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Chronic diseases are generally taken to include obesity (especially abdominal), diabetes, macrovascular disease (MVD), affecting all medium distributing arteries and the organs they supply, osteoporosis, and various cancers (notably breast, lung, colorectal, pancreatic, prostate and skin) and dementia. Unfortunately, they may not be so chronic, as their consequences for morbidity and mortality may occur early in adult life and proceed rapidly. Since they all, in one way or another, have food, nutritional and other environmental and lifestyle contributions, the term Eco-Nutritional disease may be preferred. Insofar as the nutritional basis of chronic disease is concerned, we may simply speak of nutritionally-related disorders or diseases (NRD). In regard to fat and END or NRD, the key considerations are how diverse the sources are and what it does to energy density (ED) and nutrient density (ND). These are reflected in the 2003 WHO report 9816 on “Diet, Nutrition and the Prevention of Chronic Disease”.
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Introduction

A recent effort to increase the understanding of the nutritional pathogenesis and preventability of the so-called Chronic Disease has been the WHO Technical Report 916, entitled "Diet, Nutrition and the Preventability of Chronic Diseases". Nutrition is one of a host of lifestyle considerations, including physical activity, social and environmental factors and substance abuse, and these may operate across the whole life-cycle, requiring an integrated approach to prevention. The Report took a rather disease-specific approach, which and endeavours to bring this together for optimal general health and longevity.

There is much in the Report about preferred macro- and micro-nutrient intakes in relation to chronic disease. These observations need to be couched in terms of other WHO (and FAO) recommendations about FBDGs (Food Based Dietary Guidelines) which always emphasize the overriding importance of food diversity, as well as energy balance. For the Western Pacific (and elsewhere) diverse sources of macro-nutrients (carbohydrate, fat and protein) are recommended. The 2003 Technical Report also encourages the consumption of nutrient dense (nutritious) food which requires all dietary components including a spectrum of phytochemicals to be considered in relation to health. For fat, it can be said, that the collective position of various recent WHO reports would be:

(1) To obtain fat from a diversity of sources and obtain the fat relatively unrefined (from seed, nuts, grains, fruits where the source is plant) and from lean or low-fat animal sources).

(2) Keep the FER (fat energy ratio) between 15 and 35%, the upper end requiring high levels of physical activity.

(3) Keep trans fatty acid intake to less than 1% of daily-energy intake.

(4) Have fat as part of a varied diet which provides health protection through its wide range of active components acting synergistically and collectively.

In the preparatory workshop to the WHO Expert Consultation in Vienna, 25-26th August 2001, the value of understanding the eco-nutritional basis of chronic disease was explored. This develops the arguments for food variety and the need to underpin nutritional guidelines with bio-diversity and sustainability. Fat, and especially palm fruit and its oil, can be produced for human consumption in ways that support the WHO position.

Dietary fat

The concept of dietary fat has evolved substantially in recent times. The principal revisions in thinking and practice have been:

(1) Its contribution to energy density (ED), measured in calories or kilojoules/unit mass, as the principal food component increasing ED and the role of ED in human energy balance.

(2) The recognition that not all types of fat can have equivalent energy values (Atwater factors) because of the various non-fuel roles of fat (receptor function; cell regulatory eicosanoids depending on fatty acid composition; differential digestion, absorption and handling in the portal circulation depending on triglyceride isomer and chain length of fatty acid).

(3) The role of companion compounds, notably phytochemicals, in the modulation of fat physiology and
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pathophysiology (e.g. lipoprotein oxidation; cholesterol synthesis). On this basis, it is worth differentiating refined from unrefined fat.

(4) The effects of hydrogenation on the trans fatty acid content of dietary fat

(5) Its contribution to energy density (ED), measured in calories or kilojoules/unit mass, as the principal food component increasing ED and the role of ED in human energy balance.

(6) The recognition that not all types of fat can have equivalent energy values (Atwater factors) because of the various non-fuel roles of fat (receptor function; cell regulatory eicosanoids depending on fatty acid composition; differential digestion, absorption and handling in the portal circulation depending on triglyceride isomer and chain length of fatty acid).

(7) The role of companion compounds, notably phytochemicals, in the modulation of fat physiology and patho-physiology (e.g. lipoprotein oxidation; cholesterol synthesis). On this basis, it is worth differentiating refined from unrefined fat.

(8) The effects of hydrogenation on the trans fatty acid content of dietary fat.

(9) The differential chemistry and properties of fat which depend on animal or plant source, and whether the animal is ruminant or non-ruminant

(10) The effects of traditional and novel food processing on fat quality\(^1\) e.g. fermentation, cooking, interesterification\(^2\)

(11) Plant breeding (e.g. canola as a rapeseed cultivar)

Taking these revisions into account, it becomes helpful to categorize fats accordingly by degree of refinement and source (Table 1). It is worth noting that it is more helpful to consider plant sources of fat in regard to whether this seed (e.g. rapeseed, canola, linseed, corn, safflower, sunflower), nut (e.g. ground or tree) or fruit (e.g. avocado, olive, palm, cocoa, coconut) rather than the undifferentiated descriptor "vegetable oil or fat".

Table 1. Dietary fat

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Unrefined</th>
<th>Plant</th>
<th>Animal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(i) Seeds</td>
<td>(i)</td>
<td>Fish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii) Nuts</td>
<td>(ii)</td>
<td>Lean meat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iii) Fruits</td>
<td>(iii)</td>
<td>Milk and milk products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Cheese and Yoghurt)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fermented milk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Palm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cocoa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Coconut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and &quot;unrefined&quot; derivatives, eg. Red Palm Oil, Virgin Olive Oil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2. Refined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Oils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Solid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Hydrogenated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Non-hydrogenated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The reasons for this are that the basic food commodity is more indicative of the nutritionally relevant characteristics, and with new knowledge, the consumer is more confident about origin and usage.

**Chronic disease**

The term "Chronic Disease" is a term which has been applied to an increasing array of age-related diseases (Table 2), initially seen in more affluent economies, but which are now affecting to a greater and greater extent the relatively *less socio-economically advantaged peoples* in these and other economies.

**Table 2. Burden of chronic disease**

The Burden of Chronic Disease is mainly comprised of:

- Malnutrition (which may extend from conception to old age)
- Immuno-deficiency and Recurrent Infection
- Cardiovascular Disease (CVD)
  - Coronary Heart Disease
  - Cerebrovascular Disease
  - Peripheral Vascular Disease
- Neoplastic Disease
- Metabolic, especially cumulative positive energy balance
  - over-fatness with insulin resistance
  - (obesity, impaired fasting glycaemia and diabetes)
- Loco-motor Disease
  - osteoporosis and fracture
  - sarcopenia (reduced skeletal muscle mass)
  - arthritis
- CNS Disease
  - mood
  - cognition
  - stroke
- Dental Disease and Oral Health

Moreover, the descriptor is often qualified by "Non-Communicable" to contrast these diseases with Infectious Diseases which are often acute in onset and short in duration, whether because they are lethal or self-limiting. However, there are now indications that some CDs have *infective components* (e.g. obesity, macrovascular disease).\(^3\) Or, they are, at least, *inflammatory diseases*. The corollary is that the most chronic of disease complexes can be either PEM (Protein-Energy Malnutrition) and/or immunodeficiency with recurrent infection. For these reasons, a more satisfactory nomenclature for chronic disease is required which has to do with aetiology and pathogenesis; as well as with the clustering of these diseases.

The basis of chronic disease

One of the most instructive findings about chronic disease in recent years has been that its origin may be *as early as conception*, or even in the environmental exposures of previous generations.\(^4\) Whereas we attributed some of this phenomenology to genes, now we know that more and more is due to environmentally-altered gene expression, notably maternal exposure, but effects on spermatogenous, through paternal exposure, are also under scrutiny. There is evidence that virtually every CD can be influenced by *dietary factors* at the level of genetic
mutation, gene expression, nutritional pathways, energy balance or factors which confer or decrease resilience and protection against disease. We have also grossly underestimated how physiological states and their perturbation (eg. the menopause, cognition, mood) can depend on dietary intakes.

Regrettably, biological adjustment made to cope with undernutrition, especially in pregnancy, can have lifelong and intergenerational effects on health, as nutritional circumstances become less limiting, particularly with the so-called "affluent diet". This has contributed to "transitional health problems" with unexpectedly high burdens of CD in transitional economies and to the "double burden of disease" where so-called "undernutrition" and "overnutrition" co-exist (eg. protein and micronutrient deficiencies, along with abdominal obesity, diabetes and MVD (macrovascular disease) in the same individual, in families and in communities. These observations lead to the conclusion that not only the quantity and the availability of food, but the quality of the human diet at various stages in the life cycle are critical.

Dietary fat has the potential to address food quantity and distribution, but requires more active consideration of how, either as unrefined fat, or as a promoter of nutrient dense (nutritious) food intakes it can serve the quality need. An approach to the latter will be for it to be provided in small quantities combined with nutritious plant or animal derived (especially low cholesterol) food (eg. lentils, or fish). The now established Science of Food Variety and Health supports this approach.

An eco-nutritional view of chronic disease
As dietary guidelines emerged in the mid-late 1970s to address the emerging problems of chronic disease, two guidelines stood out as the most consistent and significant in the growing number of national recommendations. They were to encourage breast feeding and to have a variety of foods. This in turn spoke to the importance of maternal (and family) health and well-being and to the need for biodiversity to underpin the required food variety. With time, more and more evidence has underscored the case for these two recommendations - that to do with the fetal and neonatal origin of disease and the case for an eco-nutritional approach to disease prevention.

Put simply, the way we connect with our environment, socially, physically, nutritionally and emotionally, and how sustainable our environment is are the major determinants of our health. The best of food cultures are not ones with a narrow range of foods and a staple, but ones where there is food diversity, food adequacy, and regular physical activity, so that we can eat enough without becoming fat, and where food plays a social and festive role. This understanding is becoming clearer with the growing conjunction between the food, nutritional, health and environmental sciences. It is also requires a shared interest in health and economic development by policy makers, the private sector and communities. This contextual and integrated approach is in evidence in the WHO Technical Report 916, "Diet, Nutrition and Chronic Diseases in Context" (Chapter 4, pp 30-53). When embraced, matters like dietary fat and health fall into place.

Dietary fat and chronic disease
The value of the WHO report in relation to the understanding and application of dietary fat science and technology to human health and disease prevention is that it goes beyond the usual analysis of CVD (Cardiovascular Disease) or MVD (Macrovascular Disease) alone, sometimes with obesity, diabetes and cancer as concerns, to a much more comprehensive health view. This strengthens the case for a general approach to dietary fat intake because, in aggregate, one can be most confident especially if the story is consistent.

The specific recommendations about fat in the Report are:
(i) For dietary fat to range between 15 and 30% daily energy intake (DEI)
(ii) That there be not more than 10% DEI from saturated fat; PUFA (polyunsaturated fatty acid) in the range 6-10% of DEI with n-6 PUFA 5-8% and n-3 PUFA 1-2% DEI; less than 1% of DEI as trans fatty acid; and the remainder from monounsaturated oleic acid.

However, the consistent emphasis throughout the report on food variety requires that dietary fat also came from a variety of sources. And since the companion compounds in plant fats, the phytonutrients, have such important health protective roles, dietary fats and oil should be as unrefined as practical.

Dietary fat and FBDGs (Food Based Dietary Guidelines)
WHO and FAO promulgated, in the Cyprus report of 1995 a Food Based approach to Dietary Guidelines, further developed for various regions. Food Based Dietary Guidelines acknowledge the importance of incorporating the best available nutrition science and evidence into identifiable food cultural settings for health advancement. This is now progressing at the community, national and international levels. Such guidelines encourage both food adequacy and the use of quality food and food patterns which are safe and nutritious (generally nutrient-dense and low in energy density). It is highly desirable that recommendations about dietary fat and chronic disease are framed in accordance with these guidelines.

The 2003 WHO Report 916 on "Diet, Nutrition and the Prevention of Chronic Disease"
The report acknowledges both the dietary energy (and, therefore, fat) deficit for many people world-wide in economically disadvantaged communities, whilst pre-venting the problem of excess energy (including fat) intake over energy expenditure for growing numbers of people, leading, amongst other factors, to Chronic Disease.

The dilemma is to identify safe, adequate and health protective ranges of fat intake, fat quality and food patterns in which such fat can be eaten. Quite reasonable and flexible approaches are formulated in the Report. Over and over again, the report stresses the importance of regular physical activity as the best way to ensure that food intake is appropriate to our energy needs and, in turn, essential nutrient and protective food component (phytonutrient) needs.

It also argues for healthy and sustainable environments. Whilst the report suffers from a disease specific
approach, it endeavours to bring the nutritional analysis to the entire so-called "chronic disease" agenda, since patterns of disease tend to accompany patterns of food intake, physical activity (or inactivity) and substance abuse (alcohol and cigarette smoking in particular). Inevitably, for fat, much is said about dietary fatty acid patterns, how saturated monounsaturated or polyunsaturated, how much n-6 and n-3, what chain length, how much trans fatty acid (it is unrealistic to have zero tolerance in a mixed diet and probably not necessary since not all trans are the same or as hazardous).

The concomitant intake of fatty acids, in various esterified forms, with other fats (like cholesterol), other food components and various food is understood to modulate health risk. In particular, in a low fat diet, more cholesterol can be eaten without adverse effect on blood cholesterol. Some of these cholesterol sources (like eggs) are highly nutritious and desirable, especially for older people with limited energy expenditure and intake. It is of interest that the excess of haemorrhagic stroke in Asian populations appears related to low dietary cholesterol. But blood cholesterol is not the only nutritional pathway to MVD, let alone other health outcomes and so a broader view of diet and cuisine is required to sub-serve optimal health.

The collective WHO position - a distillation
This might be summarized as follows:
(i) To obtain fat from a diversity of sources and obtain the fat relatively unrefined (from seed, nuts, grains, fruits where the source is plant) and from lean or low-fat animal sources (ii) Keep the FER (fat energy ratio) between 15 and 35%, the upper end requiring high levels of physical activity ii) Keep trans fatty acid intake to less than 1% of daily-energy intake
(iv) Have fat as part of a varied diet which provides health protection through its wide range of active components acting synergistically and collectively

Table 3. Response of Palm Oil Industry to WHO Technical Report Series: 916

1. Emphasize palm fruit as Reference Point for Palm Oil
2. Promote RPO (Red Palm Oil) and its products
3. Blend various
   • Fatty commodities
   • Oils
   • Fats
4. Encourage fat in a varied diet
5. Articulate role of fat in promoting plant food intake
6. Promote non-hydrogenated solid fats (to decrease trans fatty acids)

An appropriate response for the food industry to the WHO report
Food producers, processors and retailers, severally and collectively, must scrutinize and operationalize WHO Report 916. As an example, the Palm Oil Industry response could be along the lines outlined in Table 3. Industries which respond constructively to the WHO Report will enjoy greater community, governmental and international agency support than those who do not.
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慢性病通常包括肥胖(特别是腹部肥胖)、糖尿病、大血管疾病(它会影响所有中动脉及其所供血的器官)、骨质疏松症、各种癌症(特别是乳腺癌、肺癌、结肠直肠癌、胰腺癌、前列腺癌和皮肤癌)和痴呆。不幸的是，它们可能并不是慢性的，因为它们的发病和引起的死亡在成年人的早期就可能出现，而且病情会迅速发展。不管以什么样的方式，它们都和食品、营养和其它环境及生活方式有关，因此提出了环境营养疾病(END)这个术语。就慢性病的营养基础而言，我们可以简单地称之为与营养相关的失调或疾病(NRD)。关于脂肪和END或NRD，需要考虑的关键是脂肪来源的多样性和它对能量密度和营养素密度的作用。这些都体现在世界卫生组织2003年的9816号报告“饮食、营养和慢性病的预防”中。
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