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An improved method of nasojejunal feeding tube placement for patients requiring endoscopic nasobiliary drainage
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Objective: To avoid a second endoscopy for nasojejunal feeding tube placement (NFTP) in patients undergoing endoscopic nasobiliary drainage (ENBD), we studied improved NFTP method and compared it to endoscopic method. Methods: Patients with ENBD were divided into two groups. One group (18 patients) received endoscopic NFTP and the other group (26 patients) received improved NFTP. Placement time, physical condition of the patients and complications were recorded. Results: In 18 patients who underwent endoscopic NFTP, NFT was successfully placed on the first attempt in 14 patients with a first placement success rate of 77.8%. NFT was wrongly intubated into the trachea in one patient inducing coughing, and after it was removed, the second placement was successful. The total success rate of endoscopic NFTP was 83.3% with an average placement time of 17.0 minutes. In 26 patients undergoing improved NFTP, all were successfully placed on the first attempt with a success rate of 100%, and an average placement time of 2.55 minutes. In patients with ENBD, the success rate of improved NFTP was significantly higher than endoscopic NFTP ($\chi^2=36.4$, $p<0.05$) with a significantly shorter placement time ($t=18.5$, $p<0.05$). Conclusion: For patients with ENBD, improved NFTP method is superior to the endoscopic method as it is more effective, convenient, faster, and cheaper. Additionally it avoids a second endoscopy and has fewer complications, better security and a higher success rate. The improved method is a safer, easier, more effective and practical method of EN and deserves general adoption in clinical work.
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INTRODUCTION

In the past, patients who have fasted over long-term periods were often administered parenteral nutrition (TPN), which can lead to infection due to intestinal mucosal atrophy, intestinal barrier dysfunction and gastrointestinal bacterial translocation. Enteral nutrition (EN) provides general nutrition, but in contrast to TPN, protects the intestinal mucosal barrier and promotes recovery of intestinal function. EN is cheaper than TPN and has a similar nutritional benefit. EN should be applied as soon as possible in TPN patients when digestive function has recovered. 1-10

EN is an economic, simple, safe and effective method of nutritional support, and is an essential component of the treatment of patients with severe diseases. 11,12 Methods of EN include placing a nasogastric tube, a nasoduodenal tube, a nasojejunal tube, and gastrostomy or jejunostomy. 13-15 The most common method is nasojejunal tube placement. 1,2 Currently there are several methods of nasojejunal feeding tube placement (NFTP) with endoscopic placement currently the most common as it is effective, quick, and comparatively successful. 16-20

Some patients with biliary tract diseases require placement of endoscopic nasobiliary drainage (ENBD), and may also undergo NFTP to improve their nutritional state or because of an unwillingness or inability to eat. Currently, NFT is placed by endoscopy; however patients report this additional endoscopy is more painful, and there is a risk of the nasobiliary tube becoming dislodged. Although the patients are not too many, their diseases are usually more severe, they need to be carefully attended to and they need more nutrition. To address the needs of these patients, we studied an improved simple NFTP method and compared it to endoscopic NFTP methods were compared in a clinical study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Clinical data
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Eighteen patients requiring ENBD and NFTP at the Second Affiliated Hospital of Harbin Medical University, Harbin, Heilongjiang Province, China, between January 2006 and December 2008 underwent NFTP via endoscopy. The 13 male and five female patients, with an age range of 36 to 73 years, included 12 cases of acute pancreatitis, two cases of postoperative bile leakage, three cases of common biliary duct stones with heart failure and one case of common biliary duct stones without appetite. Routine test results indicated all patients were fit for ENBD and NFTP.

Twenty-six patients requiring ENBD and NFTP at the Second Affiliated Hospital of Harbin Medical University, Harbin, Heilongjiang Province, China, between January 2009 and October 2010 underwent NFTP via the improved method. The 19 male and seven female patients, with an age range of 33 to 79 years, included 20 cases of acute pancreatitis, one case of postoperative bile leakage, two cases of common biliary duct stones with heart failure and three cases of common biliary duct stones without appetite. The routine test results indicated all patients were fit for ENBD and NFTP.

**Materials**
The gastroscope (GIF-Q240 or H260) and duodenal scope (JF-260) were obtained from Olympus Co., Japan; the nasobiliary tube (size 7 Fr, length 290 cm) from Flex Co., Germany; the nasojejunal tube (CH110) from Nutricia Co., Switzerland and the Diagnostic X-ray system (YZB/GEM 1376-30) from Philips Co., The Netherlands.

**ENBD**
Routine endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) can help to diagnose disease, confirm the characteristics and location of lesions, and determine the necessity for ENBD and the drainage location. A guide wire was inserted into the catheter, and placed in the desired drainage bile duct. The catheter was removed while retaining the guide wire, so the nasobiliary tube could be gradually inserted into the drainage location along the guide wire. The endoscope and the end of the nasobiliary tube were removed from the mouth. A catheter was inserted into the nose to guide the nasobiliary tube out of the nose where the nasobiliary tube was fixed.

**Endoscopic NFTP**
A lubricated NFT was inserted into the esophagus from the nostril without the nasobiliary tube. The gastroscope was inserted to clamp the NFT tip using foreign body forceps and then the gastroscope and NFT were gently guided into the duodenum. An assistant fixed the NFT with the foreign body forceps, and the gastroscope was retracted into the gaster, after which the foreign body forceps were loosened and also retracted into the gaster. This process was repeated about 3-4 times to place the NFT tip in the duodenum 20-40 cm below the ligament of Treitz. The NFT was fixed by an assistant as the doctor removed the gastroscope after eliminating the air in the stomach. The NFT was fixed after the guide wire was pulled out. During the entire operation, an assistant was required to fix the nasobiliary tube to prevent it falling off.

**Improved NFTP**
After a line of silk suture was placed around the NFT guide wire (Figure 1a), and the guide wire was inserted into the top of the NFT (Figure 1b), to connect the nasobiliary tube and NFT loosely using a loop of the line (Figure 1c and 1d). The NFT was inserted into the duodenum along the nasobiliary tube. After the guide wire was removed, the loop was retained at the nasobiliary tube, thereby removing the connection between the NFT and nasobiliary tube (Figure 1e). The NFT was partially inserted again, and if bile could not be extracted from the NFT and a small amount of saline could be injected without resistance; then, the NFT was fixed. If necessary, the location of the NFT could be observed and confirmed by X-ray imaging (Figure 2).21

**Observations during the operation**
In patients whose NFTP was successful, the placement time and complications during NFTP were recorded. Complications of NFTP can include abdominal pain, gastrointestinal bleeding and perforation, accidental intubation into trachea and detachment of the nasobiliary tube.

**Postoperative observations**
The general condition of patients, including temperature, pulse, respiration and blood pressure, mental condition, body weight, appetite, and whether the NFT was obstructed were recorded. The nasobiliary tube and NFT were removed at a suitable time according to the condition of each patient.

**Statistical analysis**
Data was compared using the Student's t-test and χ² analysis. \( P<0.05 \) was considered to be statistically significant.

**RESULTS**
In 18 patients undergoing endoscopic NFTP, the first attempt was successful in 14 patients with a first NFTP success rate of 77.8%. The NFT in one patient was wrongly intubated into the trachea to induce coughing. The NFT was removed and placed successfully on the second attempt. The total success rate of endoscopic NFTP was 83.3% with an average placement time of 17.0 mins (\( s=3.47 \)). The nasobiliary tube fell out and was replaced in three cases, and the NFT was not placed in these patients. After the procedure, abdominal pain occurred in two patients, which disappeared after symptomatic treatment. No other complications occurred.

In 26 patients undergoing improved NFTP, the first placement in all patients was successful and the success rate was 100%. The average placement time was 2.55 mins (\( s=0.386 \)). Patients tolerated improved NFTP well and no complications occurred.

In patients with ENBD, the success rate of improved NFTP was significantly higher (\( \chi^2=36.4, P<0.05 \)) and the placement time was significantly shorter (\( t=18.5, P<0.05 \)). In addition, improved NFTP had fewer complications and was better tolerated by patients.

**DISCUSSION**
EN should be considered in the first instance if adequate
gastrointestinal function exists in patients who are unable or unwilling to eat by mouth, for example due to coma, burns, major surgery, or an insufficient food intake due to digestive tract fistula, short bowel syndrome, inflammatory bowel disease, pancreatic disease, diagnosis and preparation for colonic surgery. ENBD is required in patients with preoperative drainage of obstructive jaundice, severe cholangitis, emergent decompression and drainage of severe pancreatitis, postoperative bile leakage or drainage of common biliary duct stones. Improved NFTP can be applied to ENBD patients who are unable or unwilling to eat by mouth, especially those with severe pancreatitis due to bile duct disease who require long-term fasting, or patients with postoperative bile leakage, common biliary duct stones and cardiac dysfunction patients who refuse food.

In this study, the success rate of first endoscopic NFTP was 77.8% and the total success rate of endoscopic NFTP was 83.3%. The average placement time was 17.0 mins. In contrast, the success rate of first improved NFTP was 100% and the average placement time was 2.55 mins. Comparing the two methods, improved NFTP had a higher success rate and significantly shorter placement time. In the improved method, the nasobiliary tube is used as a guide wire and a small loop substitutes for the gastroscope; therefore, the method is more convenient, quicker and cheaper. Improved NFTP avoids the necessity for a second endoscopy, does not require special equipment and can be performed by operators who cannot use a gastroscope.

In 18 patients undergoing endoscopic NFTP, the NFT was wrongly intubated in one patient, into the trachea inducing coughing and the nasobiliary tube was dislodged in three cases. After the operation, abdominal pain occurred in two patients. Improved NFTP was well-tolerated in all 26 patients with no complications. As the improved method does not require a second endoscopy, there is no risk that the nasobiliary tube can be dislodged by the endoscope. Additionally, the NFT can be inserted into the same nostril in which the nasobiliary tube is placed, therefore the patient can breathe freely through other nostril, which enables them to feel more comfortable. In summary, the improved method reduces the possibility of the nasobiliary tube becoming dislodged and has fewer complications.
The improved method has certain drawbacks, as the separated position of the NFT and nasobiliary tube cannot be easily judged. We developed several ways to ascertain this, including determining the distance from the nose to the duodenal papilla according to the patient's height, recording the distance from the mouth to the duodenal papilla during duodenoscopy, judging the position under fluoroscopy, or judging the position according to resistance of placing the NFT. We can judge if NFT is correctly placed at the designated location by X-ray imaging, or by the patients’ response to the injection of feed via the NFT.

The improved method of NFTP requires knowledge of the following details and refinements. The appropriate size of the loop connecting the NFT and nasobiliary tube is approximately 1 cm. If the loop is too short, it is difficult to insert the NFT into the duodenum due to friction between the NFT and nasobiliary tube. If the distance between the tubes is too long, the NFT can be accidently inserted into trachea, and it is more difficult for the NFT to pass the pylorus. The nasobiliary tube should be slightly tightened in order to facilitate its role as a guide wire during placement of the NFT. Once the position of the NFT in the duodenal papilla is judged, the guide wire should be removed quickly to separate the NFT and nasobiliary tube and prevent the nasobiliary tube becoming dislodged. The NFT and nasobiliary tubes should be effectively fixed and doctor should avoid patient nausea and vomiting after the operation to prevent the tubes becoming dislodged.

In conclusion, for patients with ENBD, improved NFTP offers a superior, faster, effective and cheaper method than the endoscopic method. Improved NFTP has the advantage of fewer complications, better security and higher success rate. Additionally, it does not require special equipment and eliminates the need for a second endoscopy. The safer, simpler and more practical improved NFTP method of EN deserves to be adopted in routine clinical work.
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鼻胆管引流患者放置空肠营养管的一种新方法

目的：为避免鼻胆管引流患者放置空肠营养管时二次内镜检查的痛苦，我们研究改进了新的置管方法，并与原有的内镜下空肠营养管置入术的方法进行比较。方法：将需要放置鼻胆管引流的病人分为两组，一组用内镜下空肠营养管置入术 18 例，另一组用我们研究改进的新方法放置空肠营养管 26 例。记录空肠营养管置入的时间、病人的生理状况及并发症等。结果：内镜下空肠营养管置入术 18 例，有 14 例一次置管成功，成功率为 77.8%，有 1 例误入气管病人呛咳，拔出后二次置管成功，总成功率为 83.3%，平均置管时间为 17 分钟。用我们研究改进的新方法放置空肠营养管 26 例，均一次置管成功，成功率为 100%，平均置管时间为 2.55 分钟。对于鼻胆管引流的患者来说，改进的新方法放置空肠营养管成功率明显高于内镜下空肠营养管置入术（χ²=36.4, p<0.05），操作时间也明显短于后者（t=18.5, p<0.05）。结论：对需要放置鼻胆管引流的病人，我们研究的新方法比内镜下空肠营养管置入术更方便、有效、快速、便宜，而且避免了二次内镜检查的痛苦，并发症少，安全性及成功率高。该方法对鼻胆管引流患者是一种安全、有效、实用性强的肠内营养置管方法，值得在临床工作中广泛推广。

关键词：鼻空肠喂养管放置、内镜、内镜鼻胆管引流术、一种改进的方法、营养