Original Article

Bitter receptor gene (*TAS2R38*) P49A genotypes and their associations with aversion to vegetables and sweet/fat foods in Malaysian subjects

Shee-Xuen Ooi BSc, Pui-Leng Lee BSc, Huey-Yi Law BSc, Yee-How Say PhD

Department of Science, Faculty of Engineering and Science, Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman (UTAR), Jalan Genting Kelang, Setapak, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Recently, the bitter receptor gene (TAS2R38) was identified to be responsible for phenylthiocarbamide (PTC) bitter sensitivity. Its two predominant haplotypes at three Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) are found to be definitive for the PTC status, which the ProAlaVal and AlaVallle haplotypes are associated with tasters and non-tasters, respectively. TAS2R38 haplotypes have been reported to influence food preferences (like cruciferous vegetables and fat foods) and cardiovascular disease risk factors. We examined, in 215 Malaysian subjects (100 males, 115 females), the association of the P49A SNP of TAS2R38 with anthropometric measurements and aversion to a list of 36 vegetables, 4 soy products, green tea and 37 sweet/fat foods. The subjects were successfully genotyped as 110 PA, 81 PP and 24 AA (with the A49 allelic frequency of 0.37), by Polymerase Chain Reaction-Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (PCR-RFLP). Ethnicity (Malay, Chinese or Indian), but not gender, was associated with the P49A TAS2R38 genotypes (p < 0.001). However, no significant differences in terms of Body Mass Index, Total Body Fat, waist circumference and Waist-Hip Ratio were found between the genotypes (p < 0.05). Only aversions to green tea, mayonnaise and whipped cream, but not soy products, vegetables, and other sweet/fat foods, were associated with the P49A genotypes (p < 0.05). Therefore, the P49A SNP of the bitter receptor gene TAS2R38 could not serve as a predictor of anthropometric measurements and aversion to vegetables or sweet/fat foods in the sampled Malaysian subjects, and this suggests the existence of other possible factors influencing food selection among Malaysians.

Key Words: bitter, TAS2R38, single nucleotide polymorphism, Malaysia, nutrigenomics

INTRODUCTION

Bitter taste is the most complex out of the five taste modalities which may influence food preferences and nutritional status of humans. Taste blindness to the N-C=S moiety-containing bitter chemical phenylthiocarbamide (PTC) was discovered by Fox in 1931.¹ PTC sensitivity is a classical Mendelian recessive trait; non-tasters have two recessive alleles (tt) while tasters have at least one dominant allele (T). The variation in the distribution of this Mendelian recessive traits across populations with taste blindness ranges from 3% in West Africa, 6-23% in China, 40% in India and around 30% in North American Caucasian populations.^{2,3}

In 2003, Kim *et al.* had identified the bitter receptor *TAS2R38* as the gene which is responsible for the ability to taste PTC.⁴ This gene is located on chromosome 7p35 in the human genome and contains a single coding exon of 1002 base pairs in length, coding for the TAS2R38 7-transmembrane domain G-Protein Coupled Receptor protein. Three common Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) were identified in this gene, which are at base pairs 145 (C \rightarrow G), 785 (C \rightarrow T) and 886 (G \rightarrow A), resulting in 3 amino acid substitutions at codons P49A, A262V and V296I.⁴ The PAV haplotype associates with tasting whereas AVI associates with non-tasting.⁵ A study showed that in a small isolated village in Talana, eastern Sardinia,

only three genotypes were found which were AVI/AVI as homozygous non-tasters, AVI/PAV as heterozygous tasters, and PAV/PAV as homozygous tasters.⁶

Bitter compounds at high concentrations generally elicit food rejection, a behaviour critical to avoid ingesting the many toxic compounds found in foods, such as rancid fat, hydrolyzed protein and plant alkaloids.⁷ Increased bitter taste sensitivity among individuals may cause avoidance of vegetables rich in anti-tumour and anti-oxidant compounds because of their perceived bitterness, and instead encouraged the consumption of sweet and fatty foods, potentially increasing the risk of cardiovascular diseases, obesity, and cancer.⁸ A variety of studies have been conducted to study the association of the ability to taste PTC with eating behaviour. For example, in young women, there was an inverse relationship between bitter sensitivity and acceptance of tart citrus, *Brassica* vegetables, spinach,

Corresponding Author: Dr Yee-How Say, Department of Biomedical Science, Faculty of Science, UTAR Perak Campus, Jalan Universiti, Bandar Barat, 31900 Kampar, Perak, Malaysia. Tel: +605-4688888; Fax: +605-4661676 Email: sayyh@utar.edu.my; howsyh@gmail.com Manuscript received 9 November 2009. Initial review completed 10 June 2010. Revision accepted 21 July 2010. and coffee.^{9,10} Female bitter tasters also showed a decreased acceptance of sweet and fatty foods.¹¹ *TAS2R38* taster genotypes have also been observed to associate with a preference for sweet-tasting foods in children, but not in adults.¹² Bitter tasters also had a lower intake of soy products and green tea and rated these foods to be more bitter than non-tasters.¹³

This study therefore sought to determine whether there is a taste genetic component in influencing the food selection among Malaysians. We evaluated frequencies of the first variant site of the *TAS2R38* bitter haplotype PAV, denoted P49A, in 3 ethnicities (Malays, Chinese and Indians) for the first time to determine the association of this SNP with anthropometric measurements and aversion to a list of vegetables, soy products, green tea, sweet and fat foods.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

Random convenience sampling was used in this study. Booths were set up at Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman campuses, a primary and a secondary school, around Klang Valley, from October to December, 2008. The ranges of age, sex and race of the samples were not limited. A short introduction of this study was given to subjects who passed by the booth. Subjects were categorized into 3 major races, Malays, Chinese and Indians. The institutional board approved this study, all individuals participating in this study signed informed consent forms and all samples were taken in accordance with the 1995 Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in Edinburgh 2000).

Questionnaire

A six-page questionnaire was carried out to evaluate the demographic data and dietary habits (Supplementary Data 1). The demographic data section delivered information of age, gender and ethnicity. Subjects were asked to indicate how much they liked or disliked a list of 36 mostly local Asian vegetables, 4 soy products and 37 sweet or fat foods, using a ten-point hedonic preference scale which ranged from one (extremely like) to ten (extremely dislike), with a neutral point at five (neither like nor dislike). Subjects were also asked whether they liked to drink green tea or not.

Anthropometric measurements

Blood pressure was taken using an automated blood pressure monitor (Omron SEM-1) after the subjects had rested for 5 minutes. Height (in cm), waist and hip circumference (in inc.) of the subjects were measured using a measuring tape and their Waist-Hip Ratio (WHR) was calculated by dividing the waist circumference by the hip circumference. A bio-impedance body fat weighing scale (Salter Body Analyser & Scale, UK) was used to determine the body mass index (BMI) and total body fat (TBF). A BMI of \geq 27 kg/m² was considered obese.¹⁴

DNA sampling and extraction

Subjects were asked to rinse their mouths thoroughly using mineral water before DNA sampling of buccal cells. A 5 ml of 3% sucrose was then given to each subject and they were asked to use their tongues to rub the inner part of cheeks. After 1 minute of gargling, the mouthwash was collected in a clean paper cup and was immediately poured into a 15 ml centrifuge tube containing 3 ml of TNE buffer [17 mM Tris / HCL (pH 8.0), 50 mM NaCl and 7 mM EDTA] diluted in 66% ethanol, and stored at 4°C until further use. Genomic DNA was extracted using the isopropanol-ethanol precipitation method as described by Aidar and Line, 2007.¹⁵

TAS2R38 P49A Genotyping

The TAS2R38 P49A polymorphism was amplified by a set of forward and reverse primers¹⁶ with the following sequences - forward primer: 5'-CCTTCGTTTCTTGGTG AATTTTTGGGATGTAGTGAAGAGGCGG-3'; reverse primer: 5'- AGGTTGGCTTGGTTTGCAATCATC -3'. The amplification reaction for an individual PCR tube was performed in a total volume of 20 µl reaction mixture, containing 150 ng DNA template, 500 nM forward primer, 500 nM reverse primer, 200 µM dNTP, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 1x Taq polymerase buffer (Fermentas), and 1U of Taq polymerase (Fermentas). PCR was performed for 30 cycles with the denaturing temperature of 94°C for 30 seconds, followed by the annealing temperature of 64°C for 45 seconds and extension temperature at 72°C for 45 seconds, before finishing with an extension step of 72°C for 5 minutes. Ten microlitres of the PCR product was added with 1U HaeIII restriction enzyme and incubated at 37°C for 1 to 8 hours. RFLP products were resolved by 3% agarose gel electrophoresis before staining with ethidium bromide and viewed under an UV transilluminator. The AA homozygote yielded the 221 bp uncut fragment only, the PA heterozygote yielded the 221, 177 and 44 bp fragments, while the PP homozygote yielded two bands of 177 and 44 bp (Supplementary Data 2). The homozygous AA subjects were considered as bitter non-tasters while heterozygous PA and homozygous PP subjects were assumed as bitter tasters.

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was carried out using SPSS for Windows V 16.0. Descriptive statistics were used to analyze the variables for socio-demographic data and means were compared by one-way ANOVA. The association between *TAS2R38* P49A genotypes with various socio-demographic factors and food aversion was analysed by Pearson chi-square test. Mean, confidence interval of means and *p*-value were obtained for the preferences of every food product. *P*-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Among 215 subjects in this study, the males and females were almost equally balanced with males comprising 46.5%. The subjects ranged from 10 to 76 years old with the mean age of 21.3 ± 10.4 years old. Nearly half of the total subjects were Chinese (48.9%), followed by Malays (27.5%) and Indians (22.7%) (Table 1). There was no significant difference in the P49A genotypes between the males and females. However, a previous study found that females showed higher sensitivity towards bitter-tasting compounds compared to males, due to the high number of

Table 1. Socio-demographics	TAS2R38 P49A	genotypes and anthro	opometric measurements	s of the Mala	ysian subj	jects
-----------------------------	--------------	----------------------	------------------------	---------------	------------	-------

	Male (n=100)	Female (n=115)
Age (mean±SD); years	21.2±12.4	21.4±8.4
Age group, years		
10 to 19	45 (20.9%)	42 (19.5%)
20 to 29	44 (20.5%)	61 (28.4%)
30 to 39	2 (0.9%)	4 (1.9%)
40 to 49	2 (0.9%)	7 (3.3%)
Over 50	7 (3.3%)	1 (0.50%)
Ethnicity		
Malay	27 (12.6%)	32 (14.9%)
Chinese	55 (25.6%)	50 (23.3%)
Indian	16 (7.4%)	33 (15.3%)
Others	2 (0.9%)	0 (0%)
TAS2R38 P49A Genotype		
AA	10 (4.7%)	14 (6.5%)
PA	58 (27%)	52 (24.2%)
PP	32 (14.9%)	49 (22.8%)
BMI (mean \pm SD); kg/m ²	23.2±5.8	22.7±5.6
BMI Categories		
Underweight ($<18.5 \text{ kg/m}^2$)	21 (9.7%)	28 (13%)
Normal $(18.5-22.9 \text{ kg/m}^2)$	30 (14%)	39 (18.1%)
Overweight $(23.0-26.9 \text{ kg/m}^2)$	26 (12.1%)	24 (11.2%)
Obese ($\geq 27.0 \text{ kg/m}^2$)	23 (10.7%)	24 (11.2%)
Total Body Fat (mean±SD; %)	19.9±13.1	25.3±12.5
Waist circumference (mean±SD), in	31.5±6.1	29.1±5.0
Waist-hip ratio (mean±SD)	0.91 ± 0.06	0.85 ± 0.08
Systolic blood pressure (mean±SD), mmHg	123.6±15.9	117.9±18.2
Diastolic blood pressure (mean±SD); mmHg	76.1±10.0	72.8±9.9



Figure 1. Means of anthropometric measurements among the *TAS2R38* P49A genotypes. The anthropometric measurements include waist-hip ratio (WHR), waist circumference (WC), total body fat (TBF), body mass index (BMI), and systolic and diastolic blood pressure (SBP and DBP). Standard deviations are indicated as error bars.

fungiform taste buds and density of the fungiform papillae present.¹⁷

Five anthropometric measurements including blood pressure (BP), BMI, TBF, WC and WHR were taken to investigate whether they were associated with the *TAS2R38* P49A genotypes. From the results obtained, while the mean BMI of the Malaysian female subjects was in the normal-weight category, the males slightly exceeded the cut-off point for overweight (23 kg/m²) (Table 1). The

TAS2R38 genotypes showed significant differences in both Systolic BP (SBP) and Diastolic BP (DBP) mean values (F=4.339, p=0.014 and F=4.697, p=0.010, respectively; by One-Way ANOVA) (Figure 1). However, no significant differences of BMI, TBF, WC and WHR were found between the genotypes (p<0.05).

Among the 110 PA, 81 PP and 24 AA subjects (with the A49 allelic frequency of 0.37), the percentage of AA subjects was interestingly high in Indians (n=17, 70.8%),

Table 2. Distribution of TAS2R38 P49A genotypes
among the ethnic groups of the Malaysian subjects

Race	TAS2R38 P49A Genotypes					
	AA	PA	PP			
Malay	3	33	23			
Chinese	4	53	48			
Indian	17	22	10			
Others	0	2	0			
χ^2 (df)	39.607 (6)					
p^{***}	< 0.0001					
95% CI	0.000-0.014					

compared to Chinese (n=4,16.7%) or Malays (n=3, 12.5%). The Chinese were the highest number of subjects having at least one P allele (PA or PP), which could be

due to the fact that almost half of the 215 subjects were Chinese. Ethnicity was significantly associated with the P49A genotypes among the Malaysian subjects, with a low frequency of non-tasters AA (11.2%). (Table 2)

The types of vegetables in this study can be divided into 2 categories: 25 bitter vegetables and 11 sweet vegetables (Table 3). We assumed that vegetables like bak choy, broccoli, cabbage, cauliflower and Chinese kale as cruciferous vegetables from the family Brassicaceae (or Cruciferae), which are less likely to be eaten by bittertasters,⁷ due to the existence of compounds related to phenylthiocarbamide (PTC). Therefore, we classified these vegetables as 'bitter'; although we admit the list is not just limited to the Brassicaceae family. As the main interest in our study is bitterness, we used the 'bitter/sweet' category to group the vegetables, and not other criteria like 'phytonutrients'. This is because vegetables like car-

Table 3. Mean vegetables and soy products aversion scores (arbitrary units) in TAS2R38 P49A genotypes

	TAS2R38 P49A Genotypes						
	A	A	P	A	Р	Р	p^{\ddagger}
	Mean±SD	95% CI	Mean±SD	95% CI	Mean±SD	95% CI	-
Bitter vegetables							
Amaranth	5.63±3.23	4.26-6.99	4.89 ± 2.94	4.33-5.45	4.81±3.01	4.15-5.48	0.957
Asparagus	5.25±3.42	3.81-6.69	5.66±3.12	5.07-6.25	5.32 ± 2.76	4.71-5.93	0.225
Bak choy	3.50 ± 2.32	2.52-4.48	3.88 ± 2.70	3.37-4.39	4.04±2.64	3.45-4.62	0.772
Bell pepper	5.75±3.22	4.39-7.11	5.57±3.12	4.98-6.16	5.64±2.96	4.99-6.30	0.837
Bitter gourd	4.58±3.24	3.21-5.95	6.05±3.33	5.42-6.67	5.63±3.25	4.91-6.35	0.412
Broccoli	3.92 ± 3.36	2.50-5.34	3.87 ± 2.86	3.33-4.41	3.53 ± 2.61	2.95-4.11	0.901
Brussels sprouts	4.92 ± 3.39	3.49-6.35	4.54±2.83	4.00-5.07	5.30 ± 2.95	4.64-5.95	0.077
Cabbage	2.54 ± 2.45	1.51-3.58	3.05 ± 2.30	2.61-3.48	3.44 ± 2.52	2.89-4.00	0.159
Cauliflower	2.92 ± 2.10	2.03-3.81	3.93 ± 2.86	3.39-4.47	3.56 ± 2.57	2.99-4.12	0.387
Celerv	4.67 ± 3.47	3.20-6.13	4.93 ± 2.83	4.39-5.46	5.15 ± 2.95	4.50-5.80	0.501
Chinese kale	3.04 ± 2.74	1.88-4.20	3.85 ± 2.95	3.30-4.41	4.47 ± 3.08	3.79-5.15	0.589
Chinese leek	5.50 ± 2.96	4.25-6.75	5.76 ± 3.00	5.20-6.33	6.59 ± 7.30	4.98-8.21	0.181
Chinese mustard	5.63 ± 3.13	4 30-6 95	549 ± 299	4 93-6 06	5.60 ± 2.98	4 95-6 26	0.862
Chinese parsley	5 38+3 06	4 08-6 67	5 65+3 11	5 06-6 23	541+305	4 73-6 08	0.609
Cucumber	321 ± 271	2.06-4.36	3.28 ± 2.77	2 76-3 80	3.89 ± 2.78	3 27-4 50	0.662
Eggnlant	358+264	2 47-4 70	444+301	3 87-5 01	442+290	3 78-5 06	0.503
French bean	454 ± 324	3 17-5 91	4.11 ± 2.93	3 56-4 66	4.06 ± 2.75	3 45-4 67	0.218
Kanakuna	3 25+3 10	1 94-4 56	3 29+2 55	2 81-3 77	3 31+2 85	2 68-3 94	0.060
Lady's fingers	33+300	2 07-4 60	3.69+3.00	3 12-4 26	3.91 ± 2.03 3.91 ± 2.92	3 27-4 56	0.351
Lettuce	383+288	2.62-5.05	3 79+2 67	3 29-4 30	4 01+2.76	3 40-4 62	0.752
Long bean	2 63+2 12	1 73-3 52	3.50+2.67	3.04-3.96	3 53+2 62	2 95-4 11	0.732
Petai	533+401	3 64-7 03	5 71+4 22	4 91-6 51	5 78+3 49	5 01-6 55	0.686
Spinach	3 88+3 21	2 52-5 23	449+290	3 94-5 04	4 47+3 14	3 78-5 16	0.688
Winged bean	6 29+2 76	5 13-7 46	491+302	4 34-5 48	5 14+3 03	4 47-5 81	0.463
Yellow flower Choy Sum	5 75+2 85	4 55-6 95	5.03+3.31	4 40-5 65	4 91+2 96	4 26-5 57	0.090
Sweet Vegetables	2.72-2.00	1.55 0.55	0.00-0.01	1.10 5.05	1.91-2.90	1.20 0.07	0.070
Baby corn	438 ± 210	3 09-5 66	4 25+2 86	3 74-4 77	3 93+2 57	2 99-4 12	0 771
Carrot	329+347	2 09-4 49	2 86+2 83	2 49-3 24	3 36+2 95	4 50-5 80	0.005*
Chinese radish	625+274	5 30-7 20	5.08+2.05	4 50-5 67	464+307	3 79-5 15	0.065
Corn	3.50+2.96	2 36-4 65	2.75+3.00	2 36-3 15	3.11+7.30	4 98-8 21	0.638
Oriental melon	450+313	3 44-5 56	490+299	4 37-5 43	4 91+2 98	4 95-6 26	0.958
Pumpkin	5 67+3 06	4 17-7 16	4.66+3.11	4 09-5 24	4 98+3 05	4 73-6 08	0.207
Sweet neas	5.07 ± 3.00 5.96+2.72	4.60-7.32	4.00 ± 3.11 4.45 ± 2.77	3 93-4 98	4.73 ± 2.03	3 27-4 50	0.132
Sweet peas Sweet potato	3.00 ± 2.72 3.00±2.64	2 09-3 91	2.95+3.01	2 51-3 38	3.57+2.90	3 78-5 06	0.132
Tomato	2.83+2.04	1 96-3 70	3.82+2.01	2.51-5.50	4.09+3.08	3 40-4 77	0.310
Turnin	5.75+3.26	1.70-5.70	4.75+3.07	1 16-5 33	4.09 ± 3.00	1 24-5 54	0.452
Vam	5.75 ± 3.20 5.08+3.10	3 74-6 43	4.18 ± 2.86	3 64-4 72	4.05 ± 2.04	3 15-1 65	0.280
Sou products	5.06-5.19	5.74-0.45	4.16±2.60	5.04-4.72	4.05±2.71	5.45-4.05	0.445
Tofu/ Bean curd	2 79+2 10	1 87-3 71	3 71+2 95	3 15-4 27	3 23+2 55	2 67-3 80	0 787
Sov milk	2.79 ± 2.19 2 20 ± 1.79	1.67-3.71	3.71 ± 2.73 2.30 ± 1.02	101766	2.23 ± 2.33 2.16±1.75	2.07-3.00	0.707
Tofufah	2.27 ± 1.70 2 20+1 72	1.54-5.04	2.30±1.92 3 11±9.67	2.60.2.61	2.10 ± 1.73 2 80 ± 2.52	2 24.2 26	0.109
Fermented sou/ Tempe	2.29 ± 1.73 1.00 ± 2.00	2 64 6 10	3.11 ± 2.07 1.00 ± 2.16	4 30 5 50	2.00±2.33 1.52±2.06	2.24-3.30 2.28 5.10	0.303
rennented soy/ rempe	4.92±3.02	3.04-0.19	4.99±3.10	4.37-3.39	4.JJ±2.90	5.88-5.19	0.301

^{*t*}*p* -value by ANOVA

rot, corn and pumpkin may still taste 'sweet', although they have flavonoids. Based on a 10-point hedonic scale, a higher score indicates a higher aversion for a vegetable. In AA subjects, 11 out of 25 bitter vegetables (44%) had mean aversion scores of less than 4, while 7 out of 11 sweet vegetables (63.6%) had mean aversion scores of higher than 4. In contrast, in PP subjects, 18 bitter vegetables (72%) had mean aversion scores of higher than 4 and all the sweet vegetables had mean aversion scores of lower than 4. These indicate that PP tasters had a higher aversion of bitter vegetables and preferred sweet vegetables more compared to other genotypes. However, not a single vegetable or soy product had significant association with TAS2R38 P49A genotypes, except for carrot (p < 0.005). For green tea preference, 60 or 74.1% of PP subjects, 62 or 56.4% of PA subjects and 14 or 58.3% of AA subjects answered 'Yes' to the question: "Do you like to drink green tea?". Green tea preference was found to be significantly associated with TAS2R38 genotypes (p=0.037) and the non-taster AA subjects interestingly seemed to dislike green tea-drinking more compared to

tasters PA or PP.

Besides vegetables, soy products and green tea, we also examined if the aversion of sweet and fat foods is associated with TAS2R38 P49A genotypes - using a list of 37 sweet and fat foods. From Table 4, only 10 out of the 37 sweet/fat foods (27%) had mean aversion scores of more than 4 in AA subjects, while this was almost the same for the PP subjects at 32.4%. This indicates that the preference of sweet/fat foods was almost similar for all the subjects and the aversion of sweet/fat foods among the genotypes was low. Only the aversion of regular mayonnaise and whipped cream had significant association with the genotypes of the subjects (p=0.02 and p=0.037, respectively). Non-taster AA seemed to dislike mayonnaise more compared to taster PP (but not taster PA), while tasters PP and PA disliked whipped cream more compared to non-taster AA.

DISCUSSION

Taken together, the bitter receptor gene *TAS2R38* P49A SNP is not associated with the anthropometric measure-

Table 4. Mean sweet and fat foods aversion scores (arbitrary units) in TAS2R38 P49A genotypes

	TAS2R38 P49A Genotypes						
Sweet/Fat Foods	AA		PA		PP		p^{\ddagger}
	Mean \pm SD	95% CI	Mean \pm SD	95% CI	$Mean \pm SD$	95% CI	
Butter or margarine on bread	3.42±2.26	2.46-4.37	3.48±2.37	3.03-3.93	3.56±2.26	3.06-4.05	0.745
Candy	3.75±2.21	2.82-4.68	4.05 ± 2.54	3.57-4.54	4.26±2.36	3.74-4.78	0.478
Canned beans and canned franks	6.29±2.73	5.14-7.44	5.46±2.72	4.95-5.98	4.94±2.53	4.38-5.50	0.301
Canned cream soup	4.75±3.00	3.48-6.02	4.68±2.74	4.16-5.20	4.47±2.62	3.89-5.05	0.708
Cheese	4.00±3.27	2.62-5.38	3.78±2.74	3.26-4.30	3.41±2.35	2.89-3.93	0.43
Chicken with skin	4.25±3.44	2.80-5.70	4.55±3.10	3.96-5.13	4.41±3.09	3.72-5.09	0.605
Chicken without skin	2.25±1.29	1.70-2.80	3.35 ± 2.65	2.85-3.86	3.26 ± 1.90	2.84-3.68	0.121
Chocolate cake	1.96±1.37	1.38-2.54	3.06 ± 2.38	2.61-3.51	3.21±2.30	2.70-3.72	0.652
Chocolate syrup	3.58±2.43	2.56-4.61	4.30±2.53	3.82-4.78	4.38±2.64	3.80-4.97	0.81
Coconut milk	5.46 ± 2.65	4.34-6.58	4.70±2.51	4.22-5.18	4.79±2.73	4.19-5.39	0.844
Cookies	2.25 ± 1.48	1.62-2.88	3.19 ± 2.06	2.80-3.58	2.69 ± 1.81	2.29-3.09	0.246
Crackers	2.42 ± 1.67	1.71-3.12	3.35 ± 2.27	2.93-3.78	3.10 ± 2.10	2.63-3.56	0.486
Cucumber slices or lettuce	2 67+2 06	2 42 4 02	2 51+2 65	2 01 4 01	2 10+2 22	267370	0.512
leaves on sandwiches	5.0/±2.90	2.42-4.92	5.51±2.05	5.01-4.01	5.19±2.52	2.07-5.70	0.312
Donuts	3.29±2.12	2.40-4.19	3.44 ± 2.37	2.99-3.88	3.37 ± 2.01	2.93-3.81	0.744
Fried foods	2.67±1.93	1.85-3.48	3.24 ± 2.30	2.80-3.67	3.06 ± 1.98	2.62-3.50)	0.924
Hamburgers	2.54 ± 1.64	1.85-3.23	3.33 ± 2.39	2.88-3.78	3.51±2.16	3.03-3.98	0.693
Hot dog	2.38 ± 1.50	1.74-3.01	3.35 ± 2.44	2.88-3.81	3.26±2.17	2.78-3.74	0.532
Ice cream	2.21±1.72	1.48-2.93	2.76 ± 2.16	2.35-3.17	2.89 ± 2.11	2.42-3.36	0.95
Jelly, jam or honey on bread	2.79 ± 1.79	2.03-3.55	3.78 ± 2.52	3.31-4.26	3.62 ± 2.28	3.11-4.12	0.37
Low fat milk	4.88 ± 3.28	3.49-6.26	3.44±2.61	2.94-3.93	3.62 ± 2.65	3.03-4.20	0.1
Luncheon meats	4.50 ± 2.69	3.37-5.63	4.85 ± 2.83	4.32-5.39	4.65±2.79	4.04-5.27	0.799
Muffins	3.67 ± 2.87	2.46-4.88	3.55 ± 2.40	3.10-4.01	3.63±2.35	3.11-4.15	0.13
Noodles	2.54 ± 1.72	1.82-3.27	2.95 ± 2.05	2.57-3.34	2.98 ± 1.82	2.57-3.38	0.637
Nuts	2.67±2.12	1.77-3.56	3.87 ± 2.64	3.37-4.37	3.73 ± 2.01	3.28-4.17	0.229
Oatmeal	3.83 ± 2.70	2.69-4.97	4.16 ± 2.64	3.66-4.66	3.85 ± 2.42	3.32-4.39	0.372
Pasta with cheese	4.17 ± 3.00	2.90-5.43	4.24 ± 3.00	3.67-4.80	4.09 ± 2.94	3.44-4.74	0.976
Pasta with red sauce	3.58 ± 2.65	2.46-4.70	4.08 ± 2.60	3.59-4.57	4.72 ± 2.85	4.09-5.35	0.208
Pasta with vegetables	4.00 ± 2.98	2.74-5.26	4.47 ± 2.80	3.94-5.00	4.52 ± 2.68	3.93-5.11	0.503
Pasta with white sauce	4.46 ± 2.89	3.24-5.68	5.21±3.03	4.64-5.78	4.57±2.95	3.92-5.22	0.694
Popcorn	2.46 ± 1.82	1.69-3.23	2.86 ± 2.11	2.46-3.26	3.57 ± 2.20	3.08-4.05	0.357
Potato chips	2.04 ± 1.37	1.46-2.62	2.85 ± 2.19	2.44-3.27	3.06 ± 2.10	2.60-3.53	0.46
Regular mayonnaise	3.92 ± 2.64	2.80-5.03	4.02 ± 2.76	3.50-4.54	3.46 ± 2.32	2.94-3.97	0.02*
Regular puddings	2.75 ± 1.65	2.05-3.45	3.60 ± 2.50	3.13-4.07	3.40 ± 1.97	2.96-3.83	0.505
Regular salad dressing	3.83 ± 2.60	2.74-4.93	4.21±2.92	3.66-4.76	3.79 ± 2.53	3.23-4.35	0.13
Snack foods	2.29 ± 1.52	1.65-2.93	3.74 ± 2.60	3.24-4.23	3.26±2.13	2.79-3.73	0.26
Whipped cream	3.33 ± 2.22	2.40-4.27	4.90 ± 2.99	4.34-5.46	4.62 ± 2.74	4.01-5.22	0.037*
Whole eggs	3.83 ± 2.60	2.74-4.93	3.76±2.95	3.21-4.32	3.33 ± 2.48	2.79-3.88	0.921

[‡]p -value by ANOVA

ments and aversions to majority of vegetables, soy products and sweet/fat foods. Therefore, there might not be a taste genetic component in influencing the selection of food among Malaysians of different ethnicities; although the P49A genotypes were significantly different among the 3 races in this study. Nevertheless, the non-tasters AA were found to be less common among the Malaysian subjects (11.2%, with the A49 allelic frequency of 0.37). This supports previous research which showed that the non-tasting gene is less commonly presented in Asians, where only 6-10 % had the non-tasting gene.¹⁸

The non-association between *TAS2R38* P49A genotypes and BMI is supported by previous studies.^{19,20} Timpson *et al.*¹⁹ concluded that *TAS2R38* status was not important in determining the related risk factors in the British Women's Heart and Health Study sample. Consistently, the study of Tepper *et al.*²⁰ done on Carlantino, Southern Italy samples also found no relationship between *TAS2R38* haplotypes and BMI. However, a contrasting result was shown by Tepper *et al.*²⁰ at the same time. They reported that the PTC phenotypes alone instead of genotypes were found to be significantly associated with BMI and waist circumference in females. Besides, studies which supported the association between lower PTC phenotypic responsiveness with higher CVD risk factors,²¹⁻²⁴ also opposed the results obtained in this study.

In the present study, aversion to bitter or sweet vegetables is not significantly different in *TAS2R38* genotypes. It is different from a review which found that bitter *Brassica* vegetables, such as Brussels sprouts, cabbage, spinach, and kale were rejected by most of bitter tasters.⁷ Reviews have shown that vegetables and fruits consumption among adults relied on familiarity and habit, social interactions, cost, availability, time constraints, media, advertising, and health.^{26,27} Apart from that, biological, environmental and socio-cultural factors affect the indexes of dietary intake.²⁷ For example, pre-school children from low-income family, who were tasters for bitter tasting compounds, were associated with the low intake of vegetables compared to pre-school children from high-income family.²⁸

A study showed that respondents who were non-tasters had high acceptance of soy products such as tofu and soymilk and rated these soy products less bitter compared to tasters.¹³ However, aversions to soy products did not show any significant association with *TAS2R38* genotypes among Malaysians. Only green tea preference had significant difference in *TAS2R38* genotypes and the non-taster AA interestingly seemed to dislike drinking green tea. The reason for this is yet to be elucidated.

Bitterness of bitter-tasting compounds showed positive associations with creamy or oiliness of high-fat milks and foods,²⁹ salad dressings,³⁰ and corn oil.³¹ In another study, it was found that bitter-tasting compounds, sex and creaminess were significant predictors of preference for sampled high-fat foods.³² Men who were bitter non-tasters reported the sampled foods as creamier and preferred these foods most. On the other hand, females who were bitter-tasters strongly reported that greater creaminess was less pleasant in the same study.³² Out of the 37 sweet/fat foods listed in this study, only mayonnaise and whipped cream - which both elicit a creamy sensation to

the tongue, had significant associations with the genotypes of the subjects. Non-taster AA seemed to dislike mayonnaise more but preferred whipped cream more as compared to tasters. However, it is still inconclusive to make an interpretation that bitter sensitivity is a predictor for the preference of creamy/fat food, and further studies should be conducted to shed light on this.

While there seems to be an association between bitter sensitivity and dietary selection, the potential interaction between bitter sensitivity and actual dietary intake has yet to be fully elucidated. A study carried out among preschool children revealed that the low-income group that were bitter tasters had lower intake of vegetables and consequently had higher BMIs.²⁸ A similar link between increased bitterness sensitivity and decreased vegetable consumption was found in an Italian population.³³ Among men undergoing endoscopic screenings for colon polyps, those with the highest bitter sensitivity ate fewer vegetables.³⁴ It is interesting to note that bitter sensitivity also correlated positively with polyp number, suggesting a possible link between bitter sensitivity and colon cancer.³⁴ In contrast, in a group of young women, bitter sensitivity was not associated with the consumption frequency of 22 bitter foods.³⁵ In the future, a food frequency questionnaire could also be conducted beside the aversion scores for the list of vegetables, soy products, green tea and sweet/fat foods.

The number of subjects recruited in the study was rather small, limiting the power for statistical analysis of the results. Caution is required when interpreting our findings. The TAS2R38 genotyping could be improved if the gene haplotypes (PAV or AVI) instead of the single P49A SNP are examined; the former would give a more profound prediction on bitter perception. The bitter genotypes or haplotypes, bitter phenotypes could be determined using the 6-n-Propylthiouracil (PROP) bitter strip.³⁶ PROP is one of the members of the bitter-tasting compounds known as thioureas, which are responsible for bitter taste. It lacks the sulphurous odour of PTC and is less toxic - therefore safer and more acceptable to respondents. The distribution of fungiform papillae could also be used to determine the bitter phenotypes. Papillae counting could be observed using videomicroscopy under lower magnification. Density of fungiform papillae is different among bitter tasters and non-tasters.³⁷ Bitter sensitivity can also be done on different cohorts to explore its influence towards human daily live and susceptibility to certain diseases. Since many previous studies have been done on the influence of bitter sensitivity on aversion of cruciferous vegetables which contain vital cancer-fighting components, this study could be further extended to cancer patients.^{34,38} Instead of random convenience sampling, a case control study could be done to have a better comparison of bitter sensitivity among cancer patients and healthy individuals.

In summary, our results show that the frequency of *TAS2R38* A49A non-tasters is very low among the 3 major ethnic groups of the Malaysian population, and that the *TAS2R38* P49A SNP is not a suitable predictor of body indices and food selection for the population. This suggests the existence of other possible factors influencing the selection of foods that may have adverse or bene-

ficial effects towards health, such as biological (other genes besides the *TAS2R38* bitter receptor gene), environmental and socio-cultural factors.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project was funded by the Department of Science, Faculty of Science and Engineering, University Tunku Abdul Rahman. We also gratefully acknowledge all the volunteers who participated in this study.

AUTHOR DISCLOSURES

Shee-Xuen Ooi, Pui-Leng Lee, Huey-Yi Law and Yee-How Say, have no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- 1. Fox AL. The relation between chemical constitution and taste. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1931;18:115-20.
- 2. Tepper BJ. 6-n-propylthiouracil: A genetic marker for taste, with implications for food preferences and dietary habits. Am J Hum Genet. 1998;63:1271-6.
- 3. Guo SW, Reed RD. The genetics of phenylthiocarbamide perception. Ann Hum Genet. 2001;28:111-42.
- Kim UK, Jorgenson E, Coon H, Leppert M, Risch N, Drayna D. Positional cloning of the human quantitative trait locus underlying taste sensitivity to phenylthiocarbamide. Science. 2003;299:1221-5.
- Bufe B, Breslin PAS, Kuhn C, Reed DR, Tharp CD, Slack JP et al. The molecular basis of individual differences in phenylthiocarbamide and propylthiouracil bitterness perception. Curr Biol. 2005;15:322-7.
- Prodi DA, Drayna D, Forabosco P, Palmas MA, Maestrale GB, Piras D et al. Bitter taste study in a Sardinian genetic isolate supports the association of phenylthiocarbamide sensitivity to the TAS2R38 bitter receptor gene. Chem Senses. 2004;29:697-702.
- Drewnowski A, Gomez-Carneros C. Bitter taste, phytonutrients, and the consumer: A review. Am J Clin Nutr. 2000;72: 1424-35.
- Goldstein GL, Daun H, Tepper BJ. Adiposity in middleaged women is associated with genetic taste blindness to 6n-propylthiouracil. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2005;13:1017-23.
- Drewnoski A, Henderson SA, Shore AB, Barratt-Fornell A. Sensory responses to 6-n-propylthiouracil (PROP) or sucrose solutions and food preferences in young women. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 1998;855:797-801.
- Drewnowski A, Henderson SA, Levine A, Hann CS. Taste and food preferences as predictors of dietary practices in young women. Public Health Nutr. 1999;2:513-9.
- 11. Duffy VB, Bartoshuk LM. Food acceptance and genetic variation in taste. J Am Diet Assoc. 2000;100:647-55.
- Mennella JA, Pepino MY, Reed DR. Genetic and environmental determinants of bitter perception and sweet preferences. Paediatrics. 2005;115:216-22.
- Akella GD, Henderson SA, Drewnowski A. Sensory acceptance of Japanese green tea and soy products is linked to genetic sensitivity to 6-n-propylthiouracil. Nutri Cancer. 1998; 29:146-51.
- Deurenberg-Yap MD, Schmidt G, Staveren WA, Deurenberg P. The paradox of low body mass index and high body fat percentage among Chinese, Malays and Indians in Singapore. Int J Obes (Lond). 2000;24:1011-7.
- Aidar M, Line SRP. A simple and cost-effective protocol for DNA isolation from buccal epithelial cells. Braz Dent J. 2007;18:148-52.
- Dolan DNA Learning Center. Using a single-nucleotide polymorphism to predict bitter-tasting ability. New York: Carolina Biological Supply Company; 2006.

- Bartoshuk LM, Duffy VB, Miller IJ. PTC/PROP tasting: Anatomy, psychophysics, and sex effects. Physiol Behav. 1994;56:1165-71.
- Drewnowski A, Rock CL. The influence of genetic taste markers on food acceptance. Am J Clin Nutr. 1995;62:506-11.
- Timpson NJ, Christensen M, Lawlor DA, Gaunt TR, Day IN, Ebrahim S. TAS2R38 (phenylthiocarbamide) haplotypes, coronary heart disease traits, and eating behavior in the British Women's Heart and Health Study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2005; 81:1005-11.
- Tepper BJ, Koelliker Y, Zhao L, Ullrich NV, Lanzara C, Adamo P et al. Variation in the bitter-taste receptor gene TAS2R38, and adiposity in a genetically isolated population in Southern Italy. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2008;16:2289-95.
- Fischer R, Griffen F, Rockey M. Gustatory chemoprevention in man: Multidisciplinary aspects and perspectives. Perspect Biol Med. 1966;9:549-77.
- 22. Duffy VB, Fast K, Cohen Z, Chodos E, Bartoshuk LM. Genetic taste status associates with fat food acceptance and body mass index in adults. Chem Senses. 1999;24:545-6.
- Goldstein GL, Daun H, Tepper BJ. Adiposity in middleaged women is associated with genetic taste blindness to 6n-propylthiouracil. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2005;13:1017-23.
- Drewnowski A, Henderson SA, Cockroft JE. Genetic sensitivity to 6-n-Propylthiouracil has no influence on dietary patterns, body mass indexes, plasma lipid profiles of women. J Am Diet Assoc. 2007;107:1340-8.
- Pollard J, Kirk SFL, Cade JE. Factors affecting food choice in relation to fruit and vegetable intake: A review. Nutr Res Rev. 2002;15:373-87.
- Pollard J, Greenwood D, Kirk SFL, Cade JE. Motivations for fruit and vegetable consumption in the UK Women's Cohort Study. Public Health Nutr. 2002;5:479-86.
- Willett WC. Nutritional Epidemiology. 2nd edition. New York: Oxford University Press; 1998.
- Lumeng JC, Cardinal TM, Sitto JR, Kanna S. Ability to taste 6-n-propylthiouracil and BMI in low-income preschool-ages children. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2008;16: 1522-8.
- Duffy VB, Lucchina LA, Bartoshuk LM. Genetic variation in taste: Potential biomarker for cardiovascular disease risk? In Prescott J, Tepper B, editors. Genetic variation in taste sensitivity: measurement, significance and implications. New York: Marcel Dekker; 2004. pp.197-229.
- Tepper B, Nurse R. PROP taster status is related to fat perception and preference. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 1998;855:802-4.
- Prutkin JM, Fast K, Lucchina LA, Bartoshuk LM. Prop (6n-propylthiouracil) genetics and trigeminal innervation of fungiform papillae. Chem Senses. 1999;24:243.
- Duffy VB, Peterson J, Dinehart M, Bartoshuk LM. Genetic and environmental variation in taste: association with sweet intensity, preference and intake. Top Clin Nutr. 2003;18: 209-20.
- 33. Sacerdote C, Guarrera S, Smith GD, Grioni S, Krogh V, Masala G et al. Lactase persistence and bitter taste response: instrumental variables and mendelian randomization in epidemiologic studies of dietary factors and cancer risk. Am J Epidemiol. 2007;166:576-81.
- Basson MD, Bartoshuk LM, Dichello SZ, Panzini L, Weiffenbach JM, Duffy, VB. Association between 6-npropylthiouracil (PROP) bitterness and colonic neoplasms. Dig Dis Sci. 2005;50:483-9.
- Kaminski LC, Henderson SA, Drewnowski A. Young women's food preferences and taste responsiveness to 6-npropylthiouracil (PROP). Physiol Behav. 2000;68:691-7.

- Zhao LQ, Kirkmeyer SV, Tepper BJ. A paper screening test to assess genetic taste sensitivity to 6-n-propylthiouracil. Physiol Behav. 2003;78:625-33.
- 37. Shahbake M, Hutchinson I, Laing DG, Jinks AL. Rapid quantitative assessment of fungiform papillae density in the human tongue. Brain Res. 2005;1052:196-201.

Original Article

 Drewnowski A, Henderson SA, Hann CS, Berg WA, Ruffin MT. Genetic taste markers and preferences for vegetables and fruit of female breast care patients. J Am Diet Assoc. 2000;100:191-7.

Bitter receptor gene (*TAS2R38*) P49A genotypes and their associations with aversion to vegetables and sweet/fat foods in Malaysian subjects

Shee-Xuen Ooi BSc, Pui-Leng Lee BSc, Huey-Yi Law BSc, Yee-How Say PhD

Department of Science, Faculty of Engineering and Science, Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman (UTAR), Jalan Genting Kelang, Setapak, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

苦味受体基因(TAS2R38)P49A 基因型与马来西亚受试者对蔬菜和甜/脂肪食物厌恶感的关联

最近,苦味受体基因(TAS2R38)被确认为负责苯基硫脲(PTC)苦味的敏感 性。它在三个单核苷酸多形性(SNPs)的两个主要单倍型被确定与 PTC 感受性 有关,而 ProAlaVal 和 AlaVallle 单倍型分别對應味感者和味盲者。TAS2R38 单 倍型已被报道能影响对食物的喜好(如十字花科蔬菜和脂肪食品)和心血管疾病 的危险因素。我们检验马来西亚 215 名受试者(100 名男性,115 名女性)之 TAS2R38 的 P49A 单核苷酸多态性, 並測試它們與受试者的体位测量及对 36 種 蔬菜、4 項黃豆制品、绿茶和 37 項甜/脂肪食物的厌恶感之关联性。通过聚合酶 链反应限制性片段长度多态性(PCR-RFLP)技术,受试者成功地被鑑定为 110PA,81PP 和 24AA 基因型(A49 等位基因频率为 0.37)。种族特点(马来 人,华人或印度人),而不是性别,与 TAS2R38 之 P49A 基因型有关联 (p<0.001)。然而,在各基因型中,身体质量指数,身体总脂肪,腰围和腰臀 围比例并无显着差异(p<0.05)。只有对绿茶,蛋黄酱和鮮奶油,而不是对黄豆 制品,蔬菜和其他甜/脂肪食物的厌恶感,与 P49A 基因型有关联(p<0.05)。因 此,苦味受体基因 TAS2R38 的单核苷酸多态性 P49A 不能作为马来西亚受试者体 位测量和对蔬菜或甜/脂肪食物厌恶的预测。这表明,可能存在其他因素影响马 来西亚人对食物的选择。

关键词:苦味、TAS2R38、单核苷酸多态性、马来西亚、营养基因组学