-reactive protein (CRP) were measured through a Roche Tina-quant immunoturbidimetric assay by using a Hitachi 7080 clinical analyzer (Roche Diagnostics) with the same batch of reagents. All assays and quality control were strictly conducted according to the manufacturer’s instructions and reference samples. According to the detection results for SF and sTfR, the participants were divided into two groups: controls and cases. Cases were defined according to SF <25 ng/mL or sTfR ≥4.4 mg/L (kit reference). CRP was regarded as an indicator of infection or inflammation. Samples were excluded from our analysis if CRP ≥5 mg/L to eliminate the effects on SF and sTfR.

DNA extraction and genotyping
Genomic DNA was extracted from the frozen samples of red blood cells by using a magnetic bead DNA extraction kit (Biotek Corporation), and the procedure for automatic extraction systems was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The concentration and purity of extracted DNA samples were measured by reading their absorbance at 260 and 280 nm. All the samples were stored at −20 °C for further use. The iPLEX platform (Sequenom, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) was used for genotyping. The PCR and extension primers were designed using MassARRAY Assay Design 3.1 software (Sequenom, Inc.). All genotyping reactions were performed according to the manufacturer’s iPLEX Application Guide (Sequenom, Inc.).

Statistical analysis
SPSS version 17.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL), SNP Stats (http://bioinfo.iconcologia.net/snpstats/start.htm), and Haploview v4.2 were used for all calculations with a significance level of 0.05. The thresholds of qualified SNPs for association testing and linkage disequilibrium (LD) analysis were (1) call rate >0.8, (2) Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium test p>0.05 (for controls), (3) minor allele frequency >0.05. Results were expressed as the mean±SD. Tests of the distribution of participants and Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium were performed using chi-square analysis. Differences in continuous variables among the genotype-based groups were analyzed through ANOVA followed by a Bonferroni post hoc test. A general linear model analysis adjusted for sex, age, and location was performed to investigate whether the positive SNPs were correlated with SF and sTfR independent of the concentration. The pairwise linkage disequilibrium for diallelic sequence variants located in one gene was computed using Haploview v4.2.

Ethics statements
Written informed consent was obtained from all participants and their guardians. The protocol of this study was evaluated and approved by the ethical committee of the Institute for Nutrition and Health, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention (2013-002).

RESULTS

Characteristics of study population
In total, 681 rural boarding school students from six cities in China, aged 12 to 17 years, were recruited for this study. The demographic information and biomarker levels, namely sex, age, SF, sTfR, and CRP, are presented in
Table 1. The average age of the participants was 13.8±1.12 years and no differences were observed between males and females; therefore, the effect of age on IS was eliminated. The average serum levels of SF and sTfR were 62.6±42.7 ng/mL and 3.53±1.37 mg/mL, respectively, and no discrepancies were observed between males and females.

Characteristics of the candidate SNPs
Through the genotyping analysis of 10 genes, 33 single nucleotide variant sites were identified, among which 27 SNPs satisfied our criteria and were used for further analysis (Table 2).

Associations of genetic variants and SF
One SNP, rs4820268, in TMPRSS6 was associated with the SF <25 ng/mL status. Carriers of G/G in rs4820268 were 3.48 (95% CI: 1.55–7.82, p=0.003) times more likely to develop the SF <25 ng/mL status than the carriers of A/A. In the dominant model, the G allele in this SNP was observed as a factor for SF <25 ng/mL; the OR of this model was 2.48 (95% CI: 1.16–5.33, p=0.01). Although the OR (G/G: OR: 2.19, 95% CI: 1.32–3.66, p=0.003) decreased slightly in the recessive model analysis, the effect of the G allele in rs4820268 on SF was also significant. Participants with G/G genotypes had a significantly lower SF level (57.8±36.0 ng/mL) than those of participants with A/A and A/G (65.79±47.70 ng/mL) genotypes (p=0.047) in the recessive model (Table 3). The general linear model analysis revealed that rs4820268 in TMPRSS6 (p=0.002) and sex (p=0.002) were related to SF levels, but age and location were not (Table 4). No other association with the SF level was observed, including rs855791, a missense mutation in TMPRSS6, whose effect on iron status was confirmed previously.6

Associations of genetic variants with sTfR
Three SNPs were found to be significantly associated

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>328</td>
<td></td>
<td>681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, year</td>
<td>13.8±1.30</td>
<td>13.7±1.10</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>13.8±1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF, ng/mL</td>
<td>64.5±45.7</td>
<td>60.6±39.3</td>
<td>0.232</td>
<td>62.6±42.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sTfR, mg/L</td>
<td>3.63±1.00</td>
<td>3.44±1.67</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>3.53±1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP, mg/L</td>
<td>0.62±0.76</td>
<td>0.53±0.75</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.58±0.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Data are presented as the mean±SD.

Table 2. SNPs selected

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Chr.</th>
<th>SNP</th>
<th>MAF (total)</th>
<th>MAF (men)</th>
<th>MAF (women)</th>
<th>Biomarkers associated with genes in previous studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMP2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>rs173107</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rs235756</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rs6077060</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMP4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>rs4901474</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rs2356782</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HV</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>rs16827043</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rs7536827</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIAA1468</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>rs9948708</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>TIBC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCSK7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>rs236918</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRPRB</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>rs6794945</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TF</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>rs1358024</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>TIBC, SF41, sTfR20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rs1525892</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rs1799852</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rs1880669</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rs3811647</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rs3811658</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rs7638018</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rs8177248</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFR2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>rs4434553</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rs7385804</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMPRSS6</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>rs11704654</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>IDA, sTfR23, SF20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rs1421312</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rs2111833</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rs2235321</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rs2543519</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rs4820268</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rs855791</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MAF: minimum allele frequency; Chr.: chromosome.
Table 3. Results of associations between rs4820268 and SF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SNP</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Case†</th>
<th>OR (95% CIs)</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
<th>SF, ng/mL</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rs4820268</td>
<td>TMPRSS6</td>
<td>Genotypic</td>
<td>A/A</td>
<td>126 (94.0%)</td>
<td>8 (6.0%)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>65.0±44.6</td>
<td>0.135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A/G</td>
<td>242 (89.3%)</td>
<td>29 (10.7%)</td>
<td>1.89 (0.84-4.25)</td>
<td>66.2±49.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G/G</td>
<td>145 (81.9%)</td>
<td>32 (18.1%)</td>
<td>3.48 (1.55-7.82)</td>
<td>57.8±36.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dominant</td>
<td>A/A</td>
<td>126 (94.0%)</td>
<td>8 (6.0%)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>64.9±44.6</td>
<td>0.643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A/G+G/G</td>
<td>387 (86.4%)</td>
<td>61 (13.6%)</td>
<td>2.48 (1.16-5.33)</td>
<td>62.9±44.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Recessive</td>
<td>A/A+A/G</td>
<td>368 (90.9%)</td>
<td>37 (9.1%)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0029</td>
<td>65.8±47.7</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>G/G</td>
<td>145 (81.9%)</td>
<td>32 (18.1%)</td>
<td>2.19 (1.32-3.66)</td>
<td>57.8±36.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as the mean±SD.

†SF <25 ng/mL.

* p<0.05 is considered statistically significant.
with the sTfR ≥4.4 mg/L status, namely rs1880669 in TF, rs4901474 in BMP4, and rs7536827 in HJV. Eight SNPs in TF were tested, but only rs1880669 showed an association with the sTfR ≥4.4 mg/L status. The genotypes A/G and A/A in rs1880669 were significantly associated with the sTfR ≥4.4 mg/L status; the ORs were 2.38 (95% CI: 1.09–5.21, p<0.05) and 2.47 (95% CI: 1.08–5.65, p<0.05), respectively. The effect of the A allele was also confirmed in the dominant model (OR: 2.41, 95% CI: 1.13–5.16, p<0.05). Among the SNPs in BMP4, rs4901474 was found to be associated with the sTfR ≥4.4 mg/L status in the dominant model. Therefore, the T allele was revealed as a risk factor for the case group. The SNP rs7536827 in HJV was detected and was also associated with the sTfR ≥4.4 mg/L status. Homozygosity for A in rs7536827 was suggested to be a risk factor for the sTfR ≥4.4 mg/L status in the recessive model. For rs1880669 in TF, participants with the A allele had significantly higher sTfR levels (3.59±1.47 mg/L) than those with G/G (3.35±0.83 mg/L) genotypes (p=0.014) did. For rs7536827 in HJV, participants with A/A genotypes had significantly higher sTfR levels (3.71±1.92 mg/L) than those with A/T (3.37±0.96 mg/L) genotypes (p=0.033) did. In addition, the participants with A/A genotypes had significantly higher sTfR levels (3.71±1.92 mg/L) than those with T alleles (3.43±1.02 mg/L) (p=0.021) did. No other SNPs exhibited any associations with sTfR (Table 5). The general linear model analysis revealed that the variant rs1880669 in TF was significantly associated with sTfR levels (p=0.032), but the effects of rs4901474 and rs7536827 on sTfR levels were nullified (Table 6). LD analyses showed that rs1880669 in TF and rs7536827 in HJV were independent (r²<0.33). The LD plots among SNPs that were selected on TF and HJV are depicted in Figure 1. No haplotype was associated with sTfR.

**DISCUSSION**

The variants genotyped in our study exhibited associations with iron metabolism, particularly in the hepatic-mediated iron metabolism pathway. The gene TMPRSS6, which encodes the protein matrilspe-2, has an inhibitory effect on the production of hepcidin through the cleavage of hemojuelin, a BMP coreceptor. Mutations in TMPRSS6 have been reported to be strongly associated with the indicators of iron and iron-related diseases. In our study, we observed that rs4820268 in TMPRSS6 was associated with low SF levels, and homozygosity for G was a risk factor. This result was consistent with previous reports and confirmed the association between TMPRSS6 and iron status. Another crucial SNP, rs855791 in TMPRSS6, has been reported to be associated with many indicators of iron status and iron-related diseases. However, we failed to replicate these results in our study. It is possible that rs4820268 is not in linkage disequilibrium (r²=0.044) with rs855791 in the Chinese population; thus, its association with iron traits are different. The protein sTfR comprises two identical subunits connected by a pair of disulfide bridges to form a 190 kDa molecule. The proteins encoded by TF, BMP4, and HJV are the triggers of HAMP. Although the mechanisms underlying mutations are not yet well explained, the mutations in the coding region binding terminal domains of TF probably alter the combining power to ferric iron and thereby affect the efficiency of iron transport. The variants rs1880669 in TF, rs4901474 and rs7536827 in HJV were found to be associated with sTfR. In the general linear analysis adjusted for age, sex, and location, the effects of rs4901474 and 7536827 were not detected. The effects of BMP4 and HJV polymorphisms on sTfR were weaker than those of TF polymorphism. Homozygosity for A in rs1880669 was associated with a 2.54-fold higher likelihood of a high sTfR status than that of homozygosity for G. A similar result was observed in the dominant model. We observed for the first time that the A allele in rs1880669 increased the risk of high sTfR in this Chinese adolescent population.

Variants at other loci that were found to affect the phenotypes of iron through a signaling or transfer pathway were not detected in our study. In addition, related studies have revealed that different mutations in the same or different genes may play different roles and affect phenotypes to varying degrees. These findings may explain why the mutation rs4820268 in TMPRSS6 was associated with SF and the variant rs1880669 in TF was associated with sTfR. These phenomena were also reported in related studies that have focused on the associations between genetic factors and IDA, IRIDA, or related indices of iron status. Menstruation, a risk factor for IDA in women, was considered in several studies. However, some studies have shown that menstruation did not affect the iron level or iron disorders. Our results of the analysis on sTfR were consistent with reports indicating that menstruation need not be considered a risk factor in investigating the associations between genetic variants and iron status. However, in the analysis on SF, sex manifested its effect on SF levels, which might mainly be caused by instability and sensitivity of SF. These results suggested that the effects of genetic polymorphisms on iron status were more severe than those of physiologic metabolism. Although the

**Table 4. General linear model with SF level**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Adjusted $R^2$</th>
<th>$F$</th>
<th>p-values$^*$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
<td>1.484</td>
<td>0.224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (men: 1, women: 0)</td>
<td>9.547</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>2.529</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs4820268 (1: A/A, 2: A/G, 3: G/G)</td>
<td>6.086</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All p values were two-tailed. $^*$p<0.05 is considered statistically significant.
Table 5. Results of associations between SNPs and sTfR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SNP</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>model</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Case(^1)</th>
<th>OR (95% CIs)</th>
<th>(p)-value (*)</th>
<th>sTfR, mg/L</th>
<th>(p)-value (^\ddagger)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rs1880669</td>
<td>TF</td>
<td>Genotypic</td>
<td>G/G</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>3.35±0.83</td>
<td>0.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A/G</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2.38 (1.09-5.21)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.54±1.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A/A</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2.47 (1.08-5.65)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.68±1.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dominant</td>
<td>G/G</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>3.35±0.83</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A/G+A/A</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>2.41 (1.13-5.16)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.59±1.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Recessive</td>
<td>G/G+G</td>
<td>393</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1.23 (0.76-2.07)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>3.48±1.03</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A/A</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.23 (0.76-2.07)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.68±1.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs4901474</td>
<td>BMP4</td>
<td>Genotypic</td>
<td>C/C</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>3.43±1.16</td>
<td>0.191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C/T</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1.90 (1.09-3.30)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.64±1.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T/T</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.49 (0.67-3.27)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.43±0.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dominant</td>
<td>C/C</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>3.43±1.16</td>
<td>0.169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C/T+T/T</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1.80 (1.06-3.05)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.59±1.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Recessive</td>
<td>C/C+C/T</td>
<td>466</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1.03 (0.51-2.10)</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>3.54±1.47</td>
<td>0.524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T/T</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.03 (0.51-2.10)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.43±0.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rs7536827</td>
<td>HJV</td>
<td>Genotypic</td>
<td>T/T</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>3.54±1.12</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A/T</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.63 (0.33-1.21)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.37±0.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A/A</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.35 (0.73-2.51)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.71±1.92</td>
<td>(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dominant</td>
<td>T/T</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>3.54±1.12</td>
<td>0.795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A/T+A/A</td>
<td>403</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.91 (0.52-1.59)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.51±1.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Recessive</td>
<td>T/T+A/T</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>3.43±1.02</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A/A</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.78 (1.07-2.95)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.71±1.92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)sTfR >4.4 mg/mL.

All \(p\) values were two sided. \(^*\)\(p<0.05\) is considered statistically significant.

\(^\ddagger\)denotes a significant difference between the A/A genotype and A/T genotype.
The protein encoded by \textit{HFE} regulates iron absorption by modulating transferrin receptor and interacts with transferrin. Previous studies have shown that the most common missense mutation, C282Y, along with another variant, H63D, in the \textit{HFE} gene can influence the parameters of iron metabolism and lead to genetic hemochromatosis. Although positive results regarding the roles of the \textit{HFE} gene were identified in populations of European descent, no associations were observed in this study, despite the possibility that the allelic frequencies of these variants were rare in Chinese populations.

Considering the complexity of iron homeostasis, collaborative effects should be further resolved. According to previous studies and the results from our research, we assumed that the strength of products encoded by different genes varies. The spatial configuration of proteins is probably determined by crucial amino acids located at pivotal loci, which might be explained by the fact that some of the detected SNPs are sufficiently potent to affect phenotypes but others are weaker. Although the precise underlying mechanisms have yet to be defined, previous studies and our study have established that \textit{TMPRSS6}, \textit{TF}, \textit{BMP4}, \textit{HJV}, and related genes are associated with iron status. Our study revealed an association between genetic variants and SF and sTfR in a Chinese adolescent population. Moreover, a novel association between rs1880669 in \textit{TF} and sTfR levels was identified in the Chinese adolescent population. The results of this study could strengthen the evidence of the functional role of the genes involved in iron regulation.

Conclusions
In summary, the present study documented associations between genetic variants and indicators of iron status. We observed a previously reported association between rs4820268, in \textit{TMPRSS6} and \textit{SF} and identified a new association between 1880669 in \textit{TF} and sTfR.
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