Original Article

Early diet intervention to reduce the incidence of hepatic encephalopathy in cirrhosis patients: post-Transjugular Intrahepatic Portosystemic Shunt (TIPS) findings

Ling Luo RN, MD, Shiying Fu RN, BD, Yunzhi Zhang RN, MD, Jingxiang Wang RN, BD

Infectious Diseases Department, the Second Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, People's Republic of China

Background and Objectives: Hepatic encephalopathy is a common complication in patients who have received transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt (TIPS) as treatment for cirrhosis. The objective of this study was to reduce the incidence of post-TIPS hepatic encephalopathy for these patients via positive diet intervention. Methods and Study Design: As a control group, 99 cirrhosis patients who underwent TIPS treatment in our department between August 2011 and February 2013 were chosen. Among these, postoperative hepatic encephalopathy occurred in 28 cases. After analyzing the possible causes and incentives of hepatic encephalopathy by applying retrospective analysis, it was seen that hepatic encephalopathy was caused mostly by improper diet (85.7%). The experimental group was comprised of 83 cirrhosis patients who underwent TIPS treatment during the period from May 2013 to September 2014. In view of the influence of improper diet, appropriate intervention measures were taken, including developing a postoperative nursing routine, training nurses about nutrition and the protein content of kinds of various common foods, customizing low-protein meals, training nurses in communication skills to improve the education of patients and establishing family support systems. Results: For the experimental group, hepatic encephalopathy occurred in 10 patients, for an incidence of 12.1%, which is significantly lower than the control group (28.3%). This is a statistically significant difference (p < 0.01) in the occurrence of this complication. Conclusions: After TIPS, early positive dietary intervention can significantly improve the compliance of cirrhosis patients to consume a low-protein diet and reduce the incidence of hepatic encephalopathy.

Key Words: early diet intervention, nutrition, TIPS, hepatic encephalopathy, cirrhosis

INTRODUCTION

Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt (TIPS) is a non-surgical procedure using a catheter inserted through the jugular vein in order to create an effective channel between the portal vein and hepatic veins. This diverts some of the blood flow from the portal vein directly into the vena cava, reducing portal vein pressure. TIPS is used to reduce portal pressure, control refractory ascites, as a non-surgical method of reduce or control variceal bleeding¹⁻⁶ and is commonly used as a palliative therapy for patients waiting for liver transplantation.⁴ However, TIPS is frequently complicated by hepatic encephalopathy,7-10 which often occurs within a 3 month postoperative period.⁸ The occurrence of hepatic encephalopathy after TIPS is related to the formation of the new blood flow channel and may be caused by decreased hepatic blood perfusion, leading to a decrease in liver metabolism, and the intestinal absorption of harmful substances that would normally be detoxified by the liver.^{2,11,12} The occurrence of hepatic encephalopathy leads not only to a prolonged hospitalization of the patient,¹² but also increases the workload on nursing staff,¹ increases medical care costs,^{12,13} and harms the mental and physical well-being of the patient, seriously affecting the prognosis.^{1,14-16} In light of these causes

and deleterious effects of hepatic encephalopathy, starting in May 2013, our department deployed a program of nursing intervention for patients treated for liver cirrhosis, via TIPS, to reduce the incidence of hepatic encephalopathy. We achieved satisfactory results, compared to previous patient cases without intervention, and our report follows.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

General information

All participants signed written informed consent and the study was conducted according to the principles expressed in the Declaration of Helsinki. The study methods and participants were approved by the Ethics Committee of

Manuscript received 17 March 2015. Initial review completed 06 May 2015. Revision accepted 15 June 2015. doi: 10.6133/apjcn.092015.14

Corresponding Author: Dr Ling Luo, Infectious Diseases Department, the Second Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, 76 Linjiang Road, Chongqing, 400010 People's Republic of China.

Tel: +8615023337765; Fax: +862363703790

Email: 314620906@qq.com

the Second Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University prior to the beginning of the study.

The control group was selected from patients treated for liver cirrhosis, via TIPS, by our department between August 2011 and February 2013. In total, 99 cases were chosen. Of these, there were 86 cases of cirrhosis from hepatitis B, 10 patients with alcoholic cirrhosis, 2 patients with autoimmune hepatitis and cirrhosis and 1 patient with hepatitis C cirrhosis. Ages ranged from 28 to 71 years of age, with a mean age of 48.2±12.3 years. 82 patients were men (82.8%) and 17 were women (17.2%). Hepatic encephalopathy after TIPS occurred in 28 cases (incidence rate 28.3%), of which 26 cases were in hepatitis B cirrhosis and 2 cases in alcoholic liver cirrhosis. 23 (82.1%) cases of hepatic encephalopathy were Phase I-II and 5 (17.9%) cases were Phase Ⅲ-IV. 27 hepatic encephalopathy cases (96.4%) were men and 1 case (3.6 %) women.

The experimental group was comprised of 83 patients treated with TIPS between May, 2013 and September, 2014 in our department. Of these, 77 cases were cirrhosis related to hepatitis B, 5 with alcoholic cirrhosis and 1 case of autoimmune hepatitis and cirrhosis. The experimental group ranged in age from 30 to 73 years of age, with a mean age 49.4 ± 14.6 years. 71 were men (85.5%) and 12 were women (14.5%). Postoperative hepatic encephalopathy occurred in 10 cases, all of which were men patients with hepatitis B. 8 cases (80.0%) were Phase I-II encephalopathy and 2 (20.0%) were Phase III-IV. Both groups were selected in line with procedural indications and there were no statistical differences between the age, gender, laboratory test results, grade of liver function or progression of cirrhosis between the control and experimental groups.

Methods

A retrospective analysis was applied to the case histories of the control group of cirrhosis patients, who underwent the TIPS procedure in our department between August 2011 and February 2013. An investigation into the causes and aggravating factors of hepatic encephalopathy (Figure 1) showed that the most common reason (85.7%) for this occurrence was an improper diet following the TIPS procedure. A deeper study of the reasons behind the failure of patients to maintain a low protein diet (Figure 2) revealed that the major cause (~71.0%) was due to lack of adequate education, likely caused by lack of training of and subsequent training by the nursing staff. For purposes of our study, the post-TIPS low protein diet prescribed limited intake of protein, from all sources, to less than 40 g per day.

Development of a postoperative nursing routine

We developed a nursing routine for the postoperative care of TIPS patients. Included in this routine was extensive training of the nursing staff and preparation of reference materials. This program was designed to maintain the nursing staff in close relation to the patients in order to monitor and evaluate the activity, rest and psychological state of the patients. With proper training, the nurses were able to provide guidance in daily life, medication and, especially, strong guidance on the low protein diet. For



Figure 1. The causes of hepatic encephalopathy in the control group.



Figure 2. The causes of improper diet in the control group.

the first week after the TIPS procedure, the intake of protein should be strictly limited. For the 3 days immediately following TIPS, protein intake is limited to 20 g/d. After the first week, depending on the nutritional state, tolerance to protein and progress of the patient, the amount of protein in the diet can be adjusted.^{17,18} Each 3-5 days, depending on patient progress, an increase of 10 g/d is suggested in order to gradually increase the tolerance to protein. Finally, protein intake should be raised to 0.8-1.0 g/kg/d in order to maintain nitrogen balance.

Nurse training and preparation of reference materials about the protein content of common food ingredients Working with our Clinical Nutrition Department, we developed a table of the protein content of common food items (per 100 g) for use as a reference by nursing staff and patients (Table 1). Our nursing staff was trained in the protein value of common foods, how to measure and exchange food items and the complementary role of protein. The reference materials were also passed on to family and friends of the patients, in order better to complement the training by nursing staff.

Nurses also undertook intensive study of the relevant TIPS information, particularly dietary knowledge. Skills were developed and tested through simulation scenarios in order to provide life-like interaction situations. Nurses learned and mastered the relevant knowledge and communication skills before tending to the TIPS patients.

Personalized menus for the low-protein diet

Using "Nutrition Star" software, special menus were prepared for the patients after their TIPS procedure. The recipes clearly informed the patient as to what types, quantities and shapes of food they could eat, as well as guiding them in food exchanges. These recipes were tailored to guide patients and their families in order to make simple, easy to prepare meals that were well matched with the patient's diet, lifestyle and usual cooking methods in

| Food type | Food names | Energy (kcal) | Protein (g) | Fat (g) | Carbohydrates (g) | |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------|-------------|------------|-------------------|--|
| Cereals and cereal | Noodles | 286 | 8.3 | 0.7 | 61.9 | |
| products | Hanamaki | 214 | 6.4 | 1 | 45.6 | |
| | Steamed bread | 223 | 7 | 1.1 | 47 | |
| | Rice | 347 | 7.4 | 0.8 | 77.9 | |
| | Glutinous rice | 350 | 7.3 | 1 | 78.3 | |
| | Fresh corn | 112 | 4 | 1.2 | 22.8 | |
| | Dry corn | 348 | 87 | 3.8 | 73 | |
| | Millet | 361 | 0.7 | 3.1 | 75 1 | |
| | Puelcubeet | 227 | 0.2 | J.1 2.2 | 73.1 | |
| Dototo stanch and | Duckwheat | 337 77 | 9.5 | 2.3 | 17.2 | |
| polato starch and | | 106 | 2 | 0.2 | 17.2 | |
| products | | 100 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 23.2 | |
| | | 373 | 0.2 | | 95 | |
| Duisdheans and | Servicen | 339 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 84.2 | |
| Dried beans and | Soy | 390 | 35 | 16 | 34.2 | |
| products | Black beans | 401 | 30 | 15.9 | 33.0 | |
| | | 82 | 8.1 | 3.7 | 4.2 | |
| | Soy milk | 16 | 1.8 | 0.7 | l.l | |
| | Bean Curd | 410 | 44.6 | 17.4 | 18.8 | |
| | Dry Iofu | 142 | 16.2 | 3.6 | 11.5 | |
| | Green beans | 329 | 21.6 | 0.8 | 62 | |
| | Red bean | 324 | 20.2 | 0.6 | 63.4 | |
| | Broad bean | 338 | 21.6 | l | 61.5 | |
| | Hyacinth bean | 339 | 25.3 | 0.4 | 61.9 | |
| | Peas | 334 | 20.3 | 1.1 | 65.8 | |
| Meat and products | Pork (fat and lean) | 395 | 13.2 | 37 | 2.4 | |
| | Lean pork | 143 | 20.3 | 6.2 | 1.5 | |
| | Trotter | 260 | 22.6 | 18.8 | 0 | |
| | Pork bellies | 110 | 15.2 | 5.1 | 0.7 | |
| | Pig liver | 129 | 19.3 | 3.5 | 5 | |
| | Pig blood | 55 | 12.2 | 0.3 | 0.9 | |
| | Bacon | 498 | 11.8 | 48.8 | 2.9 | |
| | Sausage | 508 | 24.1 | 40.7 | 11.2 | |
| | Beet | 125 | 19.9 | 4.2 | 2 | |
| | Lean beet | 106 | 20.2 | 2.3 | 1.2 | |
| | Beef jerky | 550 | 45.6 | 40 | 1.9 | |
| | Lamb | 203 | 19 | 14.1 | 0 | |
| | Lean lamb | 118 | 20.5 | 1.6 | 1.6 | |
| D 1. 1 1. 1 | Rabbit | 102 | 19.7 | 2.2 | 0.9 | |
| Poultry and related | Chicken | 16/ | 19.3 | 9.4 | 1.3 | |
| products | Wings | 194 | 17.4 | 11.8 | 4.6 | |
| | Chicken leg | 181 | 16 | 13 | 0 | |
| | Palmatum | 254 | 23.9 | 16.4 | 2.7 | |
| | Duck | 240 | 15.5 | 19.7 | 0.2 | |
| | Goose | 251 | 17.9 | 19.9 | 0 | |
| | Pigeon | 201 | 16.5 | 14.2 | 1.7 | |
| . | Quail | 110 | 20.2 | 3.1 | 0.2 | |
| Dairy and products | Milk | 54 | 3 | 3.2 | 3.4 | |
| | Milk powder | 484 | 19.9 | 22.7 | 49.9 | |
| | Yogurt | 72 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 9.3 | |
| | Cheese | 328 | 25.7 | 23.5 | 3.5 | |
| | Cream | 879 | 0.7 | 97 | 0.9 | |
| Eggs and products | Eggs | 144 | 13.3 | 8.8 | 2.8 | |
| | Duck's egg | 180 | 12.6 | 13 | 3.1 | |
| | Goose egg | 196 | 11.1 | 15.6 | 2.8 | |
| | Quail eggs | 160 | 12.8 | 11.1 | 2.1 | |
| Yuxia shellfish | Grass carp | 113 | 16.6 | 5.2 | 0 | |
| | Carp | 109 | 17.6 | 4.1 | 0.5 | |
| | Carp | 108 | 17.1 | 2.7 | 3.8 | |
| | Eel | 89 | 18 | 1.4 | 1.2 | |
| | Loach | 96 | 17.9 | 2 | 1.7 | |
| | Chub | 104 | 17.8 | 3.6 | 0 | |

Table 1. Nutritional information for common foods (per 100 g)

| Food type | Food names | Energy (kcal) | Protein (g) | Fat (g) | Carbohydrates (g) |
|---------------|-------------------|---------------|-------------|---------|-------------------|
| | Ribbonfish | 127 | 17.7 | 4.9 | 3.1 |
| | Sardine | 89 | 19.8 | 1.1 | 0 |
| | Sea bass | 105 | 18.6 | 3.4 | 0 |
| | Cod | 88 | 20.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| | Shrimp | 93 | 18.6 | 0.8 | 2.8 |
| | Lobster | 90 | 18.9 | 1.1 | 1 |
| | Shrimp skin | 153 | 30.7 | 2.2 | 2.5 |
| | Shrimp | 198 | 43.7 | 2.6 | 0 |
| | Crab | 95 | 13.8 | 2.3 | 4.7 |
| | Crab | 62 | 11.6 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| | Scallops (fresh) | 60 | 11.1 | 0.6 | 2.6 |
| | Clams | 62 | 10.1 | 1.1 | 2.8 |
| | Screw | 100 | 15.7 | 1.2 | 6.6 |
| | Sea cucumber | 78 | 16.5 | 0.2 | 2.5 |
| | Cuttlefish | 83 | 15.2 | 0.9 | 3.4 |
| | Squid (flooding) | 75 | 17 | 0.8 | 0 |
| | Octopus (octopus) | 135 | 18.9 | 0.4 | 14 |
| Snack cookies | Cake | 348 | 8.6 | 5.1 | 67.1 |
| | Mung bean cake | 351 | 12.8 | 1 | 73.4 |
| | Moon cake (nuts) | 424 | 8 | 16 | 14 |
| Fast food | Oatmeal | 377 | 15 | 6.7 | 66.9 |
| | Biscuit | 435 | 9 | 12.7 | 71.7 |

Table 1. Nutritional information for common foods (per 100 g) (cont.)

order to facilitate compliance with the dietary restrictions. Patients were also instructed to keep a daily food diary, recording the details of actual food consumption, in order to provide the nurses with timely and effective protein intake histories. This allowed the nurses to assess the patient's protein intake while monitoring for the occurrence of hepatic encephalopathy.

Assisting patients establish a family - social support network

The family members and friends of patients were organized and educated about the postoperative recovery process. They learned about the adverse effects of a highprotein diet in patients with compromised liver function and the importance of dietary control in post-TIPS recovery. Additional information was shared regarding liver function and they were encouraged to provide the patients with conscious attention, spiritual and psychological support and encourage the patients to actively engage in a low-protein diet according to medical advice.

Evaluation

The metric measured in this study was the incidence of post-TIPS hepatic encephalopathy.

Statistical methods

Data was analyzed using SPSS19.0 statistical software. For general information, the mean \pm standard deviation is reported for descriptive statistics. Chi-squared test was used to analyze the incidence of postoperative hepatic encephalopathy.

RESULTS

After applying the methods to the experimental group of patients from May 2013 until September 2014, 10 of the 83 patients (12.1%) developed hepatic encephalopathy,

significantly lower than the control group. The difference was statistically significant (p<0.01) (Table 2). Analyzing the possible causes and aggravating factors in the 10 cases, improper diet was identified as the cause of 4 cases (40.0%) of hepatic encephalopathy, constituting the single largest cause (Figure 3). Of these cases, 2 were attributed to insufficient training of the nursing staff in guiding and directing the patient, while the remaining 2 cases were caused by patients failing to comply with the instructions.

DISCUSSION

Hepatic encephalopathy is one of the most common post-TIPS complications and is related to pre-TIPS Child-Pugh classification and portosystemic shunt diameter. It can be induced by postoperative hepatic hemodynamic change, an improper amount of protein in the diet and infection. It was observed from cases in both groups that the incidence of hepatic encephalopathy caused by preoperative Child-Pugh classification or use of a portosystemic shunt of over-sized diameter was very low, while most cases of hepatic encephalopathy were associated with improper diet. The majority of cases of hepatic encephalopathy



Figure 3. The causes of hepatic encephalopathy in the experimental group.

501

Table 2. The cases of TIPS patients with hepatic encephalopathy without and with dietary intervention

| | Without intervention | With intervention | X ² value | <i>p</i> value |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| Tips patients | 99 | 83 | | |
| Cases of hepatic encephalopathy | 28 | 10 | 7.20 | < 0.01 |
| Cases caused by improper diet | 24 | 4 | 7.94 | < 0.01 |

occur within 3 months after the TIPS procedure. This is consistent with relevant reports and highlights the need for early dietary intervention.

Dietary intervention is important for the prevention of postoperative hepatic encephalopathy

Hepatic encephalopathy often occurs in cirrhosis patients within 3 months after the TIPS procedure.⁸ In the control group, 85.7% of hepatic encephalopathy cases were caused by improper diet. Therefore, in our experimental group, we took action in the form of dietary intervention to prevent this occurrence in our postoperative TIPS patients. This included developing a TIPS postoperative care routine, creating a reference table of the protein content of common food ingredients and designing personalized menus for low-protein diets. Perhaps most importantly, we developed an intense training program for our nursing staff in order for them to properly learn about dietary requirements and limitations and to develop communication skills to help patients understand their dietary restrictions and to build a family-social support network. The results showed that the incidence of hepatic encephalopathy following our intervention program dropped to 12.1%, substantially better than the 28.3% seen in the control group. Likewise, the development of hepatic encephalopathy attributed to improper diet dropped to 40.0% from 85.7%, suggesting that cirrhosis patients who undergo TIPS necessarily implement dietary restriction, specifically limiting protein intake.

It is essential for the nurses to master knowledge about TIPS and the postoperative diet

Nurses are the missionaries of the low-protein diet and their grasp of the knowledge about the TIPS procedure, especially postoperative diet and care, is requisite and the foundation of patient education. Therefore, prior to caring for such patients, nurses must receive intensive training regarding TIPS and diet. If a nurse does not grasp post-TIPS dietary knowledge, especially regarding the lowprotein diet, they cannot fundamentally educate their patients and support networks about dietary restrictions in a comprehensive, scientific, educationally-targeted manner. For example, during the decompensation period, most cirrhosis patients suffer from emaciation. Due to a lack of quality information, their family members consider TIPS as a procedure which consumes the patient's body, and mistakenly assume that eating high-protein foods, such as eggs and meat, is beneficial to the patient's recovery. In fact, in the case of TIPS, the opposite is true. Nurses, equipped with the proper training and knowledge, through detailed and careful education can correct the perceptions of patients and their families, reduce anxiety, and take the initiative with instituting a low-protein diet. At the same time, the nurses can improve their patients' awareness of the disease, and increased awareness and

positive behavioral change are positively correlated.

Effective nurse-patient communication will help improve the patient's diet compliance

Nurses, in the daily care activities of patients, are responsible for conditional assessment and treatment functions which require oral communication,¹⁹ so there is a need to strengthen the communication skills of nurses through theoretical knowledge training and exercising their communication skills. When nurses communicate with patients, they must take into account the educational level, the level of disease awareness and the level of health awareness of their patient. This allows them to tailor their communication to the individual, using their communication skills, to ensure that their content is educational, scientific and timely. Specifically related to the low-protein diet, a nurse's knowledge and communication ability are closely related to their patients' compliance on the quantity and timing of protein consumption. In the present study, it was found that if the nurse cannot speak clearly and thoroughly about the importance and necessity of maintaining a low-protein diet, patient compliance will be poor and likely lead to hepatic encephalopathy induced by an improper diet. Descriptive education and effective communication are core elements of the nursing profession,²⁰ have a major impact on the outcomes of patients with disease,²¹ and are critical to the quality of care of patients and their satisfaction with the health care system.²²

The family - social support system has a significant supervisory role for helping patients to maintain a lowprotein diet

Postoperative hepatic encephalopathy generally occurs within the first three months after TIPS, highlighting the importance of maintaining a low-protein diet to mitigate this complication. Nominally, patients will be discharged from hospital care after the TIPS procedure, at varying times based on recovery status. As such, the diet after discharge is typically under the supervision of not only the patient, but also the family and friends. Helping patients establish strong family and social support social support system and educating this network about the treatment and recovery processes, is one of the most effective resources available to patients. In the present study, none of the cases of hepatic encephalopathy in the experimental group were attributable to family-social networks being non-supportive of the patient. This demonstrates that active and effective family - social support systems for patients eating a low-protein diet play a significant role in promoting and monitoring, and thereby increasing, patient compliance and improving the quality of life for patients.

Study limitations

Although we were able to gain valuable insight into some

of the causes of hepatic encephalopathy following TIPS treatment and observed that our process of training and guidance may dramatically improve post-TIPS outcomes, we must address some limitations to our study. Primarily, due to the lack of a diverse large-scale patient base, we were able to analyze only 10 post-TIPS hepatic encephalopathy cases in our experimental group, limiting our ability to draw a statistically relevant conclusion. A large-scale study, perhaps a multi-center collaboration, would allow us better to understand the underlying causes of post-TIPS hepatic encephalopathy and perform more thorough statistical analyses. However, we feel that our program of training, education and close monitoring and mentoring has shown promise as a means to dramatically reduce post-TIPS complications.

Conclusions

After 17 months of observation, the incidence of post-TIPS hepatic encephalopathy in our cirrhosis patients dropped from 28.3% before intervention to 12.1% after intervention, which is a satisfactory result significantly lower than that reported in the practice guidelines of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD). These results indicate that, after TIPS, early positive diet intervention can significantly improve the compliance of cirrhosis patients to a low protein diet and reduce the incidence of hepatic encephalopathy.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project was supported by the Second Hospital Affiliated to Chongqing Medical University of Outstanding Young Talents Fund.

AUTHOR DISCLOSURES

The authors declare that no conflict of interest exists.

REFERENCES

- Haskal ZJ, Martin L, Cardella JF, Cole PE, Drooz A, Grassi CJ, McCowan TC et al. Quality improvement guidelines for transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunts. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2003;14(9Pt 2):S265-70.
- Riggio O, Nardelli S, Moscucci F, Pasquale C, Ridola L, Merli M. Hepatic encephalopathy after transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt. Clin Liver Dis. 2012;16: 133-46. doi: 10.1016/j.cld.2011.12.008.
- Ferral H, Patel NH. Selection criteria for patients undergoing transjugular intrahepatic portosstemic shunt procedures: current status. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2005;16: 499-55. doi: 10.1097/01.RVI.0000149508.64029.02.
- Merola J, Chaudhary N, Qian M, Jow A, Barboza K, Charles H, Teperman L, Sigal S. Hyponatremia: a risk factor for early overt encephalopathy after transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt creation. J Clin Med. 2014;3:359-72. doi: 10.3390/jcm3020348.
- He FL, Wang L, Yue ZD, Zhao HW, Liu FQ. Parallel transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt for controlling portal hypertension complications in cirrhotic patients. World J Gastroenterol. 2014;20:11835-9. doi: 10.3748/wjg. v20.i33.11835.
- Altun R, Yıldırım E, Ocal S, Akbaş E, Harman A, Kormaz M, Selçuk H. Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt: where are we? Turk J Gastroenterol. 2014;25:298-303. doi: 10.5152/tjg.2014.5621.

- Holden A, Ng R, Gane E, Hill A, McCall J. A Technique for controlled partial closure of a transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt tract in a patient with hepatic encephalopathy. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 2006;17:1957-61. doi: 10.1097/01.RVI.0000244850.39439.34.
- Mamiya Y, Kanazawa H, Kimura Y, Narahara Y, Yamate Y, Nakatsuka K, Sakamoto C. Hepatic encephalopathy after transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt. Hepatol Res. 2004;30:162-8.
- Masson S, Mardini HA, Rose JD, Record CO. Hepatic encephalopathy after transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt insertion: a decade of experience. QJM. 2008;101: 493-501. doi: 10.1093/qjmed/hcn037.
- Somberg KA, Riegler JL, LaBerge JM, DohertySimor MM, Bachetti P, Roberts JP, Lake JR. Hepatic encephalopathy after transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunts: incidence and risk factors. Am J Gastroenterol. 1995;90: 549-55.
- Paz-Fumagalli R, Crain MR, Mewissen MW, Verma RR. Fatal hemodynamic consequences of therapeutic closure of a transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt. J Vasc Interv Radiol. 1994;5:831-4.
- Bajaj JS, Wade JB, Gibson DP, Heuman DM, Thacker LR, Sterling RK et al. The multi-dimensional burden of cirrhosis and hepatic encephalopathy on patients and caregivers. Am J Gastroenterol. 2011;106:1646-53. doi: 10.1038/ajg.2011.157.
- Merli M, Riggio O. Dietary and nutritional indications in hepatic encephalopathy. Metab Brain Dis. 2009;24:211-21. doi: 10.1007/s11011-008-9127-0.
- 14. Córdoba J, Mínguez B. Hepatic Encephalopathy. Semin Liver Dis. 2008;28:70-80. doi: 10.1055/s-2008-1040322.
- 15. Bai M, Han GH, Yuan SS, Yin ZX, He CY, Wang JH et al. Early hepatic encephalopathy after transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt: the risk factors and long-time survival. Zhonghua Gan Zang Bing Za Zhi. 2011;19:498-501. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.issn.1007-3418.2011.07.008. (In Chinese)
- Ndraha S, Simadibrata M. Normal protein diet and Lornithine-L-aspartate for hepatic encephalopathy. Acta Med Indones. 2010;42:158-61.
- 17. Nguyen DL, Morgan T. Protein restriction in hepatic encephalopathy is appropriate for selected patients: a point of view. Hepatol Int. 2014;8:447-51.
- Amodio P, Bemeur C, Butterworth R, Cordoba J, Kato A, Montagnese S, Uribe M, Vilstrup H, Morgan MY. The nutritional management of hepatic encephalopathy in patients with cirrhosis: International Society for Hepatic Encephalopathy and Nitrogen Metabolism Consensus. Hepatology. 2013;58:325-36. doi: 10.1002/hep.26370.
- 19. Ryherd EE, Moeller M Jr, Hsu T. Speech intelligibility in hospitals. J Acoust Soc Am. 2013;134:586-95.
- Dickens C, Lambert BL, Cromwell T, Piano MR. Nurse overestimation of patients' health literacy. J Health Commun. 2013;18(Suppl 1):62-9. doi: 10.1080/10810730. 2013.825670.
- 21. O'Hagan S, Manias E, Elder C, Pill J, Woodward-Kron R, McNamara T, Webb G, McColl G. What counts as effective communication in nursing? Evidence from nurse educators' and clinicians' feedback on nurse interactions with simulated patients. J Adv Nurs. 2014;70:1344-55. doi: 10.11 11/jan.12296.
- 22. Kargar Jahromi M, Ramezanli S. Evaluation of barriers contributing in the demonstration of an effective nurse-patient communication in educational hospitals of Jahrom, 2014. Glob J Health Sci. 2014;6:54-60. doi: 10.5539/gjhs.v6 n6p54.

Original Article

Early diet intervention to reduce the incidence of hepatic encephalopathy in cirrhosis patients: post-Transjugular Intrahepatic Portosystemic Shunt (TIPS) findings

Ling Luo RN, MD, Shiying Fu RN, BD, Yunzhi Zhang RN, MD, Jingxiang Wang RN, BD

Infectious Diseases Department, the Second Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, People's Republic of China

早期饮食干预可降低肝硬化患者经颈静脉肝内门体分流术(TPIS)术后肝性脑病的发病率

背景与目的:肝性脑病是肝硬化患者经颈静脉肝内门体分流术(TIPS)术后 常见的并发症。本研究目的是通过积极的饮食干预降低 TIPS 术后肝性脑病的 发病率。方法与研究设计:选择 2011 年 8 月至 2013 年 2 月的 99 例接受 TIPS 术治疗的肝硬化患者作为对照组。 其中,术后 28 例并发肝性脑病。采用回顾 性研究分析肝性脑病发生的可能原因和诱因,饮食不当为主要诱因,占 85.7%。2013 年 5 月至 2014 年 9 月的 83 例肝硬化 TIPS 术后患者作为实验 组,针对饮食不当这一主要问题采取相应的干预措施:制定 TIPS 术后护理常 规,培训护士关于营养和常见食物中蛋白质含量的知识,定制低蛋白膳食,培 训护士沟通技巧以提高对患者的营养知识教育,帮助建立家庭-社会支持系 统。结果:实验组中有 10 例发生肝性脑病,发病率为 12.1%,与对照组 (28.3%)相比发病率显著降低(*p<*0.01)。结论:早期积极的饮食干预能显 著提高肝硬化患者 TIPS 术后低蛋白饮食的依从性,降低肝性脑病的发生率。

关键词:早期饮食干预、营养、TIPS、肝性脑病、肝硬化