

## Original Article

# Association of dietary vitamin E intake with risk of lung cancer: a dose-response meta-analysis

Yong-Jian Zhu MD<sup>1</sup>, Ya-Cong Bo MD<sup>2</sup>, Xin-Xin Liu PhD<sup>2</sup>, Chun-Guang Qiu PhD<sup>1</sup><sup>1</sup>Department of Cardiology, the First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, Henan, China<sup>2</sup>Department of Nutrition and Food Hygiene, College of Public Health, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, Henan, China

**Background and Objectives:** Several epidemiological studies investigating the association between dietary vitamin E intake and the risk of lung cancer have demonstrated inconsistent results. Hence, a meta-analysis was conducted to summarise evidence of the association of dietary vitamin E intake with the risk of lung cancer. **Methods and Study Design:** In this meta-analysis, a systematic literature search of PubMed and Web of Science was conducted to identify relevant studies published from 1955 to April 2015. If  $p < 0.05$  or  $I^2 > 50\%$ , a random effect model was used to estimate overall relative risks (RRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Otherwise, a fixed effect model was applied. Publication bias was estimated using the funnel plot and Egger's test. The dose-response relationship was assessed using the method of restricted cubic splines with 4 knots at percentiles 5, 35, 65, and 95 of the distribution. **Results:** The pooled RR of lung cancer for the highest versus lowest categories of dietary vitamin E intake was 0.84 (95% CI=0.76-0.93). With every 2 mg/d increase in dietary vitamin E intake, the risk of lung cancer statistically decreased by 5% (RR=0.95, 95% CI =0.91-0.99,  $p_{\text{linearity}}=0.0237$ ). **Conclusions:** Our analysis suggests that higher dietary vitamin E intake exerts a protective effect against lung cancer.

**Key Words:** vitamin E, lung cancer, dietary intake, meta-analysis, dose-response

## INTRODUCTION

Lung cancer has the highest incidence and mortality among all cancers.<sup>1</sup> It is reported that an estimated of 1.3 million newly lung cancer cases are diagnosed each year.<sup>2</sup> Furthermore, the overall survival rate of patients with lung cancer is considerably low.<sup>2</sup> More than half of patients are diagnosed with advanced lung cancer. Hence, these patients have a poor prognosis and considerably low 5-year survival rate.<sup>3</sup> Undoubtedly, it is necessary to explore preventive measures of lung cancer. The etiology of lung cancer is still not completely known, and many studies have demonstrated that genetic factors<sup>4-6</sup> and environmental factors including alcohol consumption,<sup>7</sup> tobacco use,<sup>8-10</sup> intake of fruits,<sup>11</sup> vegetables and vitamins<sup>12,13</sup> play roles in lung cancer development.

Vitamin E is a powerful lipid-soluble vitamin, which has several health benefits, including antioxidant, anti-inflammatory and neuroprotective properties.<sup>14</sup> In recent years, the use of vitamin E supplements as antioxidants has become common.<sup>15</sup> Since the early 1980s, several studies have demonstrated that antioxidants and other micronutrients such as vitamin E play preventive roles in carcinogenesis.<sup>16,17</sup> However, the results from these studies have been controversial. Roswalla et al demonstrated that vitamin E intake is a protective factor against lung cancer,<sup>13</sup> whereas other studies suggested vitamin E intake has no effect on lung cancer.<sup>18-20</sup> Moreover, Fortmann et al revealed in his study that vitamin E intake increased the risk of lung cancer.<sup>21</sup> To date, no definitive evidence has demonstrated that vitamins E can reduce the

incidence of lung cancer. For a more precise evaluation of the relationship between vitamin E intake and the risk of lung cancer, we conducted a meta-analysis of 9 prospective cohort articles covering 4,164 cases and 431,359 controls to assess the dose-response relationship of lung cancer with vitamin E intake.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Search strategy

In this study, we conducted a comprehensive literature search of PubMed and Web of Science to identify potential studies with information on dietary vitamin E intake and lung cancer risk from 1955 to April 2015. We searched for English-language articles by using the following keywords separately or in combination: "lung cancer" and "vitamins" and "vitamin E" or "tocopherol". We evaluated all relevant publications including research articles and reviews to retrieve additional eligible studies. When several publications reporting on the same study population data, only that with the most complete or larg-

**Corresponding Author:** Dr Chun-Guang Qiu, Department of Cardiology, the First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University, No.1 Eastern Jianshe road, Zhengzhou, 450052, Henan Province, China.

Tel: 86+13803898806

Email: qiu\_contribution@163.com

Manuscript received 18 September 2015. Initial review completed 06 December 2015. Revision accepted 27 December 2015.

doi: 10.6133/apjcn.032016.04

est sample was included in our meta-analysis.

### **Study selection**

We scrutinized full text of each potentially relevant paper by using the following inclusion criteria to select studies for the meta-analysis: (1) studies on dietary vitamin E intake and risk of lung cancer (2) studies included should be prospective cohort studies; (3) dietary vitamin E intake was the independent variable of interest; (4) the dependent variable of interest was lung cancer; (5) provide sufficient data for estimating an RR with a 95% CI; and (6) the studies must provide the dietary intake of vitamin E for each response category (or data available to calculate them) for dose-response analysis. The exclusion criteria were: (1) reviews; (2) the RR with 95% CI was unavailable; (3) repeated publications; and (4) studies about vitamin supplement.

### **Data extraction**

To minimise bias, 2 reviewers independently and carefully extracted information from all eligible studies meeting the inclusion criteria. Disagreements regarding eligibility of the data were resolved through consensus with a third reviewer. The following characteristics were collected from each study in the order shown: the first author's surname, publication year, country, study design, source of controls, the age range of study participants, duration of follow-up, the total number of participants and cases (person-years), the numbers of cases and controls with lung cancer, and RR (95% CI) for each category of vitamin E. From each study, we used the RR that reflected the maximum extent of control for potential confounders.

### **Statistical analysis**

The inverse variance-weighted mean of the logarithm of RR with the 95% CI was calculated to assess the association between dietary vitamin E intake and the risk of lung cancer.  $I^2$  was used to assess heterogeneity, and  $I^2$  values of 0%, 25%, 50% and 75%, respectively, represented no, low, moderate and high heterogeneity.<sup>22</sup> If  $p < 0.05$  or  $I^2 > 50%$ , which represented significant heterogeneity, a random effects model was applied. Otherwise, a fixed effects model was applied. The potential publication bias was evaluated using Egger's test<sup>23</sup> and Funnel plots.

The method of restricted cubic splines with 4 knots at percentiles 5, 35, 65, and 95 of the distribution was adopted to assess the dose-response relationship between dietary vitamin E intake and lung cancer risk. For this method, the distribution of cases and person-years or controls, and the RRs with 95% CI with the variance estimates for at least three quantitative exposure categories are known. The median or mean intake of vitamin E in each category was assigned to the corresponding RR with 95% CI for each study. For studies that reported vitamin E by range of intake in the articles, the midpoint of the range was used. When the highest category was open-ended, we assumed the width of the category to be the same as that of the adjacent category. When the lowest category was open-ended, we set the lower boundary to zero.<sup>24,25</sup> All statistical analyses were conducted using STATA software (version 12.0; StatCorp, College Station, TX, USA). A 2-sided  $p$  value  $< 0.05$  was considered

statistically significant.

## **RESULTS**

### **Search results and study characteristics**

In total, we identified 504 and 978 articles from PubMed and Web of Science, respectively. One article was retrieved from a reference list. After excluding 847 duplicate papers, we reviewed the titles and abstracts of the remaining 636 articles and excluded an additional 596 articles. We examined the full text of the remaining 40 articles, and 28 articles were excluded for the following reasons: 1 article did not report the RR, 21 were reviews, 1 did not report the 95% CI, 6 did not report dietary vitamin E intake, and 2 were case-control studies. Finally, 9 articles reporting 11 studies<sup>13,26-33</sup> with 4,164 cases and 435,532 participants were included in our meta-analysis. The characteristics of the selected studies are presented in Table 1. Among the 11 studies, 4 studies were conducted in America, 5 in Europe, and the remaining 2 in Asia.

### **Comparison of high and low dietary vitamin E intake**

The pooled RRs were calculated to compare the highest dietary vitamin E intake with the lowest dietary vitamin E intake. Compared with the lowest intake, the highest dietary vitamin E intake was associated with a decrease risk of lung cancer (pooled RR=0.84, 95%CI=0.76-0.93,  $I^2 = 41.1%$ ; Figure 1).

Subgroup analysis by geographic location revealed significant inverse associations of dietary vitamin E intake with lung cancer for the European and American populations (pooled RR=0.85, 95% CI=0.75-0.95), but not for the Asian population. An analysis stratified by gender revealed no significant association between dietary vitamin E intake and the risk of lung cancer in males or females. However, an inverse association between dietary vitamin E intake and the risk of lung cancer was observed in mixed population (males and females). Subgroup analysis by history of smoking revealed an inverse association of dietary vitamin E intake with the risk of lung cancer among current smokers, but not among former smokers or never smokers. The results are provided in Table 2.

### **Dose-response analysis**

Dose-response analysis revealed that the risk of lung cancer statistically decreased by 5% for every 2 mg/d increase in dietary vitamin E intake (RR=0.95, 95% CI=0.92-0.99,  $p_{\text{linearity}}=0.009$ ; Figure 2).

### **Sensitivity analysis and publication bias**

Sensitivity analysis showed that no individual study significantly influenced the pooled effect. Symmetrical funnel plots were obtained (Figure 3). Moreover, the Egger's test showed no evidence of publication bias ( $t=1.24$ ,  $p=0.246$ ).


## **DISCUSSION**

Diet is reported to be associated with the aetiology of lung cancer, and antioxidant-rich foods are believed to be protective factors.<sup>34</sup> Epidemiological studies have demonstrated a significant association between antioxidant vitamin intakes and the risk of lung cancer.<sup>35</sup> However, pre-

**Table 1.** Characteristics of studies on dietary vitamin E intake and lung cancer risk

First author, year	Country	Study design	Participants (cases)	Age range	Follow-up years	RR (95% CI) for highest vs lowest category	Adjustment for covariate
Bandera, 1997 <sup>26</sup>	United States	Cohort	48,000 (525)	40-80	18	0.86 (0.67-1.09) for men 0.8 (0.52-1.23) for women	Age, education, cigarettes/day, years smoking, and total energy
Speizer, 1999 <sup>29</sup>	United States	Cohort	121,700 (593)	30-55	16	0.91 (0.7-1.2)	Total energy intake, smoking and age of starting to smoke
Voorrips, 2000 <sup>30</sup>	Netherland	Cohort	58,279 (939)	55-69	7	1.29 (0.88-1.89)	Age, family history, smoking, SES, folate (quintiles), energy (continuous)
Yong, 1997 <sup>31</sup>	United States	Cohort	10,068 (248)	25-74	22	0.88 (0.62-1.25)	Sex, race, educational attainment, nonrecreabonal activity level, BMI
Yuan, 2003 <sup>32</sup>	China	Cohort	63,257 (482)	45-74	6	0.88 (0.65-1.2)	Age at baseline, sex, dialect group, year of interview, level of education, and BMI.
Knekt, 1993 <sup>27</sup>	Finnish	Cohort	5,254 (121)	>15	19	3.3 (1-11.3) for never smoker 0.8 (0.5-1.3) for current smoker	Age
Wu, 2014 <sup>13</sup>	China	Cohort	72,829 (481)	40-70	4	0.78 (0.58-1.07)	Age, average intake of total energy and the calcium-to-magnesium (Ca:Mg) ratio, ever consumption of tea, status exposed to passive
Roswalla, 2010 <sup>28</sup>	Denmark	Cohort	55,557 (721)	59.2 (case) 56.2 (control)	14	0.59 (0.44-0.77)	Intake of the three other micronutrients as well as dietary intake for the supplemental intake and supplemental intake for the dietary intake and further for smoking status (never/former/present), smoking duration, smoking intensity, possible cessation and when, passive smoking and work exposure
Ocke, 2007 <sup>33</sup>	Netherlands	Cohort	561 (54)	59.3 (case) 59.5 (control)	20	0.68 (0.31-1.51)	Age, pack-years of cigarettes, and energy intake

BMI: body mass index; SES: socioeconomic status; RR: relative risk; CI: confidence intervals.



**Figure 1.** The forest plot between highest versus lowest categories of dietary vitamin E intake and lung cancer risk. RR: relative risk; CI: confidence intervals.

**Table 2.** Pooled risk estimates of the association between vitamin E intake and lung cancer risk

Subgroups	No. of cases	No. of studies	RR (95% CI) for highest vs lowest category	Analysis model	Heterogeneity test	
					I <sup>2</sup> (%)	p-value
All studies	4164	11	0.84 (0.76-0.93)	Fixed	41.1	0.075
Geographic locations						
America and Europe	3201	9	0.85 (0.75-0.95)	Random	52.0	0.034
Asia	963	2	0.83 (0.63-1.03)	Fixed	0	0.585
Sex						
Men	1639	5	0.95 (0.79-1.14)	Random	52	0.08
Women	1599	3	0.84 (0.70-1.01)	Fixed	0	0.736
Mixed	926	3	0.75 (0.63-0.89)	Random	57.2	0.097
History of smoking						
Never	310	5	0.88 (0.62-1.26)	Random	51.8	0.065
Current	1930	5	0.74 (0.61-0.89)	Fixed	47.9	0.087
Former	528	3	0.75 (0.54-1.05)	Random	50.4	0.109


RR: Relative Risk; CI: confidence intervals.

vious studies showed controversial results. This study is the first meta-analysis of the dose-response relationship between dietary vitamin E intake and the risk of lung cancer. Our results indicated that dietary vitamin E intake was significantly associated with a reduced risk of lung cancer. Moreover, dose-response analysis revealed a linear relationship between dietary vitamin E intake and the risk of lung cancer, the risk decreased by 5% for every 2 mg/d increase in dietary vitamin E intake.


Vitamin E is a powerful lipid-soluble antioxidant<sup>36</sup> and might be effective in reducing the risk of lung cancer by reducing oxidative stress.<sup>37</sup> Li and Zhang<sup>38</sup> reported that vitamin E intake reduced the risk of gastric cancer (RR=0.65, 95% CI=0.57-0.74). Moreover, a previous meta-analysis<sup>39</sup> indicated that high vitamin E intakes could reduce the risk of bladder cancer (RR=0.82, 95% CI=0.72-0.90). Another meta-analysis suggested that vitamin E was associated with a significant reduction in the incidence of prostate cancer (RR=0.85, 95% CI=0.73-0.96).<sup>40</sup> Our meta-analysis showed an inverse association

between dietary vitamin E intake and the risk of lung cancer, which adds new evidence for the relationship between vitamin E and the risk of cancer. More importantly, we found a linear relationship between dietary vitamin E intake and the risk of lung cancer, with the risk decreasing by 5% for every 2 mg/d increase in dietary vitamin E intake. Notably, our result differs from that of a randomised controlled trial (RCT).<sup>41</sup> Differences in the results of observational studies and RCT of vitamin E, particularly when hypotheses of RCT are null, can be attributed to numerous reasons. The most prominent reasons are the selection of an inappropriate intervention dose (too high or too low), duration (too short), timing (too late in life), or study population (people with adequate nutrition).<sup>42</sup>

Several mechanisms might explain the inverse association between dietary vitamin E intake and the risk of lung cancer. First, vitamin E is a powerful antioxidant<sup>43</sup> with anti-inflammatory<sup>44</sup> properties that protect cells and DNA from reactive radicals. Second, vitamin E may reduce nitrite and inhibit the production of carcinogenic nitro-



**Figure 2.** Dose-response relationship between dietary vitamin E intake and the risk of lung cancer



**Figure 3.** Funnel plot for publication bias of dietary vitamin E intake and the risk of lung cancer.

soamides and nitrosoamines.<sup>45,46</sup> Additionally, vitamin E may prevent carcinogenesis by down regulating the nuclear factor (NF)- $\kappa$ B signalling pathway to inhibit cell proliferation and angiogenesis, inducing apoptosis.<sup>47-50</sup>

Subgroup analysis by history of smoking revealed that higher dietary vitamin E intakes were associated with a reduced risk of lung cancer in current smokers, but not in former smokers or never smokers. Smoking has been strongly implicated as a risk factor for lung cancer. Epidemiological studies have demonstrated that the major pathological mechanism of the association of smoking with lung cancer is exposure to side stream smoking increasing oxidative stress.<sup>51,52</sup> Hence, the antioxidant properties of vitamin E may explain the reduction of the risk of lung cancer risk observed in current smokers.

Subgroup analysis by gender revealed no significant association between dietary vitamin E intake and the risk of lung cancer in males or females. However, an inverse association was observed between dietary vitamin E intake and the risk of lung cancer among the mixed population, although this conclusion should be interpreted cautiously because of the insufficient sample size. This issue should be clarified by conducting better-designed studies with a larger sample size. We also found that dietary vitamin E intake was inversely associated with the risk of lung cancer for the American and European populations. However, dietary vitamin E intakes were found to be

marginally significantly associated with the risk of lung cancer for the Asian population (pooled RR=0.84, 95% CI=0.7-1.01). We hypothesise that this marginally significant association is caused by the insufficient sample size, because only 2 studies were conducted in Asia. Another reason for the difference in the geographic location subgroups is that dietary sources of vitamin E vary among ethnic groups and cultures. In the Western diet, the major dietary sources of vitamin E are soybeans and soybean oil, which contain a high proportion of  $\gamma$ -tocopherol.<sup>53</sup> By contrast, in the Asian population, the main sources are leafy greens and eggs, which contain high quantities of  $\alpha$ -tocopherol.<sup>54</sup> Compared with  $\alpha$ -tocopherol,  $\gamma$ -tocopherol may have unique mechanistic characteristics, such as anti-inflammatory activities, including inhibition of cyclooxygenase activity, which might make an important contribution to the effect of vitamin E on reduced lung cancer risk.<sup>51</sup>

The present study has several advantages. First, our study firstly reported the dose-response meta-analysis to assess the association between dietary vitamin E intake and lung cancer risk. Second, all included studies were cohort studies, which are effective in identifying causal relationships and can measure exposure more precisely. Moreover, no publication bias was observed, suggesting that the pooled results might be unbiased, and subgroup analysis was applied to evaluate the role of dietary vitamin E intakes under certain conditions.

The present analysis also has some limitations. First, the included studies were limited to America, Europe and Asia. Therefore, the conclusions should be applied cautiously for other regions. Second, the present studies included did not report the association between vitamin E intakes and different histological types of lung cancer. Therefore, we could not conduct the stratified analysis by histological type.

### Conclusion

The results of this meta-analysis suggest that dietary vitamin E intake is significantly associated with a reduced risk of lung cancer. Dose-response analysis suggests that the estimated risk reduction for lung cancer is 5% for every 2 mg/d increase in dietary vitamin E intake. It should be noted that the findings need to be confirmed further by larger prospective studies.

### AUTHOR DISCLOSURES

None of the authors have any personal or financial conflicts of interest to declare.

### REFERENCES

1. Jemal A, Bray F, Center MM, Ferlay J, Ward E, Forman D. Global Cancer Statistics. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2011;61:61:69-90. doi: 10.3322/caac.20107.
2. Herbst RS, Heymach JV, Lippman SM. Lung cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2008;359:1367-80. doi: 10.1056/NEJMr0802714.
3. Siegel R, Ma J, Zou Z, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2014. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2014;64:9-29. doi: 10.3322/caac.21208.
4. Chan-Yeung M, Tan-Un KC, Ip MSM, Tsang KWT, Ho SP, Ho JCM, Chan H, Lam WK. Lung cancer susceptibility and polymorphisms of glutathione-S-transferase genes in Hong Kong. *Lung Cancer.* 2004;45:155-60. doi: 10.1016/j.lung

- can.2004.01.016.
5. Fang W, Qiu F, Zhang L, Deng J, Zhang H, Yang L, Zhou Y, Lu J. The functional polymorphism of NBS1 p.Glu185Gln is associated with an increased risk of lung cancer in Chinese populations: Case-control and a meta-analysis. *Mutat Res. Mutat Res.* 2014;770:61-8. doi: 10.1016/j.mrfmmm.2014.07.009.
  6. Lu X, Ke J, Luo X, Zhu Y, Zou L, Li H et al. The SNP rs402710 in 5p15.33 Is associated with lung cancer risk: a replication study in Chinese population and a meta-analysis. *PLoS One.* 2013;8:e76252. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0076252.
  7. Druesne-Pecollo N, Keita Y, Touvier M, Chan DSM, Norat T, Hercberg S, Latino-Martel P. Alcohol drinking and second primary cancer risk in patients with upper aerodigestive tract cancers: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2014;23:324-31. doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.epi-13-0779.
  8. Kim CH, Lee YC, Hung RJ, McNallan SR, Cote ML, Lim WY et al. Exposure to secondhand tobacco smoke and lung cancer by histological type: a pooled analysis of the International Lung Cancer Consortium (ILCCO). *Int J Cancer.* 2014;135:1918-30. doi: 10.1002/ijc.28835.
  9. Kiyohara C, Horiuchi T, Takayama K, Nakanishi Y. Genetic polymorphisms involved in carcinogen metabolism and DNA repair and lung cancer risk in a Japanese population. *J Thorac Oncol.* 2012;7:954-62. doi: 10.1097/JTO.0b013e31824de30f.
  10. Petrauskaitė R, Pershagen G, Gurevicius R. Lung cancer near an industrial site in Lithuania with major emissions of airway irritants. *Int J Cancer.* 2002;99:106-11. doi: 10.1002/ijc.10314.
  11. Norat T, Aune D, Chan D, Romaguera D. Fruits and vegetables: updating the epidemiologic evidence for the WCRF/AICR lifestyle recommendations for cancer prevention. *Cancer Treat Res.* 2014;159:35-50. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-38007-5\_3.
  12. The Alpha-Tocopherol, Beta Carotene Cancer Prevention Study Group. The effect of vitamin E and beta carotene on the incidence of lung cancer and other cancers in male smokers. *N Engl J Med.* 1994;330:1029-35.
  13. Wu Q-J, Xiang Y-B, Yang G, Li H-L, Lan Q, Gao Y-T, Zheng W, Shu X-O, Fowke JH. Vitamin E intake and the lung cancer risk among female nonsmokers: A report from the Shanghai Women's Health Study. *Int J Cancer.* 2015;136:610-7. doi: 10.1002/ijc.29016.
  14. Nesaretnam K. Multitargeted therapy of cancer by tocotrienols. *Cancer Lett.* 2008;269:388-95. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2008.03.063.
  15. Bjelakovic G, Nikolova D, Glud C. Antioxidant supplements to prevent mortality. *JAMA.* 2013;17:1178-9. doi: 10.1001/jama.2013.277028.
  16. Peto R, Doll R, Buckley JD, Sporn MB. Can dietary beta-carotene materially reduce human cancer rates? *Nature.* 1981;290:5803:201-8. doi: 10.1038/290201a0.
  17. Dorgan JF, Schatzkin A. Antioxidant micronutrients in cancer prevention. *Hematol Oncol Clin North Am.* 1991;5:43-68.
  18. Virtamo J, Pietinen P, Huttunen JK, Korhonen P, Malila N, Virtanen MJ, Albanes D, Taylor PR, Albert P. Incidence of cancer and mortality following alpha-tocopherol and beta-carotene supplementation: a post intervention follow-up. *JAMA.* 2003;290:476-85. doi: 10.1001/jama.290.4.476.
  19. Lee IM, Cook NR, Gaziano JM, Gordon D, Ridker PM, Manson JE, Hennekens CH, Buring JE. Vitamin E in the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease and cancer - The Women's Health Study: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA.* 2005;294:56-65. doi: 10.1001/jama.294.1.56.
  20. The effect of vitamin E and beta carotene on the incidence of lung cancer and other cancers in male smokers. The Alpha-Tocopherol, Beta Carotene Cancer Prevention Study Group. *N Engl J Med.* 1994;330:1029-35. doi: 10.1056/nejm199404143301501.
  21. Fortmann SP, Burda BU, Senger CA, Lin JS, Whitlock EP. Vitamin and mineral supplements in the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease and cancer: an updated systematic evidence review for the US Preventive Services Task Force. *Ann Intern Med.* 2013;159:824-34.
  22. Higgins JPT, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ.* 2003;327:557-60. doi: 10.1136/bmj.327.7414.557.
  23. Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ (Clinical research ed.).* 1997;315:629-34.
  24. Hong Z, Tian C, Zhang X. Dietary calcium intake, vitamin D levels, and breast cancer risk: a dose-response analysis of observational studies. *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* 2012;136:309-12. doi: 10.1007/s10549-012-2172-8.
  25. Wu W, Kang S, Zhang D. Association of vitamin B-6, vitamin B-12 and methionine with risk of breast cancer: a dose-response meta-analysis. *Br J Cancer.* 2013;109:1926-44. doi: 10.1038/bjc.2013.438.
  26. Bandera EV, Freudenheim JL, Marshall JR, Zielezny M, Priore RL, Brasure J, Baptiste M, Graham S. Diet and alcohol consumption and lung cancer risk in the New York State Cohort (United States). *Cancer Causes Control.* 1997;8:828-40. doi: 10.1023/a:1018456127018.
  27. Knekt P. Vitamin E and smoking and the risk of lung cancer. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 1993;686:280-7; discussion 87-8. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.1993.tb39187.x.
  28. Roswall N, Olsen A, Christensen J, Dragsted LO, Overvad K, Tjønneland A. Source-specific effects of micronutrients in lung cancer prevention. *Lung Cancer.* 2010;67:275-81. doi: 10.1016/j.lungcan.2009.11.010.
  29. Speizer FE, Colditz GA, Hunter DJ, Rosner B, Hennekens C. Prospective study of smoking, antioxidant intake, and lung cancer in middle-aged women (USA). *Cancer Causes Control.* 1999;10:475-82. doi: 10.1023/a:1008931526525.
  30. Voorrips LE, Goldbohm RA, Brants HA, van Poppel GA, Sturmans F, Hermus RJ, van den Brandt PA. A prospective cohort study on antioxidant and folate intake and male lung cancer risk. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2000;9:357-65.
  31. Yong LC, Brown CC, Schatzkin A, Dresser CM, Slesinski MJ, Cox CS, Taylor PR. Intake of vitamins E, C, and A and risk of lung cancer. The NHANES I epidemiologic follow up study. First National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1997;146:231-43.
  32. Yuan JM, Stram DO, Arakawa K, Lee HP, Yu MC. Dietary cryptoxanthin and reduced risk of lung cancer: The Singapore Chinese health study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2003;12:890-8.
  33. Ocké MC, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Feskens EJ, van Staveren WA, Kromhout D. Repeated measurements of vegetables, fruits, beta-carotene, and vitamins C and E in relation to lung cancer. The Zutphen Study. *Am J Epidemiol.* 1997;145:358-65.
  34. Feskens D, Ziegler RG, Michaud DS, Giovannucci EL, Speizer FE, Willett WC, Colditz GA. Prospective study of fruit and vegetable consumption and risk of lung cancer among men and women. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2000;92:1812-23. doi: 10.1093/jnci/92.22.1812.
  35. Riboli E, Norat T. Epidemiologic evidence of the protective

- effect of fruit and vegetables on cancer risk. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2003;78:559S-69S.
36. Upston JM, Terentis AC, Stocker R. Tocopherol-mediated peroxidation of lipoproteins: implications for vitamin E as a potential antiatherogenic supplement. *FASEB J.* 1999;13:977-94.
37. Cerutti PA. Oxy-radicals and cancer. *Lancet.* 1994;344:862-3. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(94)92832-0.
38. Li P, Zhang H, Chen J, Shi Y, Cai J, Yang J, Wu Y. Association between dietary antioxidant vitamins intake/blood level and risk of gastric cancer. *Int J Cancer.* 2014;135:1444-53. doi: 10.1002/ijc.28777.
39. Wang Y-Y, Wang X-L, Yu Z-J. Vitamin C and E intake and risk of bladder cancer: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *Int J Clin Exp Med.* 2014;7:4154-64.
40. Alkhenizan A, Hafez K. The role of vitamin E in the prevention of cancer: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Ann Saudi Med.* 2007;27:409-14.
41. Cortes-Jofre M, Rueda JR, Corsini-Munoz G, Fonseca-Cortes C, Carballoso M, Bonfill Cosp X. Drugs for preventing lung cancer in healthy people. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2012;10:CD002141. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD002141.pub2.
42. Lam TK, Freedman ND, Fan JH, Qiao YL, Dawsey SM, Taylor PR, Abnet CC. Prediagnostic plasma vitamin C and risk of gastric adenocarcinoma and esophageal squamous cell carcinoma in a Chinese population. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2013;98:1289-97. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.113.061267.
43. Niki E. Role of vitamin E as a lipid-soluble peroxy radical scavenger: in vitro and in vivo evidence. *Free Radic Biol Med.* 2014;66:3-12. doi: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2013.03.022.
44. Wang Y, Park NY, Jang Y, Ma A, Jiang Q. Vitamin E gamma-tocotrienol inhibits cytokine-stimulated NF-kappaB activation by induction of anti-inflammatory A20 via stress adaptive response due to modulation of sphingolipids. *J Immunol.* 2015;195:126-33. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1403149.
45. Karimzadeh L, Koohdani F, Siassi F, Mahmoudi M, Moslemi D, Safari F. Relation between nitrate and nitrite food habits with lung cancer. *J Exp Ther Oncol.* 2012;10:107-12.
46. Chow CK, Hong CB. Dietary vitamin E and selenium and toxicity of nitrite and nitrate. *Toxicology.* 2002;180:195-207.
47. Ahn KS, Sethi G, Krishnan K, Aggarwal BB. Gamma-tocotrienol inhibits nuclear factor-kappaB signaling pathway through inhibition of receptor-interacting protein and TAK1 leading to suppression of antiapoptotic gene products and potentiation of apoptosis. *J Biol Chem.* 2007;282:809-20. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M610028200.
48. Shklar G, Schwartz JL. Vitamin E inhibits experimental carcinogenesis and tumour angiogenesis. *Eur J Cancer B Oral Oncol.* 1996;32B:114-9.
49. Knekt P. Role of vitamin E in the prophylaxis of cancer. *Ann Med.* 1991;3:3-12.
50. Ames BN. Dietary carcinogens and anticarcinogens. Oxygen radicals and degenerative diseases. *Science.* 1983;221:1256-64.
51. Mahabir S, Schendel K, Dong YQ, Barrera SL, Spitz MR, Forman MR. Dietary alpha-, beta-, gamma- and delta-tocopherols in lung cancer risk. *Int J Cancer.* 2008;117:3-80. doi: 10.1002/ijc.23649.
52. Ju J, Picinich SC, Yang Z, Zhao Y, Suh N, Kong AN, Yang CS. Cancer-preventive activities of tocopherols and tocotrienols. *Carcinogenesis.* 2010;123:533-42. doi: 10.1093/carcin/bgp205.
53. Helzlsouer KJ, Huang HY, Alberg AJ, Hoffman S, Burke A, Norkus EP, Morris JS, Comstock GW. Association between alpha-tocopherol, gamma-tocopherol, selenium, and subsequent prostate cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2000;92:2018-23.
54. He L, Mong LP, Fu P, Zhang J, Chen XS. Status of dietary antioxidant vitamins intake in Chinese elderly residents. *Geriatr Health Care.* 2008;4:107-9. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1008-8296.2008.02.011.